# COMBINED QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE RETIREMENT BOARDS FOR THE EMPLOYEES AND RETIREES OF THE SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT WEDNESDAY, MARCH 8, 2023 AT 9:00 A.M. <br> REGIONAL TRANSIT AUDITORIUM <br> 1400 29 $^{\text {TH }}$ STREET, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA <br> Website Address: www.sacrt.com 

(29th St. Light Rail Station/Bus 38, 67, 68)

# MEETING NOTE: <br> This is a joint and concurrent meeting of the five independent Retirement Boards for the pension plans for the employees and retirees of the Sacramento Regional Transit District. This single, combined agenda designates which items will be subject to action by which board(s). Members of each board may be present for the other boards' discussions and actions, except during individual closed sessions. 

ROLL CALL

ATU Retirement Board: Directors: Li, Kennedy, McGee Lee, Scott Alternates: Valenton<br>IBEW Retirement Board: Directors: Li, Kennedy, Bibbs, Pickering Alternates: Valenton, D. Thompson<br>AEA Retirement Board: Directors: Li, Kennedy, Devorak, McGoldrick Alternates: Valenton, Santhanakrishnan<br>AFSCME Retirement Board: Directors: Li, Kennedy, Guimond, L. Thompson Alternates: Valenton, Salva<br>MCEG Retirement Board: Directors: Li, Kennedy, Bobek, Hinz Alternates: Valenton, Flores

PUBLIC ADDRESSES BOARD ON MATTERS ON CONSENT AND MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA
At this time the public may address the Retirement Board(s) on subject matters pertaining to Retirement Board business listed on the Consent Calendar, any Closed Sessions or items not listed on the agenda. Remarks may be limited to 3 minutes subject to the discretion of the Common Chair. Members of the public wishing to address one or more of the Boards may submit a "Public Comment Speaker Card" to the Assistant Secretary. While the Retirement Boards encourage your comments, State law prevents the Boards from discussing items that are not set forth on this meeting agenda. The Boards and staff take your comments very seriously and, if appropriate, will follow up on them.


## NEW BUSINESS



| 18. Motion: | Accept Actuarial Valuation and Approve Actuarially Determined <br> Contribution Rates for Fiscal Year 2024. (AEA/AFSCME/MCEG) <br> (Gobel) | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\boxed{~}$ |  |  |

## REPORTS, IDEAS AND COMMUNICATION



## ADJOURN

## Notice to the Public

It is the policy of the Boards of Directors of the Sacramento Regional Transit District Retirement Plans to encourage participation in the meetings of the Boards of Directors. At each open meeting, members of the public shall be provided with an opportunity to directly address the Board on items of interest to the public that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Boards.

This agenda may be amended up to 72 hours prior to the meeting being held. An agenda, in final form, is located by the front door of Regional Transit's building at $1400-29^{\text {th }}$ Street and posted to SacRT's website at www.sacrt.com.
Any person(s) requiring accessible formats of the agenda or assisted listening devices/sign language interpreters should contact the Human Resources Pension and Retiree Services Administrator at 916-556-0296 or TDD 916/483-4327 at least 72 business hours in advance of the Board Meeting.
Copies of staff reports or other written documentation relating to each item of business referred to on the agenda are on file with the Retirement Services Analyst at 916-216-9927 and/or Clerk to the Board of Directors of the Sacramento Regional Transit District and are available for public inspection at 1400 $29^{\text {th }}$ Street, Sacramento, CA. Any person who has questions concerning any agenda item may call the Retirement Services Analyst of the Sacramento Regional Transit District to make inquiry.

# Sacramento Regional Transit District <br> Special Retirement Board Meeting (AEA) <br> Wednesday, February 15, 2023 <br> MEETING MINUTES 

This meeting was held as a common meeting of the Sacramento Regional Transit District Retirement Boards (ATU, IBEW, AEA, AFSCME, MCEG).

The meeting was conducted via teleconference in accordance with Government Code Section 54953, as amended by Assembly Bill 361.

The Retirement Board was brought to order at 9:03 a.m. A quorum was present and comprised as follows: Directors Kennedy, Li, Devorak, and McGoldrick. Alternate Santhanakrishnan was absent. Alternate Valenton also attended the meeting, but had no formal role.

Director Kennedy presided over this meeting as Common Chair of the Retirement Boards.
Prior to presenting or requesting votes for any items on the Retirement Board agenda, John Gobel, Manager of Pension and Retirement Services, introduced ATU Director Lee Scott and explained that Director Scott has been appointed to complete the remainder of the term of former Director Ralph Niz, who retired from SacRT effective January 1, 2023 and resigned from the Retirement Board.

Mr. Gobel also reported the recent departure from SacRT of Lawrence Chiu, who served as Vice President, Finance/CFO, and introduced Jason Johnson as Acting Vice President, Finance/CFO.

## RESOLUTION TO MEET VIA TELECONFERENCE

1. Resolution: Authorize the Boards to Conduct Their Meetings for the Next 30 Days Via Teleconference as Authorized under the Brown Act pursuant to Government Code Section 54953, as Amended by Assembly Bill 361, during the COVID-19 Pandemic (All). (Gobel).

Mr. Gobel presented a brief overview of Assembly Bill 361 (AB 361), which authorizes local legislative bodies to continue to meet remotely during the COVID-19 pandemic when certain conditions are met. Mr. Gobel explained that, under AB 361, the Retirement Boards must determine that the circumstances of the COVID-19 state of emergency continue to directly impact the ability of the Boards to meet safely in person in order to continue to meet via special teleconference rules. Mr. Gobel also explained that the Retirement Boards will resume in-person meetings with their next Quarterly Retirement Board Meeting scheduled for March 8, 2023 as a result of the Governor's October 2022
announcement that he intends to end the COVID-19 State of Emergency as of February 28, 2023.

There were no questions from the Board.
Director Kennedy moved to Adopt Agenda Item 1. The motion was seconded by Director Li. Agenda Item 1 was carried unanimously by roll call vote: Ayes - Devorak, McGoldrick, Kennedy, and Li; Noes - None.

## CONSENT CALENDAR

5. Motion: Approving the Minutes for the December 14, 2022, Quarterly Retirement Board Meeting (AEA). (Gobel)

Director Kennedy moved to adopt Agenda Item 5. The motion was seconded by Director Li. Agenda Item 5 was carried unanimously by roll call vote: Ayes - Devorak, McGoldrick, Kennedy, and Li; Noes - None.

## NEW BUSINESS

8. Information: Receive Preliminary Results of Valuation Studies for Retirement Plans (All). (Gobel)

Mr. Gobel introduced consulting actuary Graham Schmidt of Cheiron and indicated that Mr. Schmidt would be discussing the preliminary results of the actuarial valuation reports (AVRs) for all three Retirements Plans, i.e., the ATU Plan, the IBEW Plan, and the Salaried Plan. Mr. Gobel also indicated that today's presentation was informational and did not require action by the Retirement Boards, since final AVRs for the July 1, 2022 valuation date and the corresponding contribution rates would be submitted for adoption at the Quarterly Retirement Board Meeting on March 8th.

In discussing aggregate activity, Mr. Schmidt noted that the Retirement Plans cover 2,300 members, nearly half of whom are active and working. Among the three plans, the ATU Plan is the largest and the IBEW Plan is the smallest, at about half the size of the Salaried Plan. Presently, more than half of the Retirement Plans' active population consists of PEPRA members, who contribute one-half of the normal cost of their benefits, are subject to a lower limit on pension-eligible compensation, and accrue service under a statutory retirement formula. Despite the preponderance of PEPRA members in the active workforce, Mr. Schmidt noted that most of the Retirement Plans' actuarial liability is associated with Classic member benefits, as those tiers have existed longer and cover much of the service accrued by pension participants.

With regard to funding, Mr. Schmidt referenced the Retirement Plans' aggregate actuarial liability of $\$ 493$ million, provided plan-specific subtotals, and compared actuarial liabilities to the actuarial value of assets (AVA), which are $\$ 359$ million in total. Mr. Schmidt also reminded the Retirement Boards that the AVA is a smoothed value that distributes the impact of each year's investment gains or losses over a five-year period. Due in part to the recognition of some investment gains associated with the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021 and the deferral of some investment losses associated with the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, Mr. Schmidt indicated that the AVA reported for the upcoming valuations would be $\$ 12$ million higher than the market value of assets (which does not smooth the allocation of annual gains or losses over a five-year period). Consistent with the higher AVA, Mr. Schmidt indicated that the funded ratios reported for the July 1, 2022 valuation date would be higher than the prior year and offered the following estimates for each plan: ATU Plan 76.8\%, IBEW Plan 73.7\%, and Salaried Plan 67.9\%.

After the discussion of funded ratios, Mr. Schmidt addressed contribution rates, which would be finalized with the AVRs and reflect the normal cost of benefits for the next year and the amortization of the unfunded actuarial liability (UAL) for past years. For the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2023, Mr. Schmidt estimated the average or blended employer contribution rate for each plan as follows: ATU Plan 26.9\%, IBEW Plan 31.2\%, and Salaried Plan 37.3\%.

With regard to employee contributions, Mr. Schmidt noted that the rates for PEPRA members in the IBEW Plan and the Salaried Plan were not expected to change. However, the employee contribution rate for PEPRA members in the ATU Plan would be increasing from $7.25 \%$ to $7.75 \%$ effective July $1^{\text {st }}$. While discussing these rates, Mr. Schmidt reminded the Retirement Boards that the employee contributions for PEPRA members are determined by rounding the plan's normal cost of benefits to a quarter percentage point and dividing the result by two. [Unless the normal cost determined for PEPRA members differs from a prior computation by more than one percentage point, however, the employee contribution does not re-set.] Mr. Schmidt also reminded the Retirement Boards that employee contributions rates for PEPRA members in the IBEW Plan and the Salaried Plan had increased with the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021, whereas the employee contribution rates for PEPRA members in the ATU Plan had remained unchanged.

During Mr. Schmidt's discussion of funding progress, he noted that the funded ratios for all three plans are projected to approach $100 \%$ by 2033 if the Retirement Plans meet their actuarial assumptions for all applicable years. In response to Director Li's question about the feasibility of full funding and whether that goal was reflective of best actuarial practices, Mr. Schmidt confirmed that plan sponsors should endeavor to pay off the UAL in accordance with their funding policy. Mr. Schmidt provided further information regarding the latest standard of practice in this area. Consistent with the longstanding
approach for the Retirement Plans, Mr. Schmidt also indicated that paying the actuarially determined contribution (ADC) each year was a straightforward way for sponsors to approach full funding over time.

In response to a question from AEA Director McGoldrick regarding the potential for future assumption changes to affect the projected funding progress, Mr. Schmidt noted that the Retirement Boards effected a significant change three years ago by reducing the Retirement Plans' long-term assumption for investment returns or the "discount rate" from $7.25 \%$ to $6.75 \%$. and Mr. Schmidt indicated that he did not anticipate recommending significant changes to other actuarial assumptions. Although Mr. Schmidt deferred the possibility of future changes in the discount rate to the Retirement Board's investment consultant, he noted that some professionals are forecasting higher returns for many asset classes over the next few years and indicated the Retirement Plans are not expected to conduct an experience study for at least a few more years.

In response to a question from AEA Director Devorak regarding the risk of persistent inflation, Mr. Schmidt noted that higher inflation may not affect the funding for SacRT's retired employee population because the Retirement Plans do not offer a COLA or increase pension payments during periods of high inflation. With regard to funding for SacRT's active employee population, Mr. Schmidt indicated that persistent inflation could create pressure for higher wages, but noted that allocating a fixed contribution amount over a larger payroll base could also have the effect of reducing the contribution rate for the employer.

Jason Johnson, Acting Vice President, Finance/CFO, reminded the Retirement Boards that staff uses the preliminary actuarial results to generate employer contribution estimates for the next fiscal year and assist with SacRT's budgeting process. Mr. Johnson noted that, as in prior years, the current estimates were preliminary. Based on early payroll projections, Mr. Johnson noted that staff was anticipating employer contribution increases of between $5 \%$ and $12 \%$ for each plan and an estimated, aggregate increase of $8.16 \%$ or $\$ 2.2$ million in employer contributions for fiscal year 2023-
24. Mr. Johnson indicated that staff would be refining the preliminary estimates for presentation and approval at the next Retirement Board meeting.

At the request of IBEW Director Bibbs, Mr. Johnson indicated that staff would distribute a copy of the early contribution estimates reviewed during the meeting to all Directors after the meeting.

## ADJOURN

With no further business to discuss, the Retirement Board meeting was adjourned at 10:01 a.m.

Russel Devorak, Board Chair

## ATTEST:

Henry Li, Secretary
$B y:$ $\qquad$
John Gobel, Assistant Secretary

DATE: March 8, 2023
TO: Sacramento Regional Transit Retirement Boards - AEA/AFSCME/MCEG
FROM: Jason Johnson, Acting, VP, Finance/CFO
SUBJ: RECEIVE AND FILE ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS FOR THE QUARTER ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2022 FOR THE SALARIED PENSION PLAN (AEA/AFSCME/MCEG). (JOHNSON)

## RECOMMENDATION

Motion to Approve

## RESULT OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

Motion: Receive and File Administrative Reports for the Quarter Ended December 31, 2022 for the Salaried Pension Plan (AEA/AFSCME/MCEG). (Johnson)

## FISCAL IMPACT

None.

## DISCUSSION

Table 1 below shows the employer and employee contribution rates for all of the Sacramento Regional Transit District Retirement Plans, by Plan and tier, as of the date indicated.

Table 1
Employer Contribution Rates
As of December 31, 2022

|  | ATU | IBEW | Salary |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contribution Rate | Contribution Rate | Contribution Rate |
| Classic | $30.23 \%$ | $34.11 \%$ | $43.28 \%$ |
| Classic w/Contribution* | $28.45 \%$ |  |  |
| PEPRA** | $22.39 \%$ | $25.68 \%$ | $30.30 \%$ |

*Includes members hired during calendar year 2015, employee rate 3\%
**PEPRA employee rates: ATU - 7.25\%, IBEW 7.00\% and Salary 6.50\%

## Unaudited Financial Statements

Attached hereto are unaudited financial statements for the quarter and the year-to-date ended December 31, 2022. The financial statements are presented on an accrual basis and consist of a Statement of Fiduciary Net Position (balance sheet) (Attachment 1), a Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position (income statement) for the quarter ended December 31, 2022 (Attachment 2), and a year-to-date Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position (Attachment 3).

The Statement of Fiduciary Net Position includes a summary of fund assets showing the amounts in the following categories: investments, prepaid assets, and other receivables. This statement also provides amounts due from/to the District and Total Fund Equity (net position).

The Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position includes activities in the following categories: investment gains/losses, dividends, interest income, unrealized gains/losses, benefit contributions/payouts, and investment management and administrative expenses.

## Asset Rebalancing

Pursuant to Section IV, Asset Rebalancing Policy of the Statement of Investment Objectives and Policy Guidelines for the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Employees' Retirement Funds, the Retirement Boards have delegated authority to manage pension plan assets in accordance with the approved rebalancing policy to the District's AVP of Finance and Treasury. The AVP of Finance and Treasury is required to report asset rebalancing activity to the Boards at their quarterly meetings. The District no longer has an AVP of Finance and Treasury, accordingly the activity is currently being done by the VP, Finance/CFO with assistance from the Accountant II.

1. The Pension Plan ended the month with an accounts receivable or payable balance due to the District. A payable or receivable is the net amount of the monthly required contribution (required contribution is the percentage of covered payroll determined by the annual actuarial valuation) less the Plan's actual expenses.
2. The Pension Plan hires or removes a Fund Manager, in which case securities must be moved to a new fund manager.
3. The Pension Plan investment mix is under or over the minimum or maximum asset allocation as defined in the Statement of Investment Objectives and Policy Guidelines.

Attached hereto as Attachment 4 is the Salaried Plan's Schedule of Cash Activities for the three months ended December 31, 2022. The schedule of cash activities includes a summary of Plan activities showing the amounts in the following categories: District's pension contributions to the Plan, payments to retirees, and the Pension Plan's cash expenditures paid. This schedule also lists the rebalancing activity that occurred for the three months ended December 31, 2022. The Salaried Plan reimbursed \$106 988.41 to
the District as the result of the net cash activity between the pension plan expenses and the required pension contributions.

Attached hereto as Attachment 5 is the Salaried Plan's Asset Allocation as of December 31, 2022. This statement shows the Salaried Plan's asset allocation as compared to targeted allocation percentages as defined in the Statement of Investment Objectives and Policy Guidelines.

Attached hereto as Attachment 6 is a reconciliation between the Callan Performance Report and the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Pension Plans' unaudited financial statements. The reports differ in that the unaudited financial statements reflect both investment activities and the pension fund's inflows and outflows. Callan's report only reflects the investment activities. The "Net Difference" amounts shown are the results of Callan and Northern Trust Company using different valuations for the same securities and/or litigation settlements received by the Plans.

Included also as Attachment 7 is a reconciliation between the Callan Performance Report and the Schedule of Cash Activities for payments made from/to the District. Callan's report classifies gains from trades and litigation income as "net new investments." Finance staff classifies gains from trades and litigation income in the Pension Plan's unaudited Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position as "Other Income," which is combined in the category of "Interest, Dividend, \& Other Inc".

Attached hereto as Attachment 8 is a schedule reflecting Fund Managers' quarterly investment returns and their investment fees. Additionally, the schedule reflects annual rates of return on investment net of investment fees for the one-year and three-year periods ended December 31, 2022 as compared to their benchmarks.

Attached hereto as Attachment 9 is a schedule reflecting transfers of plan assets from the ATU Plan to the Salaried Plan resulting from employee transfers from one union/employee group to another, as well as all retirements, and retiree deaths during the three months ended December 31, 2022.

Sacto Regional Transit District Retirement Plan - Salaried Statement of Fiduciary Net Position

|  | Dec 31, 22 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |
| Current Assets |  |
| Checking/Savings |  |
| Long-Term Investments |  |
| 100000 Custodial Assets | 122,619,588.61 |
| Total Long-Term Investments | 122,619,588.61 |
| Total Checking/Savings | 122,619,588.61 |
| Accounts Receivable |  |
| 1110104 - Other Rec - Due from RT | 44,561.62 |
| 1110109 - Distributions Receivable | 51,489.59 |
| Total Accounts Receivable | 96,051.21 |
| Other Current Assets |  |
| 1110120 - Prepaids | 5,076.74 |
| Total Other Current Assets | 5,076.74 |
| Total Current Assets | 122,720,716.56 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | 122,720,716.56 |
| LIABILITIES \& EQUITY |  |
| Liabilities |  |
| Current Liabilities |  |
| Accounts Payable |  |
| 3110102 - Administrative Expense Payable | 24,033.03 |
| 3110122 - MetWest | 19,692.76 |
| 3110124 - Boston Partners | 26,388.11 |
| 3110125 - Callan | 7,973.05 |
| 3110128 - Atlanta Capital | 20,883.51 |
| 3110129 - S\&P Index - SSgA | 949.23 |
| 3110130 - EAFE - SSgA | 537.52 |
| 3110132 - Pyrford | 18,579.82 |
| 3110133 - Northern Trust | 8,380.78 |
| 3110134 - Clarion | 17,695.98 |
| Total Accounts Payable | 145,113.79 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 145,113.79 |
| Total Liabilities | 145,113.79 |
| Equity |  |
| 3340101 - Retained Earnings | 120,583,102.37 |
| Net Income | 1,992,500.40 |
| Total Equity | 122,575,602.77 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES \& EQUITY | 122,720,716.56 |

## Sacto Regional Transit District Retirement Plan - Salaried Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position

|  | Oct - Dec 22 | \% of Inco... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income |  |  |
| RT Required Contribution |  |  |
| 6630101 - Employer Contributions | 2,792,803.40 | 23.6\% |
| 6630110 - Employee Contribution | 192,770.81 | 1.6\% |
| Total RT Required Contribution | 2,985,574.21 | 25.3\% |
| Total Investment Earnings |  |  |
| Interest, Dividend, \& Other Inc |  |  |
| 6830101 - Dividend | 276,098.94 | 2.3\% |
| 6830102 - Interest | 250,478.04 | 2.1\% |
| 6830103 - Other Income | 0.00 | 0.0\% |
| 6830104 - Dividend - Distributions | 51,489.60 | 0.4\% |
| Total Interest, Dividend, \& Other Inc | 578,066.58 | 4.9\% |
| Investment Income |  |  |
| 6530900 - Gains/(Losses) - All | -168,707.73 | -1.4\% |
| 6530915 - Increase(Decrease) in FV | 8,427,475.18 | 71.3\% |
| Total Investment Income | 8,258,767.45 | 69.9\% |
| Total Total Investment Earnings | 8,836,834.03 | 74.7\% |
| Total Income | 11,822,408.24 | 100.0\% |
| Cost of Goods Sold |  |  |
| 8531210 - AEA - Retirement Benefits Paid | 842,457.01 | 7.1\% |
| 8531211 - AFSCME-Retirement Benefits Paid | 1,007,076.72 | 8.5\% |
| 8531212 - MCEG - Retirement Benefits Paid | 1,080,946.09 | 9.1\% |
| 8531213 - Employee Contribution Refunds | 18,781.92 | 0.2\% |
| 8532004 - Invest Exp - MetropolitanWest | 19,692.76 | 0.2\% |
| 8532013 - Invest Exp - Boston Partners | 26,388.11 | 0.2\% |
| 8532020 - Invest Exp - Callan | 11,969.18 | 0.1\% |
| 8532024 - Invest Exp - Atlanta Capital | 20,883.51 | 0.2\% |
| 8532025 - Invest Exp - S\&P Index SSgA | 949.23 | 0.0\% |
| 8532026 - Invest Exp - EAFE SSgA | 537.52 | 0.0\% |
| 8532027 - Invest Exp - AQR | 11,685.46 | 0.1\% |
| 8532028 - Invest Exp - Pyrford | 18,579.82 | 0.2\% |
| 8532029 - Invest Exp - Northern Trust | 8,380.78 | 0.1\% |
| 8532030 - Invest Exp - Clarion | 17,695.98 | 0.1\% |
| 8532031 - Invest Exp - Morgan Stanley | 13,148.65 | 0.1\% |
| Total COGS | 3,099,172.74 | 26.2\% |
| Gross Profit | 8,723,235.50 | 73.8\% |
| Expense |  |  |
| 8533002 - Admin Exp - Actuary | 9,214.83 | 0.1\% |
| 8533007 - Admin Exp - CALPRS Dues/Courses | 0.00 | 0.0\% |
| 8533010 - Admin Exp - Travel | 0.00 | 0.0\% |
| 8533014 - Admin Exp - Fiduciary Insurance | 3,620.10 | 0.0\% |
| 8533020 - Admin Exp - Procurement Costs | 0.00 | 0.0\% |
| 8533026 - Admin Exp - Legal Services | 22,227.30 | 0.2\% |
| 8533029 - Admin Exp - Administrator | 28,921.09 | 0.2\% |
| 8533050 - Admin Exp - Misc Exp | 0.00 | 0.0\% |
| Total Expense | 63,983.32 | 0.5\% |
| Net Income | 8,659,252.18 | 73.2\% |

## Sacto Regional Transit District Retirement Plan - Salaried <br> Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position

|  | Jul - Dec 22 | \% of In... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income |  |  |
| RT Required Contribution |  |  |
| 6630101 - Employer Contributions | 5,629,262.56 | 68.6\% |
| 6630110 - Employee Contribution | 395,623.03 | 4.8\% |
| Total RT Required Contribution | 6,024,885.59 | 73.4\% |
| Total Investment Earnings |  |  |
| Interest, Dividend, \& Other Inc |  |  |
| 6830101 - Dividend | 634,772.55 | 7.7\% |
| 6830102 - Interest | 472,349.31 | 5.8\% |
| 6830103 - Other Income | 0.00 | 0.0\% |
| 6830104 - Dividend - Distributions | 104,026.80 | 1.3\% |
| Total Interest, Dividend, \& Other Inc | 1,211,148.66 | 14.8\% |
| Investment Income |  |  |
| $6530900 \cdot$ Gains/(Losses) - All | -121,207.94 | -1.5\% |
| 6530915 - Increase(Decrease) in FV | 1,094,151.78 | 13.3\% |
| Total Investment Income | 972,943.84 | 11.9\% |
| Total Total Investment Earnings | 2,184,092.50 | 26.6\% |
| Total Income | 8,208,978.09 | 100.0\% |
| Cost of Goods Sold |  |  |
| 8531210 - AEA - Retirement Benefits Paid | 1,676,787.30 | 20.4\% |
| 8531211 - AFSCME-Retirement Benefits Paid | 1,904,309.37 | 23.2\% |
| 8531212 - MCEG - Retirement Benefits Paid | 2,141,495.22 | 26.1\% |
| 8531213 - Employee Contribution Refunds | 61,801.09 | 0.8\% |
| 8532004 - Invest Exp - MetropolitanWest | 38,926.41 | 0.5\% |
| 8532013 - Invest Exp - Boston Partners | 52,217.35 | 0.6\% |
| 8532020 - Invest Exp - Callan | 23,886.07 | 0.3\% |
| 8532024 - Invest Exp - Atlanta Capital | 39,954.11 | 0.5\% |
| 8532025 - Invest Exp - S\&P Index SSgA | 1,882.06 | 0.0\% |
| 8532026 - Invest Exp - EAFE SSgA | 1,051.59 | 0.0\% |
| 8532027 - Invest Exp - AQR | 24,036.91 | 0.3\% |
| 8532028 - Invest Exp - Pyrford | 37,145.00 | 0.5\% |
| 8532029 - Invest Exp - Northern Trust | 16,739.28 | 0.2\% |
| 8532030 - Invest Exp - Clarion | 35,326.27 | 0.4\% |
| 8532031 - Invest Exp - Morgan Stanley | 29,681.84 | 0.4\% |
| Total COGS | 6,085,239.87 | 74.1\% |
| Gross Profit | 2,123,738.22 | 25.9\% |
| Expense |  |  |
| 8533002 - Admin Exp - Actuary | 17,033.66 | 0.2\% |
| 8533003 - Admin Exp - Med Center | 75.00 | 0.0\% |
| 8533007 - Admin Exp - CALPRS Dues/Courses | 3,000.00 | 0.0\% |
| 8533010 - Admin Exp - Travel | 0.00 | 0.0\% |
| 8533014 - Admin Exp - Fiduciary Insurance | 7,240.20 | 0.1\% |
| 8533020 - Admin Exp - Procurement Costs | 0.00 | 0.0\% |
| 8533025 - Admin Exp - Information Service | 310.00 | 0.0\% |
| 8533026 - Admin Exp - Legal Services | 44,454.60 | 0.5\% |
| 8533029 - Admin Exp - Administrator | 59,124.36 | 0.7\% |
| 8533050 - Admin Exp - Misc Exp | 0.00 | 0.0\% |
| 8533051 - Admin Exp - Audit | 0.00 | 0.0\% |
| Total Expense | 131,237.82 | 1.6\% |
| Net Income | 1,992,500.40 | 24.3\% |

## Sacramento Regional Transit District

 Retirement Fund - Salaried Schedule of Cash Activities For the Three Months Period Ended December 31, 2022| $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & 2022 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | November 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ 2022 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Quarter Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(62,652.83)$ | 61,106.06 | 169,641.24 | $(62,652.83)$ |
| 907,776.04 | 905,239.81 | 979,787.55 | 2,792,803.40 |
| 62,103.05 | 63,076.43 | 67,591.33 | 192,770.81 |
| 969,879.09 | 968,316.24 | 1,047,378.88 | 2,985,574.21 |


| $(279,160.91)$ | $(278,588.57)$ | $(284,707.53)$ |  | $(842,457.01)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(318,012.99)$ | $(343,160.91)$ | $(345,902.82)$ |  | $(1,007,076.72)$ |
| $(361,772.43)$ | $(359,586.83)$ | $(359,586.83)$ | $(1,080,946.09)$ |  |
| $(12,084.12)$ | $(6,697.80)$ | - |  | $(18,781.92)$ |
|  | $(971,030.45)$ | $(988,034.11)$ | $(990,197.18)$ |  |

Fund Investment Management Expenses:
Atlanta Capital
Boston Partners
SSgA S\&P 500 Index
SSgA EAFE MSCI
Metropolitan West
Pyrford
Northern Trust
Callan
Fund Invest. Mgmt Exp. Subtotal

Administrative Expenses
Legal Services
Pension Administration
Actuarial Services
Administrative Exp. Subtotal
Total Expenses
Monthly Net Owed from/(to) District
Payment from/(to) the District

Ending Balance:
Due (from)/to the District (=Beginning balance + monthly balance-payment to District)

61,106.06 169,641.24 (44,561.62)
$(44,561.62)$

RT Combined Pension Plans - ATU, IBEW and Salaried
Asset Allocation *
As of December 31, 2022

| Asset Class | Net Asset Market Value 12/31/2022 |  | Actual Asset Allocation | Target Asset Allocation | \% <br> Variance | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { Variance } \end{gathered}$ |  | Target Market Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FUND MANAGERS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large Cap Value - Boston Partners - Z8 | \$ | 61,097,921 | 17.40\% | 16.00\% | 1.40\% | \$ | 4,914,724 |  |  |
| Large Cap Growth - SSgA S\&P 500 Index - XH |  | 53,192,841 | 15.15\% | 16.00\% | -0.85\% |  | $(2,990,356)$ |  |  |
| Total Large Cap Domestic Equity |  | 114,290,762 | 32.55\% | 32.00\% | 0.55\% |  | 1,924,368 | \$ | 112,366,393 |
| Small Cap - Atlanta Capital - XB |  | 29,905,305 | 8.52\% | 8.00\% | 0.52\% |  | 1,813,706 |  | 28,091,598 |
| International Equity: Large Cap Growth: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pyrford - ZD |  | 32,968,217 | 9.39\% | 9.50\% | -0.11\% |  | $(390,556)$ |  |  |
| Large Cap Core: <br> SSgA MSCI EAFE - XG |  | 15,937,524 | 4.54\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Core |  | 15,937,524 | 4.54\% | 4.50\% | 0.04\% |  | 135,999 |  |  |
| Small Cap: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AQR - ZB |  | 18,296,838 | 5.21\% | 5.00\% | 0.21\% |  | 739,589 |  |  |
| Emerging Markets DFA - ZA |  | 20,488,312 | 5.83\% | 6.00\% | -0.17\% |  | $(580,386)$ |  |  |
| Total International Equity |  | 87,690,891 | 24.97\% | 25.00\% | -0.03\% |  | $(95,354)$ |  | 87,786,245 |
| Fixed Income:* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Met West - XD |  | 82,027,710 | 23.36\% | 25.00\% | -1.64\% |  | $(5,758,535)$ |  | 87,786,245 |
| Real Estate:* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clarion - Lion |  | 19,273,396 | 5.49\% | 5.00\% | 0.49\% |  | 1,716,147 |  |  |
| Morgan Stanley |  | 17,956,916 | 5.11\% | 5.00\% | 0.11\% |  | 399,667 |  |  |
| Total Real Estate |  | 37,230,313 | 10.60\% | 10.00\% | 0.60\% |  | 2,115,815 |  | 35,114,498 |
| Total Combined Net Asset | \$ | 351,144,980 | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | \$ | - | \$ | 351,144,980 |


| Asset Allocation Policy Ranges*: | Minimum | Target | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Domestic Equity | $\mathbf{3 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 \%}$ |
| Large Cap (50/50 value/growth) | $28 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| Small Cap | $5 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| International Equity | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ |
| Large Cap Developed Markets | $10 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Small Cap Developed Markets | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Emerging Markets | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| Domestic Fixed Income | $\mathbf{2 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Real Estate | $\mathbf{6 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 0 \%}$ |

[^0]
# Reconciliation between Callan Report <br> and <br> Consolidated Pension Fund Balance Sheet <br> As of December 31, 2022 

| Per Both Pension Fund Balance Sheets: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ATU Allocated Custodial Assets | 157,993,675 |
| ATU Accrued Clarion Distributions Receivable | 77,679 |
| IBEW Allocated Custodial Assets | 70,531,716 |
| IBEW Accrued Clarion Distributions Receivable | 33,604 |
| Salaried Allocated Custodial Assets | 122,619,589 |
| Salaried Accrued Clarion Distributions Receivable | 51,490 |
| Total Consolidated Net Asset | 351,307,752 |
| Per Callan Report: |  |
| Total Investments | 351,308,111 |
| Net Difference | (359) * |

* The "Net Difference" amounts shown are the results of Callan and Northern Trust using different valuations for the same securities.
${ }^{\text {**Callan includes Clarion distributions receivable in total investments and Northern Trust recognizes the balance the }}$ following quarter when cash is received.


## Reconciliation between Callan Report and <br> Consolidated Pension Fund Investment Income <br> For the Quarter Ended December 31, 2022

| Per Both Pension Fund Income Statements: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| ATU - Investment Earnings | $11,277,090$ |
| ATU - Management Fees | $(60,781)$ |
| IBEW - Investment Earnings | $5,009,327$ |
| IBEW - Management Fees | $(26,522)$ |
| Salaried - Investment Earnings | $8,836,834$ |
| Salaried - Management Fees |  |
| $\quad$ Total Investment Income | $(42,464)$ |
| Per Callan Report: | $24,993,484$ |
| Investment Returns |  |
| Net Difference |  |

[^1] same securities.

| Reconciliation between Callan Report and <br> Consolidated Schedule of Cash Activities For the Quarter Ended December 31, 2022 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | October | November | December | Total |
| Payments from/(to) the District |  |  |  |  |
| Boston Partners - ATU | - | - | $(462,364)$ | $(462,364)$ |
| Boston Partners - IBEW | - | - | $(173,850)$ | $(173,850)$ |
| Boston Partners - Salaried | - | - | $(169,641)$ | $(169,641)$ |
| Atlanta Capital - ATU | - | $(188,258)$ | - | $(188,258)$ |
| Atlanta Capital - IBEW | - | $(54,353)$ | - | $(54,353)$ |
| Atlanta Capital - Salaried | - | 62,653 | - | 62,653 |
| Total Payments from/(to) the District | - | $(179,958)$ | $(805,855)$ | $(985,813)$ |
| Transfers $\ln /($ Out) of Investment Funds |  |  |  |  |
| Boston Partners | - | - ${ }^{-}$ | $(805,855)$ | $(805,855)$ |
| Atlanta Capital | - | $(179,958)$ | - | $(179,958)$ |
| Total Transfers In/(Out) of Investment Funds | - | (179,958) | $(805,855)$ | $(985,813)$ |
| Variance between Payments and Transfers | - | - |  |  |
| Per Callan Report: |  |  |  |  |
| Net New Investment/(Withdrawals) |  |  |  | $(985,813)$ |
| Net Difference |  |  |  | - |


|  | Consolidated Schedule of Cash Activities For the 12-Months December 31, 2022 |  |  | 4Q22 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1Q22 | 2Q22 | 3Q22 |  |  |
| Payments from/(to) the District |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boston Partners - ATU | $(454,899)$ | $(744,223)$ | $(500,172)$ | $(462,364)$ | $(2,161,659)$ |
| Boston Partners - IBEW | $(133,774)$ | $(323,030)$ | $(209,734)$ | $(173,850)$ | $(840,388)$ |
| Boston Partners - Salaried | 203,839 | 72,670 | $(29,752)$ | $(169,641)$ | 77,117 |
| S\&P 500 Index - ATU | - | $(108,301)$ | - | - | $(108,301)$ |
| S\&P 500 Index - Salaried | - | 108,301 | - | - | 108,301 |
| Atlanta Capital - ATU | - | $(56,187)$ | $(232,288)$ | $(188,258)$ | $(476,734)$ |
| Atlanta Capital - IBEW | - | - | $(103,462)$ | $(54,353)$ | $(157,815)$ |
| Atlanta Capital - Salaried | - | 56,187 | 77,786 | 62,653 | 196,626 |
| Pyrford - ATU | - | $(60,404)$ | - | - | $(60,404)$ |
| Pyrford - Salaried | - | 60,404 | - | - | 60,404 |
| EAFE - ATU | - | $(30,535)$ | - | - | $(30,535)$ |
| EAFE - Salaried | - | 30,535 | - | - | 30,535 |
| AQR - ATU | - | $(34,400)$ | - | - | $(34,400)$ |
| AQR - Salaried | - | 34,400 | - | - | 34,400 |
| DFA - ATU | - | $(41,213)$ | - | - | $(41,213)$ |
| DFA - Salaried | - | 41,213 | - | - | 41,213 |
| Metropolitan West - ATU | - | $(156,008)$ | - | - | $(156,008)$ |
| Metropolitan West - Salaried | - | 156,008 | - | - | 156,008 |
| Clarion - ATU | - | $(33,152)$ | - | - | $(33,152)$ |
| Clarion - Salaried | - | 33,152 | - | - | 33,152 |
| Morgan Stanley - ATU | - | $(31,641)$ | - | - | $(31,641)$ |
| Morgan Stanley - Salaried | - | 31,641 | - | - | 31,641 |
| Total Payments from/(to) the District | $(384,834)$ | $(994,583)$ | $(997,623)$ | (985,813) | $(3,362,853)$ |

Sacramento Regional Transit District
ATU, IBEW and Salaried Retirement Plans Schedule of Fund Investment Returns and Expenses 12/31/22

Boston Partners
Investment Returns Investment Expens Net Gain/(Loss)

S\&P 500
Investment Returns Investment Expens $\epsilon$ Net Gain/(Loss)

Atlanta Capital
Investment Returns Investment Expens Net Gain/(Loss)

Pyrford
Investment Returns
Investment Expens Net Gain/(Loss)

EAFE
Investment Returns Investment Expens Net Gain/(Loss)

AQR
Investment Returns Investment Expense Net Gain/(Loss)

DFA
Investment Returns Investment Expens $\epsilon$ Net Gain/(Loss)

Metropolitan West
Investment Returns Investment Expens Net Gain/(Loss)

Clarion
Investment Returns Investment Expens $\epsilon$ Net Gain/(Loss)

Morgan Stanley
Investment Returns Investment Expens Net Gain/(Loss)

Total Fund
Investment Returns Investment Expense Net Gain/(Loss)

|  |  | 1 Year |  |  |  |  | 3 Years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Net of Fees Returns | Bench- <br> Mark Returns | Favorable/ (Unfavor) Basis Pts | 3 Years | \% | Net of Fees Returns | BenchMark Returns | Favorable/ (Unfavor) Basis Pts |
| $(2,221,231)$ $(313,432)$ | $100.00 \%$ $-14.11 \%$ | -3.68\% | -7.54\% | 386.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 18,807,537 \\ (902,996) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}100.00 \% \\ 4.80 \% \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 9.01\% | 5.96\% | 305.00 |
| $(2,534,663)$ | 114.11\% |  |  |  | 17,904,541 | 95.20\% |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} (11,761,767) \\ (11,206) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \% \\ -0.10 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -18.14\% | -18.11\% | (3.00) | $\begin{array}{r} 14,532,924 \\ (38,926) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \% \\ 0.27 \% \end{array}$ | 7.60\% | 7.66\% | (6.00) |
| (11,772,973) | 100.10\% |  |  |  | 14,493,998 | 99.73\% |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} (3,862,287) \\ (237,376) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \% \\ -6.15 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -11.86\% | -20.44\% | 858.00 | $\begin{gathered} 5,852,784 \\ (718,378) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \% \\ 12.27 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5.50\% | 3.10\% | 240.00 |
| $(4,099,663)$ | 106.15\% |  |  |  | 5,134,406 | 87.73\% |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} (2,289,706) \\ (231,503) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.00 \% \\ -10.11 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -6.97\% | -14.45\% | 748.00 | $\begin{gathered} 1,843,330 \\ (650,435) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \% \\ 35.29 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1.11\% | 0.87\% | N/A |
| $(2,521,209)$ | 110.11\% |  |  |  | 1,192,895 | 64.71\% |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} (2,617,221) \\ (6,359) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \% \\ -0.24 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -14.17\% | -14.45\% | 28.00 | $1,124,634$ $(20,407)$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 100.00\% } \\ \text { 1.81\% } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1.13\% | 0.87\% | 26.00 |
| (2,623,580) | 100.24\% |  |  |  | 1,104,227 | 98.19\% |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} (2,323,977) \\ (154,032) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \% \\ -6.63 \% \end{array}$ | -11.65\% | -21.39\% | 974.00 | $\begin{gathered} 1,849,327 \\ (457,424) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $100.00 \%$ $24.73 \%$ | 1.92\% | -0.93\% | 285.00 |
| $(2,478,009)$ | 106.63\% |  |  |  | 1,391,903 | 75.27\% |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} (4,017,591) \\ (82,140) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \% \\ -2.04 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -16.39\% | -20.09\% | 370.00 | $\begin{gathered} 1,454,815 \\ (344,577) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \% \\ 23.69 \% \end{array}$ | 0.25\% | -2.69\% | 294.00 |
| (4,099,731) | 102.04\% |  |  |  | 1,110,238 | 76.31\% |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} (12,783,026) \\ (231,107) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \% \\ -1.81 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -13.72\% | -13.01\% | (71.00) | $(3,573,053)$ $(749,727)$ | 100.00\% <br> $-20.98 \%$ <br> 1 | -2.10\% | -2.71\% | 61.00 |
| (13,014,133) | 101.81\% |  |  |  | (4,322,780) | 120.98\% |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1,524,118 \\ (211,810) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \% \\ 13.90 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 8.51\% | 7.47\% | N/A | N/A $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \% \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1,312,308 | 86.10\% |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1,038,033 \\ (215,245) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \% \\ 20.74 \% \end{array}$ | 4.69\% | 7.47\% | N/A | N/A <br> N/A | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 822,788 | 79.26\% |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} (39,314,655) \\ (1,694,210) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \% \\ -4.31 \% \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 41,892,298 \\ (3,882,870) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \% \\ 9.27 \% \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| (41,008,865) | 104.31\% | -10.28\% | -14.03\% | 375.00 | 38,009,428 | 90.73\% | 4.70\% | 3.35\% | 135.00 |

Sacramento Regional Transit District, Retirements and Deaths
For the Time Period: October 1, 2022 - December 31, 2022
Retirements:

| Emp\# | Previous Position | Pension Group |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1026 | VA Marketing and Comm | MCEG | Retirement Date |
| 760 | CBS Dispatcher | AFSC | $10 / 01 / 22$ |
| 2779 | Light Rail Admin Tech | AFST | $10 / 01 / 22$ |
| 616 | Light Rail Supervisor | AFSC | $10 / 01 / 22$ |
| 720 | Operator | ATU | $10 / 01 / 22$ |
| 1158 | LR Trans. Supervisor | AFSC | $10 / 13 / 22$ |
| 373 | Bus Trans. Supervisor | AFSC | $11 / 01 / 22$ |
| 1136 | Marketing | AEA | $12 / 01 / 22$ |
| 2966 | Bus Operator | ATU | $12 / 01 / 22$ |
| 3286 | Light Rail Service Worker | IBEW | $12 / 01 / 22$ |
| 2607 | Bus Operator | ATU | $12 / 01 / 22$ |
| 588 | Transit Officer | ATU | $12 / 03 / 22$ |
|  |  |  | $12 / 15 / 22$ |

Deaths:

| Emp\# | Pension Group | Type | Date of Death |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 133 | ATU | $50 \%$ J\&S | $10 / 03 / 22$ |
| 1235 | AFSC | $50 \%$ J\&S | $12 / 11 / 22$ |
|  |  |  |  |

DATE: March 8, 2023

TO: Sacramento Regional Transit Retirement Boards - ALL<br>FROM: Jason Johnson, Acting, VP, Finance/CFO<br>\section*{SUBJ: RECEIVE AND FILE THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS WITH INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT FOR THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2022 (ALL). (JOHNSON)}

## RECOMMENDATION

## Motion to Approve

## RESULT OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

Motion: Receive and File the Financial Statements with Independent Auditor's Report, Auditor's Report to the Board of Directors, and the Report on Internal Control for the Twelve-Month Period Ended June 30, 2022 (ALL). (Johnson)

## FISCAL IMPACT

None.

## DISCUSSION

In accordance with California Government Code Section 7504, the Retirement Plans for employees of the Sacramento Regional Transit District (District) are required to have an annual audit performed. Crowe LLC conducted the Plans' audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The standards require that the auditors plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance that the Plans' financial statements are free of material misstatements.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the investment assets for the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans were combined into one commingled investment portfolio. The balance of investments owned by the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans are calculated based on a percentage of ownership as determined by the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans' custodian.

As noted in the report (Attachment 1), the combined net position held in trust for pension benefits decreased $\$ 29,805,495$ or $-8.59 \%$ from the beginning-of-year balance of $\$ 376,828,683$ to the end-of-year balance of $\$ 347,023,188$. The audit confirmed that the District made $100 \%$ of its actuarially determined contribution of $\$ 25,575,022$.

The audit also determined that the Retirement Plans' financial statements are free of material misstatements and that the Retirement Plans are operated with appropriate internal controls.

## Staff Recommendation

The following documents (Attachments 1-3) are submitted to the Board for receipt and filing:

- The Audited Financial Statements - Attachment 1
- Report to the Board of Directors - Attachment 2
- Report on Internal Control - Attachment 3
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## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Members of the Retirement Board of Directors
Sacramento Regional Transit District
Sacramento, California

## Report on the Audit of the Financial Statements

## Opinions

We have audited the financial statements of the ATU Plan, IBEW Plan and Salaried Plan for Sacramento Regional Transit District Employees (the Plans), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2022, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Plans' basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the plan net position of the ATU Plan, IBEW Plan and Salaried Plan for Sacramento Regional Transit District Employees, as of June 30, 2022, and the changes in plan net position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## Basis for Opinions

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS) and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards (Government Auditing Standards), issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the Plans, and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

## Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the Plans' ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

## Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinions. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS and Government Auditing Standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS and Government Auditing Standards, we

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Plans' internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the Plans' ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

## Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Schedules of Changes in the Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios, Schedules of District Contributions, and Schedule of Investment Returns, as listed in the table of contents, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Management has omitted the Management's Discussion and Analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Our opinions on the basic financial statements are not affected by this missing information.

## Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Plans' basic financial statements. The Schedules of Investment and Administrative Expenses are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedules of Investment and Administrative Expenses are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

## Other Information

Management is responsible for the other information included in the annual report. The other information comprises the Members of the Retirement Board and Administrative Staff but does not include the basic financial statements and our auditor's report thereon. Our opinions on the basic financial statements do not cover the other information, and we do not express an opinion or any form of assurance thereon.

In connection with our audit of the basic financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and consider whether a material inconsistency exists between the other information and the basic financial statements, or the other information otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If, based on the work performed, we conclude that an uncorrected material misstatement of the other information exists, we are required to describe it in our report.

## Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated November 18, 2022 on our consideration of Plans' internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of Plans' internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering Plans' internal control over financial reporting and compliance.


Sacramento, California
November 18, 2022
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 DISTRICT EMPLOYEES}

## STATEMENT OF PLAN NET POSITION

## JUNE 30, 2022


(Schedule of Changes in the Net Position Liability and Related Ratios for the Plans are presented on pages 25 through 29.)

The accompanying notes to the financial statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

## RETIREMENT PLANS FOR

## SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES

## STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN PLAN NET POSITION FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED <br> JUNE 30, 2022

|  | ATU |  | IBEW |  | Salaried |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Additions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employer | \$ | 10,417,845 | \$ | 4,163,949 | \$ | 10,993,228 | \$ | 25,575,022 |
| Member |  | 1,191,796 |  | 488,243 |  | 705,053 |  | 2,385,092 |
| Change in bargaining group |  |  |  |  |  | 667,990 |  | 667,990 |
| Total contributions |  | 11,609,641 |  | 4,652,192 |  | 12,366,271 |  | 28,628,104 |
| Investment income (loss): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net depreciation in fair value of investments |  | $(13,877,207)$ |  | $(6,117,959)$ |  | $(10,960,741)$ |  | (30,955,907) |
| Interest, dividends, and other income |  | 2,382,349 |  | 1,049,036 |  | 1,790,970 |  | 5,222,355 |
| Investment expenses |  | $(850,920)$ |  | $(375,902)$ |  | $(631,831)$ |  | $(1,858,653)$ |
| Net investment loss |  | $(12,345,778)$ |  | $(5,444,825)$ |  | $(9,801,602)$ |  | $(27,592,205)$ |
| Total additions |  | $(736,137)$ |  | $(792,633)$ |  | 2,564,669 |  | 1,035,899 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefits paid to participants |  | 13,239,168 |  | 5,082,251 |  | 11,086,271 |  | 29,407,690 |
| Change in bargaining group |  | 667,990 |  |  |  |  |  | 667,990 |
| Administrative expenses |  | 269,615 |  | 234,081 |  | 262,018 |  | 765,714 |
| Total deductions |  | 14,176,773 |  | 5,316,332 |  | 11,348,289 |  | 30,841,394 |
| Net decrease in plan net position |  | $(14,912,910)$ |  | $(6,108,965)$ |  | $(8,783,620)$ |  | $(29,805,495)$ |
| Net position restricted for pension benefits Beginning of fiscal year |  | 171,544,565 |  | 75,917,397 |  | 129,366,721 |  | 376,828,683 |
| Net position restricted for pension benefits End of fiscal year | \$ | 156,631,655 | \$ | 69,808,432 | \$ | 120,583,101 | \$ | 347,023,188 |

The accompanying notes to the financial statements are an integral part of these financial statements.
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NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

## 1. DESCRIPTION OF THE PLANS

The financial statements of the Retirement Plans for the Sacramento Regional Transit District Employees encompass the financial position and changes therein, for the ATU, IBEW, and Salaried Plans. The combined plans are reported as pension trust funds in the Sacramento Regional Transit District's (District) financial statements.

## ATU and IBEW Plans

The Retirement Plan for Sacramento Regional Transit District Employees who are Members of ATU Local 256 (ATU Plan) and the Retirement Plan for International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Union 1245, AFLCIO and Sacramento Regional Transit District Employees (IBEW Plan) are single employer defined benefit pension plans covering contract employees of the District. Participants should refer to their respective plan agreements for more complete information. The ATU Plan and IBEW Plan were accounted for as one plan for accounting purposes prior to 2017 (collectively, the ATU/IBEW Plan). Effective July 1, 2016, separate trust agreements and financial record keeping was created for the ATU Plan and IBEW Plan based on actuarial calculations and trustee transactions. Each trust allows for accumulation of assets solely for the payment of benefits to plan members. The changes were approved and required by the Internal Revenue Service in order to establish the individual trusts.

## Salaried Plan

The Sacramento Regional Transit District Retirement Plan for AFSCME, AEA, and Non-Represented Employees (Salaried Plan) is a single employer defined benefit pension plan covering full- or part-time employees in the following employee groups: Operating Engineers Local 3 which remain under the Administrative Employees Association (AEA), Management and Confidential Employees Group (MCEG), and the American Federation of State, County \& Municipal Employees, Local 146, AFL-CIO (AFSCME). AFSCME is further split into two groups AFSCME-Technical and AFSCME-Supervisors. Participants should refer to the Salaried Plan agreement for more complete information. The Salaried Plan is reported as a pension trust fund in the District's financial statements.

Plan Tier Definition - As a result of labor negotiations and the court ruling on the Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013 (PEPRA), Tier 2 was created in the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans, as well as a Tier 3 for the ATU only. The Tiers effective dates are directly affected by labor negotiations and whether the union/employee group was under a current Memorandum of Understanding (MOU). As of June 30, 2022 the following tiers apply to employees, based on their date of hire.

- ATU - Tier 1 consists of all employees hired on or before December 31, 2014, Tier 2 consists of all employees hired on or after January 1, 2016, Tier 3 consists of all employees hired during the time period January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015.
- IBEW - Tier 1 consists of all employees hired on or before December 31, 2014, Tier 2 consists of all employees hired on or after January 1, 2015.
- Salaried - Tier 1 consists of all employees hired on or before December 31, 2014, Tier 2 consists of all employees hired on or after January 1, 2015.

Tier 1 and Tier 3 are closed to new entrants as all newly hired employees will be placed into the respective Tier 2 plans.
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## NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED) FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED <br> JUNE 30, 2022

## 1. DESCRIPTION OF THE PLANS (Continued)

## General Provisions ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans

Contributions to the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans are authorized or amended by the Retirement Board based on an actuarial basis. The authority under which benefit provisions are established and amended rests with the District's Board of Directors as a result of labor negotiations. Assembly Bill 1064, effective January 1, 2004, mandates that the Retirement Boards be comprised of equal representation of management and Bargaining Group employees. The Retirement Board shall consist of not more than 4 members and 2 alternates. Two (2) voting members and one (1) alternate shall be appointed by the District's Board of Directors and two (2) voting members and one (1) alternate shall be appointed by the ATU, IBEW, AEA, AFSCME, and MCEG member groups.

The ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans provide defined pension, disability, and death benefits to employees who are members of the ATU, IBEW, AEA, MCEG, AFSCME-Technical, and AFSCME-Supervisors bargaining units.

Plan membership for Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3, at June 30, 2022, consisted of:

|  | ATU | IBEW | Salaried |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits | 497 | 174 | 356 |
| Terminated members entitled to but not yet collecting benefits | 31 | 18 | 44 |
| Current active members | 541 | 204 | 273 |
|  | 1,069 | 396 | 673 |

Change in Bargaining Group - Changes in bargaining groups occur when an active employee of any Plan accepts a new position with a bargaining unit that participates in another Plan. When a change in bargaining group occurs contributions made on behalf of that employee must be assessed to determine if the assets need to be moved to the new Plan. Amounts related to a change in bargaining group are recorded upon final verification and approval of calculated amounts by the District and the related bargaining group's retirement board. During the year ending June 30, 2022 assets were moved from the ATU Plan to the Salaried Plan in the amount of \$667,990. The effects of the change can be seen on the Statement of Changes in Net Position as Change in Bargaining Group within the additions and deductions categories.
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## NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED) FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED

JUNE 30, 2022

## 1. DESCRIPTION OF THE PLANS (Continued)

RETIREMENT BENEFITS

Table 1 below presents a summary of the retirement benefits for Tier 1 employees for each of the employee groups represented by the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans. Table 1 also includes the summary for ATU Tier 3.

Table 1

| TIER 1 \& TIER 3 | ATU Plan | IBEW Plan | Salaried Plan |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employee Unions/Groups | ATU | IBEW | AFSCME - <br> Technical | AFSCME - <br> Supervisors | AEA | MCEG |
| Plan Terms | MOU | MOU | MOU | MOU | MOU | MOU |
| Vesting Period: Years of Service - \% Vested | 10-100\% | 5-100\% | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5-20 \% \\ 6-40 \% \\ 7-60 \% \\ 8-80 \% \\ 9-100 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5-20 \% \\ 6-40 \% \\ 7-60 \% \\ 8-80 \% \\ 9-100 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5-100\% | 5-100\% |
| Vacation and sick leave sell back towards pension calculation | Allowable | Allowable | Allowable | Allowable | Allowable | Allowable |
| Disability Retirement Multiplier | Equal to applicable retirement age multiplier or $2 \%$ if age and service are not met. Vesting required |  |  |  |  |  |
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## NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED) FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED <br> JUNE 30, 2022

## 1. DESCRIPTION OF THE PLANS (Continued)

Table 2 below presents a summary of the retirement benefits for Tier 2 employees for each of the employee groups represented by the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans.

Table 2

| TIER 2 | ATU Plan | IBEW Plan | Salaried Plan |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employee <br> Unions/Groups | ATU | IBEW | AFSCME - <br> Technical | AFSCME - <br> Supervisors | AEA | MCEG |
| Plan Terms | PEPRA | PEPRA | PEPRA | PEPRA | PEPRA | PEPRA |
| Vesting Period: <br> Years of Service - <br> $\%$ Vested | $5-100 \%$ | $5-100 \%$ | $5-100 \%$ | $5-100 \%$ | $5-100 \%$ | $5-100 \%$ |
| Vacation and sick <br> sell back towards <br> pension calculation | Not <br> Allowable | Not <br> Allowable | Not <br> Allowable | Not <br> Allowable | Allowable | Allowable |
| Disability <br> Retirement <br> Multiplier | Equal to applicable retirement age multiplier or 1\% if age and service are not met. <br> Vesting required |  |  |  |  |  |

The retirement ages, years of service and pension calculation multipliers vary by employee union/group. For Tier 1 and Tier 3 members, the multipliers and years of service range from $2 \%$ at age 55 or 25 years of service to $2.5 \%$ at age 60 or 30 or more years of service. All Tier 2 participants fall under PEPRA requirements.

The benefits for vested members begin at retirement and continue for the participant's life with no cost of living adjustment. The participant can elect to receive reduced benefits with continuing benefits to a beneficiary after death

Disability Benefits - A participant is eligible for a disability benefit if the participant is unable to perform the duties of his or her job with the District, cannot be transferred to another job with the District, and has submitted satisfactory medical evidence of permanent disqualification from his or her job. Members are required to be vested in their respective union or employee group to qualify for disability retirement. The disability benefit is equal to the retirement allowance, as defined by the ATU, IBEW or Salaried Plan, multiplied by service accrued through the date of disability. The disability benefit cannot exceed the retirement benefit. The benefit begins at disability and continues until recovery or for the participant's life unless the participant elects to receive reduced benefits with continuing benefits to a beneficiary after death.

Pre-Retirement Death Benefit - A participant's surviving spouse is eligible for a pre-retirement death benefit if the participant is vested, based on the respective bargaining agreements. The pre-retirement death benefit is the actuarial equivalent of the normal retirement benefit, as if the participant retired on the date of death. The death benefit begins when the participant dies and continues for the life of the surviving spouse.
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## NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED) FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED <br> JUNE 30, 2022

## 1. DESCRIPTION OF THE PLANS (Continued)

Administration - The ATU, IBEW, and Salaried Plans are administered by the ATU, IBEW, and Salaried Plans' Retirement Boards. All expenses incurred in the administration of the ATU, IBEW, and Salaried Plans are paid by the respective plan.

Plan Termination - Should the ATU, IBEW or the Salaried Plan be terminated, the Plans' net position will first be applied to provide for retirement benefits to retired members. Any remaining net position will be allocated to other members, oldest first both active and inactive, on the basis of the actuarial present value of their benefits.

## 2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Accounting - The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and reporting guidelines set forth by the Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB). The ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans are reported as pension trust funds which report resources that are required to be held in trust for the members and beneficiaries of the defined benefit pension plans. The ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans are accounted for on the flow of economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting.

The District's contributions to the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans are recognized in the period in which the contributions are due pursuant to formal commitments or contractual requirements. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans' agreements.

Cash and Short-Term Investments - The ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans consider all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be short-term investments.

Investments - Investments consist of securities or other assets held primarily for the purpose of income or profit and their present service capacity is based solely on their ability to generate cash or to be sold to generate cash. Realized gains or losses on the sale of investments are recorded on the trade date as the difference between proceeds received and the fair value at the beginning of the year, or cost if acquired during the year. Net appreciation (depreciation) in fair value of investments includes net unrealized market appreciation and depreciation of investments and net realized gains and losses on the sale of investments during the period. Interest income includes dividends and interest paid on the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans' investments. The investment assets for the ATU, IBEW and the Salaried Plans are combined into one commingled investment portfolio. The balances of investments owned by the plans are calculated based on a percentage of ownership as determined by the Plans' custodian, Northern Trust.

Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America requires the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans' administrators to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results may differ from those estimates.

New Pronouncements - For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans did not implement new GASB pronouncements as they did not apply to the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans' financial activity or were immaterial.

The District will evaluate the impact of new GASB pronouncements in the year they are implemented or effective.
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NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

## 3. CONTRIBUTION REQUIREMENTS

## EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS

The ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans' funding policies provides for actuarially determined periodic contributions. Contribution rates for retirement benefits are determined using the entry age normal cost method. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the District made contributions to the ATU, IBEW, and Salaried Plan of $\$ 10,417,845, \$ 4,163,949$, and $\$ 10,993,228$ respectively.

## TIER 1 EMPLOYEES

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the actuarially determined rate for the ATU Plan was $30.65 \%$ of covered payroll, the IBEW Plan was $32.36 \%$ of covered payroll, and the Salaried Plan was $43.17 \%$ of covered payroll. No contributions are required by the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans' members pursuant to each respective bargaining agreement for employees hired before January 1, 2015.

## TIER 2 EMPLOYEES

As of January 1, 2015, all new employees were required to contribute to their pension based upon the terms of the bargaining groups MOU or based on PEPRA.

ATU employees are required to contribute $50 \%$ of normal cost which is currently $7.25 \%$ of their annual salary. The employer portion of the actuarially determined rate for the ATU members was $22.46 \%$ of covered payroll for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2022. The total contribution by Tier 2 employees of the ATU Plan for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022 was $\$ 1,149,698$.

IBEW employees are required to contribute $50 \%$ of normal cost which is currently $7.00 \%$ of their annual salary. The employer portion of the actuarially determined rate for the IBEW members was $23.75 \%$ of covered payroll for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2022. The total contribution by Tier 2 employees of the IBEW Plan for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022 was \$488,243.

Members of AEA, MCEG, AFSCME-Supervisors, and AFSCME-Technical are required to contribute $50 \%$ of normal cost which is currently $6.50 \%$ of their annual salary. The employer portion of the actuarially determined rate for the AEA, MCEG, and AFSCME-Supervisors members was $30.08 \%$ of covered payroll for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2022. The total contribution by Tier 2 employees of the Salaried Plan for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022 was \$705,053.

The employee contribution rates calculated in compliance with PEPRA, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, were actuarially determined as part of the valuations dated July 1, 2020.

## TIER 3 EMPLOYEES

ATU employees hired during the time period January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015, are required to contribute $3 \%$ of pay. The employer portion of the actuarially determined rate for the ATU members was $30.65 \%$ of covered payroll for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2022. The total contribution by Tier 3 employees of the ATU Plan for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022 was $\$ 42,098$.
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## NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED <br> JUNE 30, 2022

## 4. CASH AND INVESTMENTS

## CASH AND SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS

At June 30, 2022, the reported amount of cash and short-term investments of the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans was $\$ 11,990,488$. The amount was collateralized with securities held by the counterparty's trust department or agent in the District's name on behalf of the Retirement Plans.

## INVESTMENTS

An annual Board-adopted policy, the "Statement of Investment Objectives and Policy Guidelines for the Sacramento Regional Transit District Retirement Plans" (Policy), governs the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans' investments. The Policy focuses on the continued feasibility of achieving, and the appropriateness of, the Asset Allocation Policy, the Investment Objectives, the Investment Policies and Guidelines, and the Investment Restrictions. The Retirement Boards have the authority to amend the asset allocation targets as well as establish and amend investment policies. The following was the Plans' adopted asset allocation policy as of June 30, 2022:

| Asset Class |  | Target <br> Allocation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Domestic Equity Large Cap |  | $32 \%$ |
| Domestic Equity Small Cap | $8 \%$ |  |
| International Equity Developed Large Cap |  | $14 \%$ |
| International Equity Developed Small Cap | $5 \%$ |  |
| International Equity Emerging Markets |  | $6 \%$ |
| Domestic Fixed Income |  | $25 \%$ |
| Real Estate |  | $10 \%$ |

For the years ended June 30, 2022, the annual money-weighted rate of return on pension plan investments, net of pension plan investment expenses, was $-7.30 \%$. The money-weighted rate of return expresses investment performance, net of investment expense, adjusted for the changing amounts actually invested.
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NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED<br>JUNE 30, 2022

## 4. CASH AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

The following table identifies the investment types that are authorized by the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans' Retirement Boards. The table also identifies certain provisions of the Investment Objectives and Policy that address interest rate risk, credit risk and concentration of credit risk.

| Authorized Investment Type | Maximum <br> Maturity (1) | Minimum <br> Rating (3) | Maximum <br> Percentage of <br> Portfolio | Maximum <br> Investment in <br> One Issuer |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | None | N/A | None | None |
| U.S. Treasury Bills | None | N/A | None | None |
| Agency Discount Notes | None | N/A | None | None |
| Certificates of Deposit | None | N/A | None | None |
| Bankers Acceptances | None | N/A | None | None |
| Commercial Paper | None | A2/P2 | None | None |
| Asset-Backed Commercial Paper | None | A2/P2 | None | None |
| Money Market Funds and Bank Short-Term <br> Investment Funds (STIF) | None | N/A | None | None |
| Repurchase Agreements | None | N/A | None | None |
| U.S. Government and Agency Securities | None | N/A | None | None |
| Credit Securities/Corporate Debt (4) | None | N/A | None | None |
| Securitized Investments (5) | None | N/A | None | None |
| Emerging Markets | None | N/A | None | None |
| International Fixed Income Securities | None | N/A | None | None |
| Other Fixed Income Securities (6) | None | N/A | None | None |
| Mutual Funds and Interest in Collective and <br> Commingled Funds | N/A | N/A | $25 \%(2)$ | $5 \%$ |
| Real Estate Investment Trust | N/A | N/A | $25 \%(2)$ | $5 \%$ |
| Depository Receipt | N/A | N/A | $25 \%(2)$ | $5 \%$ |
| Stocks | N/A | N/A | $25 \%(2)$ | $5 \%$ |
| Other Equity Securities (7) | N/A | N/A | $25 \%(2)$ | $5 \%$ |
| Real Estate | None | N/A | None | None |

(1) The fixed income portion of the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans shall be limited in duration to between $75 \%$ and $125 \%$ of the Bloomberg Aggregate Index benchmark.
(2) No more than $25 \%$ of the fair value on the purchase cost basis of the total common stock portfolio (equity securities) shall be invested in a single industry at the time of purchase.
(3) The investment managers shall maintain a minimum overall portfolio quality rating of "A" equivalent or better at all times (based on market-weighted portfolio average). Minimum quality (at purchase) must be at least $80 \%$ Baa or above.
(4) Credit Securities and Corporate Debt include: debentures, medium-term notes, capital securities, trust preferred securities, Yankee bonds, Eurodollar securities, floating rate notes and perpetual floaters, structured notes, municipal bonds, preferred stock, private placements (bank loans and 144(a) securities), and Enhanced Equipment Trust Certificates (EETCs).
(5) Securitized investments includes: agency and non-agency mortgage-backed securities, asset-backed securities (144(a) securities), and commercial mortgage-backed securities.
(6) Other Fixed Income Securities includes: fixed income commingled and mutual funds, futures and options, swap agreements, and reverse repurchase agreements.
(7) Other Equity Securities include: rights and warrants.
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## NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED <br> JUNE 30, 2022

## 4. CASH AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

## INVESTMENT RISK FACTORS

There are many factors that can affect the value of investments. Such factors as interest rate risk, credit risk, custodial credit risk, concentration of credit risk, and foreign currency risk may affect both equity and fixed income securities.

## INTEREST RATE RISK

Interest rate risk is the risk that the value of fixed income securities will decline because of rising interest rates. The prices of fixed income securities with a longer time to maturity, measured by duration, tend to be more sensitive to changes in interest rates and, therefore, more volatile than those with shorter duration.

The following table provides information about the interest rate risks associated with the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans' investments at June 30, 2022.

|  | Maturity in Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 1 |  | 1-5 |  | 6-10 |  | More <br> than 10 |  |  |  |
| Collateralized Mortgage Obligations | \$ |  | \$ | 180,483 | \$ | 171,235 | \$ | 4,394,013 | \$ | 4,745,731 |
| Corporate Bonds |  | 1,295,966 |  | 10,212,828 |  | 7,734,985 |  | 7,526,670 |  | 26,770,449 |
| Municipal Bonds |  | - |  | - |  | 83,774 |  | 392,394 |  | 476,168 |
| U.S. Government Agency Obligations |  | - |  | 1,409,809 |  | 355,282 |  | 27,745,575 |  | 29,510,666 |
| U.S. Government Issued Obligations |  | - |  | 18,450,790 |  |  |  | 6,253,400 |  | 24,704,190 |
| Asset-Backed Securities |  | 81,326 |  | 627,913 |  | 589,038 |  | 5,316,526 |  | 6,614,803 |
| Total | \$ | 1,377,292 | \$ | 30,881,823 | \$ | 8,934,314 | \$ | 51,628,578 | \$ | 92,822,007 |

In accordance with the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans' investment policy, investments may include collateralized mortgage obligations, mortgage pass-through securities, asset-backed securities, callable bonds and corporate debts that are considered to be highly sensitive to changes in interest rates.
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## 4. CASH AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

## COLLATERALIZED MORTGAGE OBLIGATIONS

Collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs) are bonds that represent claims to specific cash flow from large pools of home mortgages. The streams of principal and interest payments on the mortgages are distributed to the different classes of CMO interests.

CMOs are often highly sensitive to changes in interest rates and any resulting change in the rate at which homeowners sell their properties, refinance, or otherwise pre-pay their loans. Investors in these securities may not only be subjected to such prepayment risk, but also exposed to significant market and liquidity risks.

## MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH SECURITIES

These securities, disclosed as U.S. Government Agency Obligations in the interest rate risk table above, are issued by Government Sponsored Enterprises (GSEs) which are a group of financial services corporations created by the United States Congress. The GSEs include: the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae), the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac), and the Federal Home Loan Banks. Another institution that issues these securities is the Government National Mortgage Association (Ginnie Mae). These securities are highly sensitive to interest rate fluctuations because they are subject to early payment. In a period of declining interest rate, the resulting reduction in expected total cash flows affects the value of these securities.

## ASSET-BACKED SECURITIES

Asset-backed securities generate a return based upon either the payment of interest or principal on obligations in an underlying pool. The relationship between interest rates and prepayments make the value highly sensitive to changes in interest rates.

CALLABLE BONDS

Although bonds are issued with clearly defined maturities, an issuer may be able to redeem, or call, a bond earlier than its maturity date. The Plans must then replace the called bond with a bond that may have a lower yield than the original bond. The call feature causes the value to be highly sensitive to changes in interest rates. As of June 30, 2022, the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans held callable bonds with a value of \$24,571,250.

## CREDIT RISK

Fixed income securities are subject to credit risk, which is the risk that a bond issuer or other counterparty to a debt instrument will not fulfill its obligation to pay interest or principal in a timely manner, or that negative perceptions of the issuer's ability to make these payments will cause security prices to decline. The circumstances may arise due to a variety of factors such as financial weakness, bankruptcy, litigation and/or adverse political developments.
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## 4. CASH AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

A bond's credit quality is an assessment of the issuer's ability to pay interest on the bond, and ultimately, to pay the principal. Credit quality is evaluated by one of the independent bond-rating agencies, for example Moody's Investors Services (Moody's). The lower the rating the greater the chance, in the rating agency's opinion, the bond issuer will default, or fail to meet their payment obligations. Generally, the lower a bond's credit rating, the higher its yield should be to compensate for the additional risk.

Certain fixed income securities, including obligations of the U.S. government or those explicitly guaranteed by the U.S. government, are not considered to have credit risk.

For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2022, the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans were in adherence with the credit risk provisions of the Statement of Investment Objectives and Policy Guidelines which require a minimum overall portfolio quality rating and a minimum credit rating at the time of purchase.

The following table provides information on the credit ratings and fair value associated with the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans' investments as of June 30, 2022.

| Investment Rating | Amount |  | Percentage of Portfolio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not Applicable | \$ | 260,187,507 | 73.71\% |
| Not Rated |  | 32,064,062 | 9.08\% |
| Aaa |  | 30,673,215 | 8.69\% |
| Aal |  | 316,588 | 0.09\% |
| Aa2 |  | 888,069 | 0.25\% |
| Aa3 |  | 772,347 | 0.22\% |
| A1 |  | 2,342,221 | 0.66\% |
| A2 |  | 4,628,736 | 1.31\% |
| A3 |  | 3,084,067 | 0.87\% |
| Baal |  | 3,966,502 | 1.12\% |
| Baa2 |  | 3,817,228 | 1.08\% |
| Baa3 |  | 3,680,981 | 1.04\% |
| Bal |  | 2,033,695 | 0.58\% |
| Ba 2 |  | 362,957 | 0.10\% |
| Ba 3 |  | 1,149,617 | 0.33\% |
| B1 |  | 504,841 | 0.14\% |
| B2 |  | 766,474 | 0.22\% |
| B3 |  | 854,342 | 0.24\% |
| Caal |  | 231,863 | 0.07\% |
| Caa2 |  | 32,500 | 0.01\% |
| C |  | 3,953 | 0.00\% |
| WR |  | 647,749 | 0.19\% |
|  | \$ | 353,009,514 | 100.00\% |
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## 4. CASH AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

## CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK

Concentration of credit risk is the risk associated with a lack of diversification of having too much invested in a few individual issuers, thereby exposing the organization to greater risks resulting from adverse economic, political, regulatory, geographic, or credit developments.

The investment policies of the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans state that an investment in each domestic or international equity fund managers' securities of a single issuer shall not exceed 5\% (at cost) of the value of the portfolios and/or of the total outstanding shares. As of June 30, 2022, the Plans held more than 5\% of the Plans' fiduciary net position and more than $5 \%$ of total investments in the following fixed-income securities investments.

Federal National Mortgage Association \$20,039,312

## CUSTODIAL CREDIT RISK

Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party.

The custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty (e.g., brokerdealer) to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. The ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans' investment policy does not contain legal or policy requirements that would limit the exposure to custodial credit risk for deposits or investments. The ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans' investment securities are not exposed to custodial credit risk because all securities are held by the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans' custodian bank in the District's name.

## FOREIGN CURRENCY RISK

Foreign currency risk is the risk that changes in exchange rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment or a deposit. The ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans' investment policy states international equity securities shall be comprised of American Depository Receipts (ADR) of non-U.S. companies, common stocks of non-U.S. companies, preferred stocks of non-U.S. companies, foreign convertible securities including debentures convertible to common stocks, and cash equivalents.

As of June 30, 2022, the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans do not have any deposits or investments in a foreign currency.
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## 4. CASH AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

## FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans categorize their fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the asset. Level 1 inputs are quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. The ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans had the following recurring fair value measurements as of June 30, 2022:

|  | June 30, 2022 |  | ir Value Measurements Using |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) |  | Significant <br> Other Observable <br> Inputs <br> (Level 2) |  | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |  |
| Debt Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralize mortgage obligations | \$ | 4,745,731 | \$ |  | \$ | 4,745,731 | \$ |  |
| Corporate bonds |  | 26,770,449 |  |  |  | 26,770,449 |  |  |
| Municipal bonds |  | 476,168 |  |  |  | 476,168 |  |  |
| U.S. Government Agency obligations |  | 29,510,666 |  |  |  | 29,510,666 |  |  |
| U.S. Government issued obligations |  | 24,704,190 |  |  |  | 24,704,190 |  |  |
| Asset backed obligations |  | 6,614,803 |  | - |  | 6,614,803 |  | - |
| Equity Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock |  | 84,098,213 |  | 84,038,323 |  |  |  | 59,890 |
| Depository receipts |  | 686,911 |  | 686,911 |  |  |  | - |
| Rights/Warrants |  | 1,796 |  | - |  |  |  | 1,796 |
| Total investments by fair value level |  | 177,608,927 | \$ | 84,725,234 | \$ | 92,822,007 | \$ | 61,686 |


| Investments measured at the net asset value |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| S\&P 500 index fund | $51,991,316$ |
| MSCI EAFE index fund | $14,978,131$ |
| International large capital equity fund | $31,868,369$ |
| International small capital equity fund | $16,804,721$ |
| International emerging markets fund | $20,797,612$ |
| Real estate funds | $38,960,438$ |
| Tnvestments measured at NAV | $175,400,587$ |
| Total investments measured at fair value | $\$ 353,009,514$ |
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## 4. CASH AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

Debt and equity securities classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy are valued using prices quoted in active markets for those securities. Debt securities classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy are valued using a matrix pricing technique. Matrix pricing is used to value securities based on the securities' relationship to benchmark quoted prices. Net asset value (NAV) securities are valued based on the net asset value of the pooled investments. The NAV per unit is determined by dividing the total value of the securities and other assets, less any liabilities, by the total outstanding units of the fund.


1. S\&P 500 index fund. This type includes an investment in a $S \& P 500$ index fund that invests to match the $\mathrm{S} \& P$ $500 ®$ Index. The S\&P 500 is made up of primarily U.S. common stocks. The fair value of the investment in this type has been determined using the NAV per unit of the investment. The NAV per unit of the investment are determined each business day. Issuances and redemptions of fund units may be made on such days, based upon the closing market value on the valuation date of the investments bought or sold and the NAV per unit of the fund.
2. MSCI EAFE index fund. This type includes an investment in the Morgan Stanley Capital International Europe, Australasia, Far East Index (MSCI EAFE) Index fund that invest to approximate as closely as practicable, before expenses, the performance of the MSCI EAFE Index over the long term. The MSCI EAFE Index is made up of primarily International stocks. The per unit NAV of the fund is determined as of the last business day of each month and at least one other business day during the month. Issuances and redemptions of fund units may be made on such days, based upon the closing market value on the valuation date of the investments bought or sold and the NAV per unit of the fund.
3. International large capital equity fund. This type includes an investment in an International Equity Fund that seeks total return from long-term capital growth and income, while attempting to outperform the MSCI EAFE Index over a market cycle, gross of fees. The fair value of the investment in this type has been determined using the NAV per unit of the investment. The Trust has one dealing day per month, which is the first business day, and units are issued based upon a valuation on the last business day of the preceding month.
4. International small capital equity fund. The fund intends to utilize a set of valuation, momentum and economic factors to generate an investment portfolio based on security selection procedures geared to assist the fund in meeting its investment objectives. The fund generally will be managed by underweighting and overweighting securities relative to the benchmark. The investment objective is to outperform the MSCI EAFE Small Cap Index over a full market cycle. The fair value of the investment in this type has been determined using the NAV per unit of the investment. The fund has one dealing day per month, which is the first business day, and notification is required at least two business days in advance of a subscription or withdrawal.
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## 4. CASH AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

5. International emerging markets fund. This type invests substantially all of its assets in the Emerging Market Series. The Emerging Market Series purchases a broad market coverage of larger companies associated with emerging markets, which may include frontier markets (emerging market countries in an earlier stage of development), authorized for investment by the Advisor's Investment Committee. As a non-fundamental policy, under normal circumstances, the Emerging Markets Series will invest at least $80 \%$ of its net assets in emerging markets investments that are defined in the Prospectus as Approved Market securities. The fair values of the investments in this type have been determined using the NAV per unit of the investments. Investors may purchase or redeem units of the fund on any business day.
6. Real estate funds. Real estate investments are held in Clarion Lion Properties Fund, LP and Prime Property Fund, LLC. The funds are core-style, open-end commingled real estate investment funds diversified by property type and location. The primary performance objective is to combine an attractive income yield with long-term capital growth. The fair value of the investments have been determined using the NAV per share of the respective fund. The ability to redeem funds is subject to the availability of liquid assets. To the extent that liquid assets of the funds are insufficient to satisfy redemption requests, redemptions will be redeemed on a pro rata basis as liquid assets become available. Prime Property Fund, LLC had a redemption queue of $\$ 440$ million at June 30, 2022. Prime Property Fund, LLC is unable to provide an estimate on when the restriction on redemptions will be removed. The current redemption queue has been in effect since June 30, 2022. Clarion Lion Properties Fund, LP had no redemption queue at June 30, 2022.

## 5. NET PENSION LIABILITY

## ATU Plan

The components of the net pension liability of the ATU Plan at June 30, 2022, were as follows:

> Total pension liability
> Plan fiduciary net position
> ATU net pension liability
\$ 212,363,069
$(156,631,655)$

Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability
73.76\%

The total pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2021, using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement, and using update procedures to roll forward the total pension liability to the pension plan's fiscal year-end:
Inflation
Amortization growth rate
Salary increases
Investment Rate of Return
Post-retirement mortality
2.50\%
2.50\%
$2.75 \%$, plus merit component
$6.75 \%$, net of investment expense
Cheiron ATU Healthy Annuitant mortality, adjusted by 95\%
for males and $105 \%$ for females, with generational
improvements using Scale MP-2020 from 2016
The actuarial assumptions used in the July 1, 2021 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2020.

The discount rate used to measure the Total Pension Liability was $6.75 \%$. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that the District will continue to contribute to the ATU Plan based on an actuarially determined contribution, reflecting a payment equal to annual service cost (net of any employee contributions), the expected administrative expenses, and an amount necessary to amortize the Unfunded
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Actuarial Liability (UAL) determined at July 1, 2019 as a level percentage of payroll over a closed period (11 years remaining as of the July 1, 2021 actuarial valuation) and a 20-year layered amortization schedule for UAL changes after 2019. The UAL is based on an Actuarial Valuation of Assets that recognizes differences between actual and expected investment returns on the Market Value of Assets over a five-year period.

Based on those assumptions, the ATU Plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of the current ATU Plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on the ATU Plan's investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the Total Pension Liability.

The following presents the net pension liability of the ATU Plan, calculated using the discount rate of 6.75 percent, as well as what the ATU Plan's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (5.75\%) or 1-percentage-point higher $(7.75 \%)$ than the current rate:

Total pension liability
Plan fiduciary net position
Net pension liability
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{lcccc} & 1 \% & \text { Discount } & 1 \% \\ & \text { Decrease } & \text { Rate } & \text { Increase } \\ & 5.75 \% & 6.75 \% & 7.75 \% \\ \hline \$ & 234,413,953 & \$ & 212,363,069 & \$ \\ & (156,631,655) & (156,631,655) & (156,658,359 \\ \hline \$ & 77,782,298 & \$ & 55,731,414 & \$\end{array}\right) 36,926,704\right)$

Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability
$73.76 \%$
80.92\%

## IBEW Plan

The components of the net pension liability of the IBEW Plan at June 30, 2022, were as follows:

Total pension liability Plan fiduciary net position IBEW net pension liability
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability

| $\$$ | $97,616,581$ <br> $(69,808,432)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | $27,808,149$ |

71.51\%

The total pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2021, using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement, and using update procedures to roll forward the total pension liability to the pension plan's fiscal year-end:
Inflation
Amortization growth rate
Salary increases
Investment Rate of Return
Post-retirement mortality
2.50\%
2.50\%
$2.75 \%$, plus merit component
$6.75 \%$, net of investment expense
Cheiron ATU Healthy Annuitant mortality, adjusted by 95\%
for males and $105 \%$ for females, with generational
improvements using Scale MP-2020 from 2016
The actuarial assumptions used in the July 1, 2021 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2020.
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The discount rate used to measure the Total Pension Liability was $6.75 \%$. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that the District will continue to contribute to the IBEW Plan based on an actuarially determined contribution, reflecting a payment equal to annual service cost (net of any employee contributions), the expected administrative expenses, and an amount necessary to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) determined at July 1, 2019 as a level percentage of payroll over a closed period (11 years remaining as of the July 1, 2021 actuarial valuation) and a 20 -year layered amortization schedule for UAL changes after 2019. The UAL is based on an Actuarial Value of Assets that recognizes differences between actual and expected investment returns on the Market Value of Assets over a five-year period.

Based on those assumptions, the IBEW Plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of the current IBEW Plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on the IBEW Plan's investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the Total Pension Liability.

The following presents the net pension liability of the IBEW Plan, calculated using the discount rate of 6.75 percent, as well as what the IBEW Plan's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower $(5.75 \%)$ or 1-percentage-point higher $(7.75 \%)$ than the current rate:

|  |  | $1 \%$ <br> Decrease $5.75 \%$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Discount } \\ \text { Rate } \\ 6.75 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $1 \%$ Increase 7.75\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total pension liability | \$ | 108,268,036 | \$ | 97,616,581 | \$ | 88,560,733 |
| Plan fiduciary net position |  | $(69,808,432)$ |  | $(69,808,432)$ |  | (69,808,432) |
| Net pension liability | \$ | 38,459,604 | \$ | 27,808,149 | \$ | 18,752,301 |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability |  | 64.48\% |  | 71.51\% |  | 78.83\% |

## Salaried Plan

The components of the net pension liability of the Salaried Plan at June 30, 2022, were as follows:

Total pension liability
Plan fiduciary net position
Salaried net pension liability
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability

| $\$$ | $182,426,485$ <br> $(120,583,101)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | $61,843,384$ |

66.10\%
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## 5. NET PENSION LIABILITY (Continued)

The total pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2021, using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement, and using update procedures to roll forward the total pension liability to the pension plan's fiscal year-end:

| Inflation | $2.50 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Amortization growth rate | $2.50 \%$ |
| Salary increases | $2.75 \%$, plus merit component |
| Investment Rate of Return | $6.75 \%$, net of investment expense |
| Post-retirement mortality | Private Retirement (Pri) 2012 Bottom Quartile Tables for |
|  | Healthy Annuitants Mortality Tables projected with Scale |
|  | MP-2020 published by the Society of Actuaries, with the |
|  | base tables adjusted $105 \%$ for females. |

The actuarial assumptions used in the July 1, 2021 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2020.

The discount rate used to measure the Total Pension Liability was $6.75 \%$. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that the District will continue to contribute to the Salaried Plan based on an actuarially determined contribution, reflecting a payment equal to annual service cost (net of any employee contributions), the expected administrative expenses, and an amount necessary to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) determined at July 1, 2019 as a level percentage of payroll over a closed period (11 years remaining as of the July 1,2021 actuarial valuation) and a 20 -year layered amortization schedule for UAL changes after 2019. The UAL is based on an Actuarial Value of Assets that recognizes differences between actual and expected investment returns on the Market Value of Assets over a five-year period.

Based on those assumptions, the Salaried Plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of the current Salaried Plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on Salaried Plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the Total Pension Liability.

The following presents the net pension liability of the Salaried Plan, calculated using the discount rate of 6.75 percent, as well as what the Salaried Plan's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower $(5.75 \%)$ or 1-percentage-point higher $(7.75 \%)$ than the current rate:

Total pension liability
Plan fiduciary net position
Net pension liability

Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability

|  | $1 \%$ | Discount | $1 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Decrease | Rate | Increase |  |
|  | $5.75 \%$ | $6.75 \%$ | $7.75 \%$ |  |
| $\$$ | $202,556,961$ | $\$$ | $182,426,485$ | $\$$ |
|  | $(120,583,101)$ | $(120,583,101)$ | $(120,501,201$ |  |
| $\$$ | $81,973,860$ | $\$$ | $61,843,384$ | $\$$ |

72.95\%
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## 5. NET PENSION LIABILITY (Continued)

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared to past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. Actuarial calculations reflect a long-term perspective and are based on the benefits provided under the terms of the substantive plan in effect at the time of each valuation. Actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques designed to reduce short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of plan assets.

The projection of benefits for financial reporting purposes does not explicitly incorporate the potential effect of legal or contractual funding limitations.

## ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plan

The ATU, IBEW, and Salaried Plans' investments are invested as one comingled fund for economies of scale. The long-term expected rate of return on pension plans' investments were determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. Best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class included in the pension plans' target asset allocation as of June 30, 2022, are summarized in the following table:

| Asset Class | Long-Term Expected <br> Real Rate of Return |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| Domestic Equity Large Cap | $7.85 \%$ |
| Domestic Equity Small Cap | $8.75 \%$ |
| International Equity Developed | $8.25 \%$ |
| International Equity Emerging | $9.80 \%$ |
| Domestic Fixed Income | $1.80 \%$ |
| Real Estate | $6.60 \%$ |

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION 

# RETIREMENT PLANS FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES <br> SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS EMPLOYEES WHO ARE MEMBERS OF <br> ATU LOCAL 256 

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2022, 2021, 2020, 2019, 2018 AND 2017

|  |  | 2022 |  | 2021 |  | 2020 |  | 2019 |  | 2018 |  | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total pension liability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost | \$ | 5,953,419 | \$ | 5,457,843 | \$ | 5,197,253 | \$ | 5,084,840 | \$ | 4,765,696 | \$ | 4,835,944 |
| Interest (includes interest on service cost) |  | 13,712,873 |  | 13,411,008 |  | 13,012,883 |  | 12,664,533 |  | 12,761,359 |  | 12,885,195 |
| Changes of benefit terms |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | $(11,268)$ |
| Difference between expected and actual experience |  | $(285,600)$ |  | 1,531,462 |  | $(87,109)$ |  | $(519,304)$ |  | $(261,689)$ |  | $(5,577,742)$ |
| Change of assumptions |  | - |  | 10,690,055 |  | - |  | $(172,948)$ |  | 3,663,543 |  | - |
| Change in bargaining group |  | $(515,525)$ |  | - |  | - |  | $(314,880)$ |  | $(5,129,398)$ |  | - |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions |  | $(13,239,168)$ |  | $(13,074,333)$ |  | $(12,455,822)$ |  | $(11,545,372)$ |  | $(11,304,112)$ |  | $(10,776,986)$ |
| Net change in total pension liability |  | 5,625,999 |  | 18,016,035 |  | 5,667,205 |  | 5,196,869 |  | 4,495,399 |  | 1,355,143 |
| Total pension liability - beginning |  | 206,737,070 |  | 188,721,035 |  | 183,053,830 |  | 177,856,961 |  | 173,361,562 |  | 172,006,419 |
| Total pension liability - ending | \$ | 212,363,069 | \$ | 206,737,070 | \$ | 188,721,035 | \$ | 183,053,830 | \$ | 177,856,961 | \$ | 173,361,562 |
| Plan fiduciary net position |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions - employer | \$ | 10,417,845 | \$ | 9,579,205 | \$ | 8,783,426 | \$ | 8,533,307 | \$ | 7,863,420 | \$ | 7,987,367 |
| Contributions - member |  | 1,191,796 |  | 1,041,899 |  | 766,861 |  | 493,597 |  | 337,009 |  | 168,463 |
| Change in bargaining group |  | $(667,990)$ |  | - |  | - |  | $(343,707)$ |  | $(2,638,467)$ |  | - |
| Net investment income (loss) |  | $(12,345,778)$ |  | 36,857,731 |  | 2,523,724 |  | 8,012,792 |  | 8,591,810 |  | 14,419,708 |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions Administrative expense |  | $(13,239,168)$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (13,074,333) \\ (283,989) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (12,455,822) \\ (243,847) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (11,545,372) \\ (279,016) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (11,304,112) \\ (260,006) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (10,776,986) \\ (306,539) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Net change in plan fiduciary net position |  | $(14,912,910)$ |  | 34,120,513 |  | $(625,658)$ |  | 4,871,601 |  | 2,589,654 |  | 11,492,013 |
| Plan fiduciary net position - beginning |  | 171,544,565 |  | 137,424,052 |  | 138,049,710 |  | 133,178,109 |  | 130,588,455 |  | 119,096,442 |
| Plan fiduciary net position - ending | \$ | 156,631,655 | \$ | 171,544,565 | \$ | 137,424,052 | \$ | 138,049,710 | \$ | 133,178,109 | \$ | 130,588,455 |
| Net pension liability - ending | \$ | 55,731,414 | \$ | 35,192,505 | \$ | 51,296,983 | \$ | 45,004,120 | \$ | 44,678,852 | \$ | 42,773,107 |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability |  | 73.76\% |  | 82.98\% |  | 72.82\% |  | 75.41\% |  | 74.88\% |  | 75.33\% |
| Covered payroll | \$ | 38,050,032 | \$ | 35,334,877 | \$ | 34,174,428 | \$ | 30,125,788 | \$ | 31,575,118 | \$ | 30,212,311 |
| Net pension liability as a percentage of covered payroll |  | 146.47\% |  | 99.60\% |  | 150.10\% |  | 149.39\% |  | 141.50\% |  | 141.58\% |

Notes to Schedule: Payroll amounts are based on actual pensionable compensation from the employer
-FY2017: Changes in benefit terms are due to changes to the basis used for calculating actuarial equivalence for the PreRetirement Death Benefit. The ATU and IBEW Plans were separated; previous years not available.
-FY2018: amounts are reported as changes of assumptions resulted from lowering the discount rate from $7.50 \%$ to $7.25 \%$ and inflation rate from $3.15 \%$ to $3.00 \%$.
-FY2019: amounts are reported as changes of assumptions resulted from a normal cost load of $2.62 \%$ for PEPRA members to account for missed pay periods.
-FY2021: amounts are reported as changes of assumptions resulted from lowering the discount rate from $7.25 \%$ to $6.75 \%$ and updated demographic an economic assumptions that were adopted following an experience study.

This is a 10 year schedule; however, the information in this schedule is not required to be presented retroactively. Years will be added to this schedule in future fiscal years until 10 years of information is available.

# RETIREMENT PLANS FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES 

## SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS EMPLOYEES WHO ARE MEMBERS OF IBEW LOCAL 1245 <br> FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2022, 2021, 2020, 2019, 2018 AND 2017

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Notes to Schedule:
-Payroll amounts are based on actual pensionable compensation from the employer
-FY2017: Changes in benefit terms are due to changes to the basis used for calculating actuarial equivalence for the
Pre-Retirement Death Benefit. The ATU and IBEW Plans were separated; previous years not available.
-FY2018: amounts are reported as changes of assumptions resulted from lowering the discount rate from $7.50 \%$ to $7.25 \%$ and inflation rate from $3.15 \%$ to $3.00 \%$.
-FY2019: amounts are reported as changes of assumptions resulted from a normal cost load of $2.62 \%$ for PEPRA members to account for missed pay periods.
-FY2021: amounts are reported as changes of assumptions resulted from lowering the discount rate from $7.25 \%$ to $6.75 \%$ and updated demographic an economic assumptions that were adopted following an experience study.

This is a 10 year schedule; however, the information in this schedule is not required to be presented retroactively. Years will be added to this schedule in future fiscal years until 10 years of information is available.

## RETIREMENT PLANS FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES

## SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS EMPLOYEES WHO ARE MEMBERS OF <br> ATU LOCAL 256 AND IBEW 1245 <br> FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016, 2015 AND 2014

|  | 2016 |  | 2015 |  | 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total pension liability |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost | \$ | 5,760,060 | \$ | 5,753,143 | \$ | 5,599,479 |
| Interest |  | 16,758,356 |  | 16,384,487 |  | 15,740,342 |
| Difference between expected and actual returns |  | $(1,456,639)$ |  | $(2,941,777)$ |  | - |
| Changes of assumptions |  | 8,176,501 |  | 1,621,574 |  | - |
| Change in bargaining group |  |  |  |  |  | $(174,166)$ |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions |  | $(13,180,874)$ |  | $(13,157,985)$ |  | $(12,877,177)$ |
| Net change in total pension liability |  | 16,057,404 |  | 7,659,442 |  | 8,288,478 |
| Total pension liability - beginning |  | 222,705,517 |  | 215,046,075 |  | 206,757,597 |
| Total pension liability - ending | \$ | 238,762,921 | \$ | 222,705,517 | \$ | 215,046,075 |
| Plan fiduciary net position |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions - employer | \$ | 10,447,190 | \$ | 10,343,620 | \$ | 9,711,107 |
| Contributions - member |  | 54,714 |  | 3,682 |  | 22,425 |
| Net investment income (loss) |  | $(1,121,417)$ |  | 4,609,506 |  | 22,631,819 |
| Change in bargaining group |  | - |  | - |  | $(174,166)$ |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions |  | $(13,180,874)$ |  | $(13,157,985)$ |  | $(12,877,177)$ |
| Administrative expense |  | $(290,647)$ |  | $(190,442)$ |  | $(230,365)$ |
| Net change in plan fiduciary net position |  | $(4,091,034)$ |  | 1,608,381 |  | 19,083,643 |
| Plan fiduciary net position - beginning |  | 172,106,054 |  | 170,497,673 |  | 151,414,030 |
| Plan fiduciary net position - ending | \$ | 168,015,020 | \$ | 172,106,054 | \$ | 170,497,673 |
| Net pension liability - ending | \$ | 70,747,901 | \$ | 50,599,463 | \$ | 44,548,402 |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liability |  | 70.37\% |  | 77.28\% |  | 79.28\% |
| Covered payroll | \$ | 39,996,326 | \$ | 37,950,269 | \$ | 38,857,668 |
| Net pension liability as a percentage of covered payroll |  | 176.89\% |  | 133.33\% |  | 114.65\% |

[^2]
# RETIREMENT PLANS FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS EMPLOYEES WHO ARE MEMBERS OF SALARIED EMPLOYEES <br> LAST 10 FISCAL YEARS 

|  | 2022 |  | 2021 |  | 2020 |  | 2019 |  | 2018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total pension liability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost | \$ | 4,836,477 | \$ | 4,265,105 | \$ | 4,024,291 | \$ | 3,831,831 | \$ | 3,647,115 |
| Change in bargaining group |  | 836,523 |  |  |  | - |  | 474,438 |  | 5,129,398 |
| Interest (includes interest on service cost) |  | 11,663,422 |  | 11,359,811 |  | 10,794,658 |  | 10,288,390 |  | 9,485,966 |
| Changes of benefit terms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Difference between expected and actual experience |  | 311,027 |  | 1,861,545 |  | 2,669,480 |  | 1,215,057 |  | 1,856,563 |
| Changes of assumptions |  |  |  | 8,967,358 |  | - |  | $(17,295)$ |  | 3,291,931 |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions |  | $(11,086,271)$ |  | $(10,182,471)$ |  | $(9,453,326)$ |  | $(8,373,494)$ |  | $(7,779,366)$ |
| Net change in total pension liability |  | 6,561,178 |  | 16,271,348 |  | 8,035,103 |  | 7,418,927 |  | 15,631,607 |
| Total pension liability - beginning |  | 175,865,307 |  | 159,593,959 |  | 151,558,856 |  | 144,139,929 |  | 128,508,322 |
| Total pension liability - ending | \$ | 182,426,485 | \$ | 175,865,307 | \$ | 159,593,959 | \$ | 151,558,856 | \$ | 144,139,929 |
| Plan fiduciary net position |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions - employer | \$ | 10,993,228 | \$ | 9,807,539 | \$ | 9,159,513 | \$ | 8,503,815 | \$ | 7,669,178 |
| Contributions - member |  | 705,053 |  | 466,141 |  | 360,051 |  | 193,293 |  | 143,094 |
| Change in bargaining group |  | 667,990 |  |  |  |  |  | 343,707 |  | 2,638,467 |
| Net investment income (loss) |  | $(9,801,602)$ |  | 28,976,644 |  | 1,526,151 |  | 5,649,123 |  | 6,073,483 |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions |  | $(11,086,271)$ |  | (10,182,471) |  | (9,453,326) |  | $(8,373,494)$ |  | $(7,779,366)$ |
| Administrative expense |  | $(262,018)$ |  | $(253,303)$ |  | $(226,310)$ |  | $(260,441)$ |  | $(247,077)$ |
| Net change in plan fiduciary net position |  | $(8,783,620)$ |  | 28,814,550 |  | 1,366,079 |  | 6,056,003 |  | 8,497,779 |
| Plan fiduciary net position - beginning |  | 129,366,721 |  | 100,552,171 |  | 99,186,092 |  | 93,130,089 |  | 84,632,310 |
| Plan fiduciary net position - ending | \$ | 120,583,101 | \$ | 129,366,721 | \$ | 100,552,171 | \$ | 99,186,092 | \$ | 93,130,089 |
| Net pension liability - ending | \$ | 61,843,384 | \$ | 46,498,586 | \$ | 59,041,788 | \$ | 52,372,764 | \$ | 51,009,840 |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability |  | 66.10\% |  | 73.56\% |  | 63.00\% |  | 65.44\% |  | 64.61\% |
| Covered payroll | \$ | 28,436,264 | \$ | 27,147,142 | \$ | 26,295,215 | \$ | 22,220,418 | \$ | 24,283,580 |
| Net pension liability as a percentage of covered payroll |  | 217.48\% |  | 171.28\% |  | 224.53\% |  | 235.70\% |  | 210.06\% |

## Notes to Schedule:

-FY2018: amounts reported as changes of assumptions resulted from lowering the discount rate from $7.50 \%$ to $7.25 \%$ and inflation rate from $3.15 \%$ to $3.00 \%$.
-FY2019: amounts reported as changes of assumptions resulted from a normal cost load of $0.57 \%$ for PEPRA members to account for missed pay periods.
-FY2021: amounts reported as changes of assumptions resulted from lowering the discount rate from $7.25 \%$ to $6.75 \%$ and updated demographic an economic assumptions that were adopted following an experience study.
-Beginning in FY2015, payroll amounts are based on actual pensionable compensation from the employer. In prior years, payroll amounts are projected payroll from the actuarial valuation reports.

This is a 10 year schedule; however, the information in this schedule is not required to be presented retroactively. Years will be added to this schedule in future fiscal years until 10 years of information is available.

## RETIREMENT PLANS FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES

## SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS EMPLOYEES WHO ARE MEMBERS OF SALARIED EMPLOYEES LAST 10 FISCAL YEARS (Continued)

|  | 2017 |  | 2016 |  | 2015 |  | 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total pension liability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost | \$ | 3,873,148 | \$ | 3,594,919 | \$ | 3,476,103 | \$ | 3,321,337 |
| Change in bargaining group |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | 174,166 |
| Interest (includes interest on service cost) |  | 8,960,042 |  | 8,807,953 |  | 8,434,365 |  | 7,978,675 |
| Changes of benefit terms |  | $(298,430)$ |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| Difference between expected and actual experience |  | 2,062,482 |  | $(852,040)$ |  | $(753,076)$ |  |  |
| Changes of assumptions |  | - |  | $(680,161)$ |  | 930,863 |  | - |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions |  | $(7,179,362)$ |  | $(6,190,981)$ |  | $(5,502,144)$ |  | $(5,664,400)$ |
| Net change in total pension liability |  | 7,417,880 |  | 4,679,690 |  | 6,586,111 |  | 5,809,778 |
| Total pension liability - beginning |  | 121,090,442 |  | 116,410,752 |  | 109,824,641 |  | 104,014,863 |
| Total pension liability - ending | \$ | 128,508,322 | \$ | 121,090,442 | \$ | 116,410,752 | \$ | 109,824,641 |
| Plan fiduciary net position |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions - employer | \$ | 7,321,138 | \$ | 7,576,866 | \$ | 7,335,308 | \$ | 6,609,083 |
| Contributions - member |  | 53,706 |  | 21,014 |  | 261 |  | 1,678 |
| Change in bargaining group |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 174,166 |
| Net investment income (loss) |  | 9,388,876 |  | $(396,556)$ |  | 2,132,136 |  | 9,297,644 |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions |  | $(7,179,362)$ |  | $(6,190,981)$ |  | $(5,502,144)$ |  | $(5,664,400)$ |
| Administrative expense |  | $(289,067)$ |  | $(269,624)$ |  | $(194,209)$ |  | $(176,367)$ |
| Net change in plan fiduciary net position |  | 9,295,291 |  | 740,719 |  | 3,771,352 |  | 10,241,804 |
| Plan fiduciary net position - beginning |  | 75,337,019 |  | 74,596,300 |  | 70,824,948 |  | 60,583,144 |
| Plan fiduciary net position - ending | \$ | 84,632,310 | \$ | 75,337,019 | \$ | 74,596,300 | \$ | 70,824,948 |
| Net pension liability - ending | \$ | 43,876,012 | \$ | 45,753,423 | \$ | 41,814,452 | \$ | 38,999,693 |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability |  | 65.86\% |  | 62.22\% |  | 64.08\% |  | 64.49\% |
| Covered payroll | \$ | 23,435,642 | \$ | 24,341,878 | \$ | 23,022,281 | \$ | 22,008,809 |
| Net pension liability as a percentage of covered payroll |  | 187.22\% |  | 187.96\% |  | 181.63\% |  | 177.20\% |

## Notes to Schedule:

-FY2015: amounts reported as changes of assumptions resulted from lowering the discount rate from $7.75 \%$ to $7.65 \%$.
-FY2016: amounts reported as changes of assumptions resulted from lowering the discount rate from $7.65 \%$ to $7.50 \%$ and updated demographic and economic assumptions that were adopted following an experience study.
-FY2017: Changes in benefit terms are due to changes to the basis used for calculating actuarial equivalence for the Pre-Retirement Death Benefit
-Beginning in FY2015, payroll amounts are based on actual pensionable compensation from the employer. In prior years, payroll amounts are projected payroll from the actuarial valuation reports.

This is a 10 year schedule; however, the information in this schedule is not required to be presented retroactively. Years will be added to this schedule in future fiscal years until 10 years of information is available.
RETIREMENT PLANS FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES
SCHEDULE OF DISTRICT CONTRIBUTIONS
ATU LOCAL 256
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDING JUNE 30, 2022,

|  | 2022 |  | 2021 |  | 2020 |  | 2019 |  | 2018 |  | 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarially determined contribution | \$ | 10,418 | \$ | 9,579 | \$ | 8,783 | \$ | 8,533 | \$ | 7,863 | \$ | 7,987 |
| Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution |  | 10,418 |  | 9,579 |  | 8,783 |  | 8,533 |  | 7,863 |  | 7,987 |
| Contribution deficiency (excess) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Covered payroll | \$ | 38,050 | \$ | 35,335 | \$ | 34,174 | \$ | 30,126 | \$ | 31,575 | \$ | 30,212 |
| Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll |  | 27.38\% |  | 27.11\% |  | 25.70\% |  | 28.33\% |  | 24.90\% |  | 26.44\% |

## Notes to Schedule

[^3]RETIREMENT PLANS FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES
SCHEDULE OF DISTRICT CONTRIBUTIONS
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDING JUNE 30, 2022, 2021, 2020, 2019, 2018 AND 2017 (Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | 2022 |  | 2021 |  | 2020 |  | 2019 |  | 2018 |  | 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarially determined contribution | \$ | 4,164 | \$ | 3,579 | \$ | 3,231 | \$ | 3,299 | \$ | 3,196 | \$ | 3,315 |
| Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution |  | 4,164 |  | 3,579 |  | 3,231 |  | 3,299 |  | 3,196 |  | 3,315 |
| Contribution deficiency (excess) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Covered payroll | \$ | 14,720 | \$ | 13,778 | \$ | 14,167 | \$ | 13,301 | \$ | 13,138 | \$ | 12,473 |
| Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll |  | 28.29\% |  | 25.98\% |  | 22.81\% |  | 24.80\% |  | 24.33\% |  | 26.58\% |

Notes to Schedule
7/1/2020 (to determine FY21-22 contribution)
Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated based on the actuarial valuation one year prior to the beginning of the plan year
Entry Age liability as of 6/30/2019 is being amortized as a level percentage of payroll over a 12-year closed period as of $6 / 30 / 2020$. Effective $7 / 1 / 2020$, changes in the unfunded liability are amortized over 20-year layers. 5-year smoothed market
$6.75 \%$
$2.75 \%$
$2.50 \%$
$2.75 \%$, plus merit component on employee classification and years of service
Healthy annuitants: Cheiron ATU Healthy Annuitant Mortality base tables adjusted $95 \%$ for males and $105 \%$ for females w/

A complete description of the methods and assumptions used to determine contribution rates for the year ending June 30, 2022, can be found in the July 1,2020 actuarial valuation report. The financial reporting for the ATU and IBEW Plans' was split during FY2017, previous years information is not available.
RETIREMENT PLANS FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES

Notes to Schedule
7/1/2014 (to determine FY15-16 contribution)
Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated based on the actuarial valuation one year prior to the beginning of the plan year
Key methods and assumptions used to determine contribution rates:
Level percentage of payroll, closed 18 year period as of 6/30/2014
5-year smoothed market
7.65\%
$3.15 \%$
$3.15 \%$
$3.15 \%$, plus merit component on employee classification and years of service
Sex Distinct RP-2000 Combined Blue Collar Mortality, 3 year setback for females
 report. The financial reporting for the ATU and IBEW Plans' was split during FY2017, no additional information will be available for the combined Plans.
RETIREMENT PLANS FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES SCHEDULE OF DISTRICT CONTRIBUTIONS EMPLOYEES WHO ARE MEMBERS OF
 therefore the contributions as a percentage of covered payroll will differ from what was actually contributed.

## Notes to Schedule

7/1/2020 (to determine FY21-22 contribution)
Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated based on the actuarial valuation one year prior to the beginning of the plan year males and $115 \%$ for females
RETIREMENT PLANS FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENT RETURNS
EMPLOYEES WHO ARE MEMBERS OF ATU LOCAL 256 AND IBEW LOCAL 1245
Note: To achieve economies of scale, assets are combined and invested as one pool for the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Plans. Information prior to 2014 was not available.

## SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULES

## RETIREMENT PLANS FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES

## SCHEDULES OF INVESTMENT AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES EMPLOYEES WHO ARE MEMBERS OF ATU LOCAL 256 <br> FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

## Investment Expenses:

| Vendor Names |
| :--- |
| Boston Partners Investment Management |
| Atlanta Capital Management Co. |
| Metropolitan West Asset Management, L.L.C. |
| Pyrford |
| Clarion |
| Morgan Stanley |
| AQR |
| SSgA S\&P 500 |
| SSgA MSCI EAFE |
| Northern Trust Company |
| Callan Associates, Inc. |

Total

| Type of Services |  | Amount |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Asset Management |  | $\$$ | 150,468 |
| Asset Management |  | 117,555 |  |
| Asset Management |  | 111,538 |  |
| Asset Management |  | 105,842 |  |
| Asset Management |  | 90,184 |  |
| Asset Management |  | 80,959 |  |
| Asset Management |  | 80,817 |  |
| Asset Management |  | 5,624 |  |
| Asset Management |  | 3,174 |  |
| Custodian Services |  | 43,527 |  |
| Investment Advisor |  | 61,232 |  |

$\$ \quad 850,920$

## Administrative Expenses:

| Vendor Names | Type of Services | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sacramento Regional Transit District | Plan Administration | \$ | 124,207 |
| Hanson Bridgett | Consulting Services |  | 83,970 |
| Cheiron EFI | Actuarial Services |  | 34,953 |
| Alliant Insurance Services, Inc. | Fiduciary Insurance |  | 14,124 |
| Sacramento Area Council of Governments | Audit Services |  | 5,990 |
| National Disability Evaluations Inc | Medical Evaluations |  | 4,450 |
| Other | Misc |  | 1,921 |
| Total |  | \$ | 269,615 |

# SCHEDULES OF INVESTMENT AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES EMPLOYEES WHO ARE MEMBERS OF IBEW LOCAL 1245 <br> FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022 

## Investment Expenses:

Vendor Names

Boston Partners Investment Management
Atlanta Capital Management Co.
Metropolitan West Asset Management, L.L.C.
Pyrford
Clarion
Morgan Stanley
AQR
SSgA S\&P 500
SSgA MSCI EAFE
Northern Trust Company
Callan Associates, Inc.
Total

| Type of Services |  | Amount |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Asset Management |  | $\$$ | 66,868 |
| Asset Management |  | 52,239 |  |
| Asset Management |  | 49,569 |  |
| Asset Management |  | 47,037 |  |
| Asset Management |  | 38,911 |  |
| Asset Management |  | 35,936 |  |
| Asset Management |  | 34,879 |  |
| Asset Management |  | 2,499 |  |
| Asset Management |  | 1,410 |  |
| Custodian Services |  | 19,345 |  |
| Investment Advisor |  | 27,209 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Administrative Expenses:

| Vendor Names | Type of Services | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sacramento Regional Transit District | Plan Administration | \$ | 97,092 |
| Hanson Bridgett | Consulting Services |  | 76,845 |
| Cheiron EFI | Actuarial Services |  | 34,009 |
| Alliant Insurance Services, Inc. | Fiduciary Insurance |  | 14,149 |
| Sacramento Area Council of Governments | Audit Services |  | 5,990 |
| National Disability Evaluations Inc | Medical Evaluations |  | 4,075 |
| Other | Misc |  | 1,921 |
| Total |  | \$ | 234,081 |

## RETIREMENT PLANS FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES

## SCHEDULES OF INVESTMENT AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES <br> SALARIED EMPLOYEES <br> FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

## Investment Expenses:

| Vendor Names | Type of Services | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston Partners Investment Management | Asset Management | \$ | 114,496 |
| Atlanta Capital Management Co. | Asset Management |  | 89,436 |
| Metropolitan West Asset Management, L.L.C. | Asset Management |  | 84,877 |
| Pyrford | Asset Management |  | 80,542 |
| Clarion | Asset Management |  | 59,381 |
| Morgan Stanley | Asset Management |  | 58,793 |
| AQR | Asset Management |  | 57,925 |
| SSgA S\&P 500 | Asset Management |  | 4,278 |
| SSgA MSCI EAFE | Asset Management |  | 2,415 |
| Northern Trust Company | Custodian Services |  | 33,128 |
| Callan Associates, Inc. | Investment Advisor |  | 46,560 |
| Total |  | \$ | 631,831 |

## Administrative Expenses:

| Vendor Names | Type of Services | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sacramento Regional Transit District | Pension Administration | \$ | 109,196 |
| Hanson Bridgett | Consulting Services |  | 91,094 |
| Cheiron EFI | Actuarial Services |  | 35,718 |
| Alliant Insurance Services, Inc. | Fiduciary Insurance |  | 14,124 |
| Sacramento Area Council of Governments | Audit Services |  | 5,990 |
| National Disability Evaluations Inc | Medical Evaluations |  | 4,075 |
| Other | Miscellaneous |  | 1,821 |
| Total |  | \$ | 262,018 |

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS 

Members of the Retirement Board of Directors<br>Sacramento Regional Transit District<br>Sacramento, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the ATU Plan, IBEW Plan and Salaried Plan for Sacramento Regional Transit District Employees (the Plans) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2022, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Plans' basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 18, 2022.

## Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Plans' internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Plans' internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Plans' internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

## Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Plans' financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of their compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

## Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

## Crone LLP

Crow LLP
Sacramento, California
November 18, 2022

Professional standards require that we communicate certain matters to keep you adequately informed about matters related to the financial statement audit that are, in our professional judgment, significant and relevant to your responsibilities in overseeing the financial reporting process. We communicate such matters in this report.

## AUDITOR'S RESPONSIBILITY UNDER AUDITING STANDARDS GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Our responsibility is to form and express an opinion about whether the financial statements that have been prepared by management with your oversight are presented fairly, in all material respects, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The audit of the financial statements does not relieve you of your responsibilities and does not relieve management of their responsibilities. Refer to our engagement letter with the ATU Plan, IBEW Plan, and Salaried plan for Sacramento Regional Transit District Employees ("the Plans") for further information on the responsibilities of management and of Crowe LLP.

## AUDITOR'S RESPONSIBILITY UNDER GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Plans' financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of the Plans' compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts or disclosures. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

## COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING OUR INDEPENDENCE FROM THE PLANS

Auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America require independence for all audits, and we confirm that we are independent auditors with respect to the Plans under the independence requirements established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

Additionally, we wish to communicate that we have no relationships with the Plans that, in our professional judgment, may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence and that we gave significant consideration to in reaching the conclusion that our independence has not been impaired.

## PLANNED SCOPE AND TIMING OF THE AUDIT

We are to communicate an overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit. Accordingly, the following matters regarding the planned scope and timing of the audit were discussed with you.

- How we proposed to address the significant risks of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.
- Our approach to internal control relevant to the audit.
- The concept of materiality in planning and executing the audit, focusing on the factors considered rather than on specific thresholds or amounts.
- The nature and extent of specialized skills or knowledge needed to plan and evaluate the results of the audit, including the use of an auditor's expert.
- Where the entity has an internal audit function, the extent to which the auditor will use the work of internal audit, and how the external and internal auditors can best work together.
- Your views and knowledge of matters you consider warrant our attention during the audit, as well as your views on:
- The allocation of responsibilities between you and management.
- The entity's objectives and strategies, and the related business risks that may result in material misstatements.
- Significant communications between the entity and regulators.
- Other matters you believe are relevant to the audit of the financial statements.


## SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND MANAGEMENT JUDGMENTS AND ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Significant Accounting Policies: Those Charged with Governance should be informed of the initial selection of and changes in significant accounting policies or their application. Also, Those Charged with Governance should be aware of methods used to account for significant unusual transactions and the effect of significant accounting policies in controversial or emerging areas where there is a lack of authoritative consensus. We believe management has the primary responsibility to inform Those Charged with Governance about such matters. There were no such accounting changes or significant policies requiring communication.

Management Judgments and Accounting Estimates: Further, accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based upon management's current judgments. These judgments are based upon knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ markedly from management's current judgments and may be subject to significant change in the near term.

The following describes the significant accounting estimates reflected in the Plans' year-end financial statements, the process used by management in formulating these particularly sensitive accounting estimates and the primary basis for our conclusions regarding the reasonableness of those estimates.

| Significant Accounting <br> Estimate | Process Used by Management | Basis for Our Conclusions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fair Values of Investment <br> Securities and Other <br> Financial Instruments | The disclosure of fair values of <br> securities and other financial <br> instruments requires management <br> to use certain assumptions and <br> estimates pertaining to the fair <br> values of its financial assets and <br> financial liabilities. | We tested the propriety of <br> information underlying <br> management's estimates. |


| Significant Accounting <br> Estimate | Process Used by Management | Basis for Our Conclusions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Classification of <br> Investment Securities <br> Within the Fair Value <br> Hierarchy | GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value <br> Measurements and Application <br> requires the reporting of by <br> classification level within a fair value <br> hierarchy. | We reviewed the documentation <br> maintained by management and <br> performed procedures to test the <br> reasonableness of management's <br> judgments and accounting <br> estimates related to the <br> classification levels of investments <br> within the fair value hierarchy as <br> defined by GASB 72. |
| Actuarial Present Value of <br> Accumulated Plan Benefits |  |  |
| The actuarial present value of <br> accumulated plan benefits is <br> determined by the Plans' actuary <br> and is that amount that results from <br> applying actuarial assumptions to <br> adjust the accumulated plan <br> benefits to reflect the time value of <br> money (through discounts for <br> interest) and the probability of <br> payment (by means of decrements <br> such as for disability, withdrawal or <br> retirement) between the valuation <br> date and the expected date of <br> payment. | We reviewed the reasonableness <br> of the actuarial assumptions. |  |

## AUDITOR'S JUDGMENTS ABOUT QUALITATIVE ASPECTS OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING PRACTICES

We are to discuss with you our comments about the following matters related to the Plans' accounting policies and financial statement disclosures. Accordingly, these matters will be discussed during our meeting with you.

- The appropriateness of the accounting policies to the particular circumstances of the entity, considering the need to balance the cost of providing information with the likely benefit to users of the entity's financial statements.
- The overall neutrality, consistency, and clarity of the disclosures in the financial statements.
- The effect of the timing of transactions in relation to the period in which they are recorded.
- The potential effect on the financial statements of significant risks and exposures, and uncertainties that are disclosed in the financial statements.
- The extent to which the financial statements are affected by unusual transactions including nonrecurring amounts recognized during the period, and the extent to which such transactions are separately disclosed in the financial statements.
- The issues involved, and related judgments made, in formulating particularly sensitive financial statement disclosures.
- The factors affecting asset and liability carrying values, including the entity's basis for determining useful lives assigned to tangible and intangible assets.
- The selective correction of misstatements, for example, correcting misstatements with the effect of increasing reported earnings, but not those that have the effect of decreasing reported earnings.


## CORRECTED AND UNCORRECTED MISSTATEMENTS

Corrected Misstatements: We are to inform you of material corrected misstatements that were brought to the attention of management as a result of our audit procedures.

There were no such misstatements.

Uncorrected Misstatements: We are to inform you of uncorrected misstatements that were aggregated by us during the current engagement and pertaining to the latest and prior period(s) presented that were determined by management to be immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole. For your consideration, we have distinguished misstatements between known misstatements and likely misstatements.

There were no such misstatements.

## OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

| Communication Item | Results |
| :--- | :--- |
| Other Information Included in an Annual <br> Report | We understand that management has not prepared <br> other information to accompany the audited |
| Information may be prepared by management |  |
| that accompanies or includes the financial |  |
| statements. To assist your consideration of this |  |
| information, you should know that we are |  |
| required by audit standards to read such |  |
| information and consider whether a material |  |
| inconsistency exists between the other |  |
| information and the financial statements. We are |  |
| also to remain alert for indications that: |  |$\quad$| - Material inconsistency exists between the |
| :--- |
| $\quad$ other information and the auditor's |
| knowledge obtained in the audit; or |
| A material misstatement of fact exists, or the |
| other information is otherwise misleading. |$\quad$| If we identify a material inconsistency between |
| :--- |
| the other information and the financial |
| statements, we are to seek a resolution of the |
| matter. |


| Communication Item | Results |
| :--- | :--- |
| Difficulties or Contentious Matters <br> We are required to discuss with the Those <br> Charged with Governance any difficulties or <br> contentious matters for which we consulted <br> outside of the engagement team. | During the audit, there were no such issues for <br> which we consulted outside the engagement team. |
| Circumstances that Affect the Form and <br> Content of the Auditor's Report <br> We are to discuss with you any circumstances <br> that affect the form and content of the auditor's <br> report, if any. | There are no such circumstances that affect the <br> form and content of the auditor's report. |
| Consultations with Other Accountants <br> If management consulted with other accountants <br> about auditing and accounting matters, we are to <br> inform you of such consultation, if we are aware <br> of it, and provide our views on the significant <br> matters that were the subject of such <br> consultation. | We are not aware of any instances where <br> management consulted with other accountants <br> about auditing or accounting matters since no other <br> accountants contacted us, which they are required <br> to do by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 50, <br> before they provide written or oral advice. |
| Representations the Auditor Is Requesting <br> from Management <br> We are to provide you with a copy of <br> management's requested written representations <br> to us. | We direct your attention to a copy of the letter of <br> management's representation to us provided <br> separately. |
| Significant Issues Discussed, or Subject to <br> Correspondence, With Management | There were no such significant issues discussed, <br> or subject to correspondence, with management. |
| We are to communicate to you any significant <br> issues that were discussed or were the subject of <br> correspondence with management. |  |
| Significant Related Party Findings or Issues <br> We are to communicate to you significant <br> findings or issues arising during the audit in <br> connection with the Plans' related parties. | There were no such findings or issues that are, in <br> our judgment, significant and relevant to you <br> regarding your oversight of the financial reporting <br> process. |
| Other Findings or Issues We Find Relevant or <br> Significant <br> We are to communicate to you other findings or <br> issues, if any, arising during the audit that are, in <br> our professional judgment, significant and <br> relevant to you regarding your oversight of the <br> financial reporting process. | There were no such other findings or issues that <br> are, in our judgment, significant and relevant to you <br> regarding your oversight of the financial reporting <br> process. |

We are pleased to serve your Plans as its independent auditors and look forward to our continued relationship. We provide the above information to assist you in performing your oversight responsibilities and would be pleased to discuss this letter or any matters further, should you desire. This letter is intended solely for the information and use of the Members of the Retirement Board of Directors and, if appropriate, management, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.


Crow LLP
Sacramento, California
November 18, 2022

Members of the Retirement Board of Directors<br>Sacramento Regional Transit District<br>Sacramento, California

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the ATU Plan, IBEW Plan, and Salaried plan for Sacramento Regional Transit District Employees ("the Plans") as of and for the year ended June 30, 2022, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and Government Auditing Standards, we considered the Company's internal control over financial reporting ("internal control") as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

The purpose of this letter is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting, and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Plans' internal control over financial reporting. This letter is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the Plans' internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, this letter is not suitable for any other purpose.


Crow LLP
Sacramento, California
November 18, 2022

DATE: March 8, 2023

## TO: $\quad$ Sacramento Regional Transit Retirement Boards - AEA/AFSCME/MCEG

FROM: Jason Johnson, Acting, VP, Finance/CFO

## SUBJ: RECEIVE AND FILE THE FISCAL YEAR 2022 STATE CONTROLLER'S REPORT FOR THE SALARIED PENSION PLAN (AEA/AFSCME/MCEG). (JOHNSON)

## RECOMMENDATION

Motion to Approve

## RESULT OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

Motion: Receive and File the Fiscal Year 2022 State Controller's Report for the Retirement Plan for Sacramento Regional Transit District Employees who are Members of the Salaried Pension Plan (AEA/AFSCME/MCEG). (Johnson)

## FISCAL IMPACT

None.

## DISCUSSION

The financial data for the annual State Controller's Public Retirement Systems Financial Transactions Report is prepared in accordance with California Government Code Section 7504. This statute requires all state and local retirement systems to annually submit audited financial statements of their Pension Plans to the State Controller's Office within six months of the close of the fiscal year. The State Controller's Public Retirement Systems Financial Transactions Report (Attachment \#1) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022 was filed on December 22, 2022.

# PUBLIC RETIREMENT SYSTEMS FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS REPORT COVER PAGE 

## Sacramento Regional Transit District Salaried Employees' Retirement Plan

Fiscal Year: 2022
ID Number: 16383440511

For the Fiscal Year Ended: 06/30/2022
(MM/DD/YYYY)

Certification:
I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the report forms fairly reflect the financial transactions of the agency in accordance with the requirements as prescribed by the California State Controller.

Retirement Administrator

Sacurence Chim
RMKnS5H9puwz...
Signature

VP, Finance/CFO

Title

Per Government Code section 7504, this report is due within six months after the end of the fiscal year. Public Employee Retirement Systems are also required to furnish an audited financial statement on an annual basis and, for defined benefit systems, an actuarial valuation report at least every three years. To meet the filing requirements, all portions must be received by the State Controller's Office.

If submitted manually, please complete, sign, and mail this cover page to either address below:

| Mailing Address: | Express Mailing Address: |
| :--- | :--- |
| State Controller's Office | State Controller's Office |
| Local Government Programs and Services Division | Local Government Programs and Services Division |
| Local Government Reporting Section | Local Government Reporting Section |
| P.O. Box 942850 | 3301 C Street, Suite 700 |
| Sacramento, CA 94250 | Sacramento, CA 95816 |

The Financial Transactions Report was successfully submitted to the State Controller's Office on 12/22/2022 9:45:32 AM

Sacramento Regional Transit District Salaried Employees' Retirement Plan
Public Retirement Systems' Financial Transactions Report
General Information

Reporting Year: 2022
Form \#1


| Additional Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuary/Actuary Firm | Street 1 <br> Street 2 | 3685 Mt. Diablo Blvd, Suite 250 |  |  |  |  |
| Cheiron, Inc. |  |  |  |  | Zip | 94549 |
| Contact Name Graham Schmidt | P.O. BoxCity |  | State | CA |  |  |
|  |  | Lafayette |  |  |  |  |
| Date of Valuation Report | Telephone | (703) 893-1456 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Email | gschmidt@cheiron.us |  |  |  |  |

Sacramento Regional Transit District Salaried Employees' Retirement Plan
Public Retirement Systems' Financial Transactions Report
Comments for the Retirement Report

## Assets

| R01. Cash and Cash Equivalents |  | 4,053,487 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receivables |  |  |
| R02. | Contributions |  |
| R03. | Investments | 3,670,164 |
| R04. | Other Receivables | 94,197 |
| R05. | Total Receivables | 3,764,361 |
| Investments, at Fair Value |  |  |
| R06. Short-Term Investments |  |  |
| R07. | U.S. Government Obligations | 17,777,412 |
| R08. | Municipal Bonds | 156,139 |
| R09. | Domestic Corporate Bonds | 8,820,827 |
| R10. International Bonds |  |  |
| R11. | Domestic Stocks | 51,497,041 |
| R12. | International Stocks | 27,644,228 |
| R13. | Real Estate | 14,241,653 |
| R14. Private Equity |  |  |
| R15. Hedge Funds |  |  |
| R16. | Other Investments | 2,127,009 |
| R17. | Total Investments | 122,264,309 |
| R18. | curities Lending Collateral |  |



Deferred Outflows of Resources
R23. Related to Pensions
R24. Related to OPEB
R25. Related to Debt Refunding
R26. Other Deferred Oufflows of Resources
R27. Total Deferred Outflows of Resources
R28. Total Assets and Deferred Outflows of Resources
Liabilities
R29. Benefits Payable
R30. Accounts Payable
R31. Investment Purchases Payable
140,577

R32. Securities Lending Obligation
R33. Other Liabilities
R34. Total Liabilities
\$9,499,056
Deferred Inflows of Resources
R35. Related to Pensions $\square$

R36. Related to OPEB
R37. Related to Debt Refunding
R38. Other Deferred Inflows of Resources
R39. Total Deferred Inflows of Resources
R40. Total Liabilities and Deferred Inflows of Resources
\$0

| $\$ 9,499,056$ |
| :---: |
| $\$ 120,583,101$ |${ }^{2}$

Sacramento Regional Transit District Salaried Employees' Retirement Plan
Public Retirement Systems' Financial Transactions Report Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position -- Additions

Form \#4

## Contributions

Employer
R01. General
R02. Safety
R03. Combined
R04. Total Employer
Member
R05. General
R06. Safety
R07. Combined
R08. Total Member


Other Contributions
R09. General
R10. Safety
R11. Combined
R12. Total Other Contributions
R13. Total Contributions


Investment Income (Loss)
R14. Net Appreciation (Depreciation) in Fair Value of Investments
R15. Interest
R16. Dividends
R17. Other Investment Income
R18. (Investment Expense)


Securities Lending Income (Loss)
R19. Securities Lending Income
R20. (Securities Lending Expense)
R21. Net Securities Lending Income (Loss)
R22. Net Investment Income (Loss)
R23. Other Income


Sacramento Regional Transit District Salaried Employees' Retirement Plan
Public Retirement Systems' Financial Transactions Report
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position -- Deductions and Net Position
Reporting Year: 2022

## Benefit Payments

Service Retirement
R01. General
R02. Safety
R03. Combined


Disability Retirement

| R05. | General | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| R06. | Safety | $\square$ |
| R07. | Combined | $\square$ |
| R08. | Total Disability Retirement |  |

Other Benefit Payments
R09. General
R10. Safety
R11. Combined
R12. Total Other Benefit Payments


R13. Total Benefit Payments
10,954,250

## Member Refunds

R14. General
R15. Safety
R16. Combined
R17. Total Member Refunds
R18. Administrative Expenses


R20. Total Deductions
$\$ 11,348,289$

R21. Net Increase (Decrease) in Net Position


R22. Net Position Restricted for Pension Benefits, Beginning of Year
R23. Adjustment 1
R24. Adjustment 2
R25. Net Position Restricted for Pension Benefits, End of Year

Sacramento Regional Transit District Salaried Employees' Retirement Plan
Public Retirement Systems' Financial Transactions Report
Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios

## Reporting Year: 2022

## Total Pension Liability

| R01. Service Cost | 4,836,477 |
| :---: | :---: |
| R02. Interest | 11,663,422 |
| R03. Changes of Benefit Terms | 0 |
| R04. Differences Between Expected and Actual Experience | 311,027 |
| R05. Changes of Assumptions | 0 |
| R06. Benefit Payments, Including Refunds of Member Contributions | -11,086,271 |
| R07. Net Change in Total Pension Liability | 5,724,655 |
| R08. Total Pension Liability - Beginning | 175,865,307 |
| R09. Adjustments | 836,523 |
| R10. Total Pension Liability - Ending (a) | 182,426,485 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position |  |
| R11. Contributions - Employer | 10,993,228 |
| R12. Contributions - Member | 705,053 |
| R13. Contributions - Other | 0 |
| R14. Net Investment Income | -9,801,602 |
| R15. Other Income | 667,990 |
| R16. Benefit Payments, Including Refunds of Member Contributions | -11,086,271 |
| R17. Administrative Expenses | -262,018 |
| R18. Other Expenses | 0 |
| R19. Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position | -8,783,620 |
| R20. Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning | 129,366,721 |
| R21. Adjustments | 0 |
| R22. Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b) | 120,583,101 |
| R23. Net Pension Liability - Ending (a) - (b) | 61,843,384 |
| R24. Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability (\%) | 66.1\% |
| R25. Covered-Employee Payroll | 28,436,264 |
| R26. Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered-Employee Payroll (\%) | 217.48\% |

Sacramento Regional Transit District Salaried Employees' Retirement Plan
Public Retirement Systems' Financial Transactions Report
Schedule of Employer Contributions

## Reporting Year: 2022

| R01. Actuarially Determined Contributions | $10,993,228$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| R02. Contributions in Relation to the Actuarially Determined Contributions | $10,993,228$ |
| R03. Contribution Deficiency (Excess) | 0 |
| R04. Covered-Employee Payroll | $28,436,264$ <br> R05. Contributions as a Percentage of Covered-Employee Payroll (\%) |

## Notes to Schedule

R06. Valuation Date
7/1/2020

Methods and assumptions used to determine contribution rates
R07. Actuarial Cost Method
R08. Amortization Method

| R09. Remaining Amortization Period Age |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| R10. Asset Valuation Method | The Percentage of Payroll <br> recognize, over a five-year period, investment earnings which are greater than (or less than) the assumed investm <br> on the Market Value of Assets. |

R11. Inflation (\%) $\quad$ 2.5

| R12. Salary Increases | 2.75 plus merit |
| :--- | :--- |
| R13. Investment Rate of Return (\%) | 6.75 |

R14. Other Information

[^4]Sacramento Regional Transit District Salaried Employees' Retirement Plan
Public Retirement Systems' Financial Transactions Report
Plan Membership

Reporting Year: 2022
Form \#8


|  | State | Counties | Cities | Special <br> Districts | School Districts | Other Agencies | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Agencies |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Number of Members |  |  |  | 673 |  |  | 673 |


| Members' Annual Payroll- |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Member Type | Tier | Annual Payroll (\$) |
| General $\quad$ Non-PEPRA | $17,924,058$ |  |
| General $\quad$ PEPRA | $10,512,206$ |  |
| Grand Total Payroll | $\$ 28,436,264$ |  |

Sacramento Regional Transit District Salaried Employees' Retirement Plan
Public Retirement Systems' Financial Transactions Report
Contributions

## Reporting Year: 2022

| Member Type Tier |  | Employer Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal Cost |  |  | UAAL Amortization Cost |  |  | Total Cost |  |  | Member Rates |  |  |  |
|  |  | Basic <br> Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COLA } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | Total Rate | Basic <br> Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COLA } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> Rate | Basic <br> Rate | COLA <br> Rate | Total <br> Rate | Age 25 | Age 35 | Age 45 | Sit R |
| General | Non-PEPRA | 19.67 |  | 19.67 | 25.00 |  | 25.00 | 44.67 | 0.00 | 44.67 |  |  |  |  |
| General | PEPRA | 6.58 |  | 6.58 | 25.00 |  | 25.00 | 31.58 | 0.00 | 31.58 |  |  |  |  |


| Member Type Tier |  | Employer Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal Cost |  |  | UAAL Amortization Cost |  |  | Total Cost |  |  | Member Rates |  |  |  |
|  |  | Basic <br> Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COLA } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | Total Rate | Basic <br> Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COLA } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | Total Rate | Basic <br> Rate | COLA <br> Rate | Total Rate | Age 25 | Age 35 | Age 45 | Si R |
| General | Non-PEPRA | 19.67 |  | 19.67 | 23.50 |  | 23.50 | 43.17 | 0.00 | 43.17 |  |  |  |  |
| General | PEPRA | 6.58 |  | 6.58 | 23.50 |  | 23.50 | 30.08 | 0.00 | 30.08 |  |  |  |  |


| Member Type | Tier | Normal Cost | UAAL Amortization | Contributions Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General | Non-PEPRA | 3,525,662 | 4,212,154 | 7,737,816 |
| General | PEPRA | 691,703 | 2,470,369 | 3,162,072 |
| Grand Total Employer Contributions |  | \$4,217,365 | \$6,682,523 | \$10,899,888 |

Sacramento Regional Transit District Salaried Employees' Retirement Plan
Public Retirement Systems' Financial Transactions Report
Plan Identification

## Economic Assumption Rates

R01. Select Plan
Single-Employer Plan $\checkmark$

Return on Investments
R02. Real Rate of Return
R03. Inflation Component
R04. Total Return on Investments

| 4.25 |
| :---: |
| 2.5 |
| 6.75\% |


| Salary Scale | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Single <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |  |
| R05. Merit, Longevity, and Productivity | 2.82 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 2.82 |
| R06. Inflation Component | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| R07. Total Salary Scale | 5.32 | 5.32 | 5.32 | 5.32 | 5.32 | 5.32 | 5.32 | 5.32 | 5.32 | 5.32 | 5.32 |

Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate


Sacramento Regional Transit District Salaried Employees' Retirement Plan
Public Retirement Systems' Financial Transactions Report
Plan Identification: Rate of Return

| 1 Year |  | 3 Years | 5 Years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R01. Money-Weighted Rate of Return (\%) | -6.9 | 6.86 | 6.91 |
| R02. Time-Weighted Rate of Return (\%) |  |  |  |

## Schedule of Investment Returns

| R03. Fiscal Year | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R04. Annual Money-Weighted Rate of Return, Net of Investment Expense \% | -7.3 | 27.60 | 1.98 | 6.23 | 6.93 | 12.09 |

# Sacramento Regional Transit District Salaried Employees' Retirement Plan Public Retirement Systems' Financial Transactions Report Plan Identification: Demographic Assumption Rates - Age 

|  | Demographic Assumption Rates - Age |  |  |  |  | Withdrawal <br> (Termination) <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General - Male | Service <br> Retirement <br> Rate | Disability Retirement Rate |  | Ordinary | ctive <br> ate <br> Service |  |
| . Age 25 |  | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |
| Age 30 |  | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |
| . Age 35 |  | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |
| Age 40 |  | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |
| Age 45 |  | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |
| Age 50 |  | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |
| . Age 55 | 5.0000 | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |
| Age 60 | 15.0000 | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |
| . Age 65 | 25.0000 | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |
| Age 70 | 100.0000 | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |

General - Female

| Service <br> Retirement <br> Rate | Disability Retirement Rate |  | Mortality of Active Members Rate |  | Withdrawal (Termination) Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ordinary | Service | Ordinary | Service |  |
|  | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |
| 5.0000 | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |
| 15.0000 | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |
| 25.0000 | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |
| 100.0000 | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |

Safety - Male
R21. Age 25
R22. Age 30
R23. Age 35
R24. Age 40
R25. Age 45
R26. Age 50
R27. Age 55
R28. Age 60
R29. Age 65
R30. Age 70

共
Safety - Female
R31. Age 25
R32. Age 30
R33. Age 35
R34. Age 40
R35. Age 45
R36. Age 50
R37. Age 55
R38. Age 60
R39. Age 65
R40. Age 70

| Service <br> Retirement | Disability Retirement Rate |  | Mortality of Active <br> Members Rate |  | Withdrawal (Termination) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate | Ordinary | Service | Ordinary | Service | Rate |



Sacramento Regional Transit District Salaried Employees' Retirement Plan
Public Retirement Systems' Financial Transactions Report
Plan Identification: Demographic Assumption Rates - Years of Service

Demographic Assumption Rates - Years of Service

| General - Male <br> Years of Service | Service <br> Retirement <br> Rate | Withdrawal (Termination) Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R01. Year 5 |  | 5.0000 |
| R02. Year 10 |  | 3.0000 |
| R03. Year 15 |  | 3.0000 |
| R04. Year 20 |  | 1.5000 |
| R05. Year 25 |  | 1.5000 |
| R06. Year 30 |  | 1.5000 |
| R07. Year 35 |  | 1.5000 |
| R08. Year 40 |  | 1.5000 |
| R09. Year 45 |  | 1.5000 |
| R10. Year 50 |  | 1.5000 |
| General - Female Years of Service | Service Retirement Rate | Withdrawal <br> (Termination) <br> Rate |
| R11. Year 5 |  | 5.0000 |
| R12. Year 10 |  | 3.0000 |
| R13. Year 15 |  | 3.0000 |
| R14. Year 20 |  | 1.5000 |
| R15. Year 25 |  | 1.5000 |
| R16. Year 30 |  | 1.5000 |
| R17. Year 35 |  | 1.5000 |
| R18. Year 40 |  | 1.5000 |
| R19. Year 45 |  | 1.5000 |
| R20. Year 50 |  | 1.5000 |

Safety - Male
Years of Service

| Service | Withdrawal |
| :---: | :---: |
| Retirement | (Termination) |
| Rate | Rate |

R21. Year 5
R22. Year 10
R23. Year 15
R24. Year 20
R25. Year 25
R26. Year 30
R27. Year 35
R28. Year 40
R29. Year 45
R30. Year 50

Service
Withdrawal
Safety - Female
Years of Service
Retirement
(Termination)

R31. Year 5
R32. Year 10
R33. Year 15
R34. Year 20
R35. Year 25
R36. Year 30
R37. Year 35
R38. Year 40
R39. Year 45
R40. Year 50


Sacramento Regional Transit District Salaried Employees' Retirement Plan
Public Retirement Systems' Financial Transactions Report
Statement of Funding Position and UAAL Amortization Method

## Reporting Year: 2022

Form \#13

## Funding Position

R01. Valuation Date (mm/dD/YYY)
R02. Name of Actuarial Firm


Entry Age

R10. Please Specify "Other" Method

R11. Market Value of Assets (MVA)
R12. UAAL (MVA Basis)
R13. Funded Ratio (MVA Basis) (rounded to nearest hundredth; EXAMPLE: 99.99)

| $129,366,721$ |
| ---: |
| $46,789,946$ |
| 73.44 |

## UAAL Amortization

| R14. Method Used to Amortize the Total UAAL |
| :--- |
| R15. Please Specify "Other" Method |
| R16. Total UAAL Amortization Period (in years) |
| R17. Years Remaining in Total UAAL Amortization Period |
| R18. Year in Which the Total UAAL is Expected to be Fully Amortized |

Sacramento Regional Transit District Salaried Employees' Retirement Plan
Public Retirement Systems' Financial Transactions Report
Statement of Service Retirement Benefit Policies

Reporting Year: 2022



Final Average Salary

| Member Type |  | Position Last Held | Highest Year(s) Average | Final Year(s) Average | Compensation at Time of Retirement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General | Non-PEPRA | $\checkmark$ | 4 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| General | PEPRA | $\checkmark$ | 4 |  | $\checkmark$ |


| Member Type | Tier | Age 50 | Age 55 | Age 60 | Age 65 | Social Security Coverage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General | Non-PEPRA |  | 2.00 | 2.50 | 2.50 | Supplemental $\checkmark$ |
| General | PEPRA |  | 1.30 | 1.80 | 2.30 | Supplemental $\checkmark$ |

Sacramento Regional Transit District Salaried Employees' Retirement Plan
Public Retirement Systems' Financial Transactions Report Statement of Service Retirement Benefit Policies: Benefit Comments

Comments None.

Sacramento Regional Transit District Salaried Employees' Retirement Plan
Public Retirement Systems' Financial Transactions Report
Statement of Disability Benefit Policies

Reporting Year: 2022


Note or Special
Requirements

## Sacramento Regional Transit District Salaried Employees' Retirement Plan Public Retirement Systems Financial Transactions Report <br> Footnotes

| Fiscal Year: 2022 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FORM DESC | FIELD NAME | FOOTNOTES |
| NetPosition | (R01)CashandCashEquivalents | Cash equivalents amount fluctuates depending on timing of investment sales. |
| NetPosition | (R03)Investments | Investment receivables fluctuate based on timing of investment transactions. |
| NetPosition | (R04)OtherReceivables | A portion of this amount represents prepaid expense for fiduciary insurance that is amortized over a 12 month period (there is no line for prepaid expense on the SCO forms so it is included in other receivables. The remaining amount is plan manager receivables. The balance fluctuates based on timing of receipts. |
| NetPosition | (R08)MunicipalBonds | Investment portfolio mix amounts fluctuate depending on fund manager purchases/sales and changes in market value. |
| NetPosition | (R09)DomesticCorporateBonds | Investment portfolio mix amounts fluctuate depending on fund manager purchases/sales and changes in market value. |
| NetPosition | (R13)RealEstate | Investment portfolio mix amounts fluctuate depending fund manager purchases/sales and changes in market value. Additionally, our investment portfolio mix changed this year and we transferred $\$ 2.7 \mathrm{M}$ from domestic stock to real estate investments. |
| NetPosition | (R16)OtherInvestments | Other investments consist of other asset backed securities held by our domestic fixed income manager. Investment portfolio mix amounts fluctuate depending fund manager purchases/sales and changes in market value. |
| NetPosition | (R30)AccountsPayable | Accounts payable balances fluctuate based on timing of payments. The plan reimbursements due to the District were six months in FY21 vs none in FY22. |
| Additions | (R05)Member-General | There were more PEPRA employees hired and they were required to contribute. |
| Additions | (R14)NetAppreciation(Depreciation)inFairValueoflnvestments | Net Appreciation/Depreciation amounts fluctuate based on annual market performance and portfolio market performance. |
| Additions | (R16)Dividends | Dividend income fluctuates based on annual market performance and portfolio market performance. Additionally, our investment portfolio mix changed this year and we transferred 2.70 M from domestic stock to real estate investments. Real estate income consists primarily of dividend income. |
| Additions | (R17)OtherInvestmentIncome | The majority of other investment income consists of futures/forwards and other activity that is not specifically interest or dividend income. Other investment income fluctuates based on annual market performance and portfolio market performance. |
| Additions | (R18)(InvestmentExpense) | The majority of the change is due to the real estate fund manager fees. At the end of FY21 the real estate asset class was brought into the portfolio. The real estate manager fees were $\$ 12,926$ in FY21 and increased to $\$ 118,173$ in FY22 with a full year invested in real estate. |
| Additions | (R23)OtherIncome | Per provisions of a legally binding Arbitration between the District and the ATU, when a nonvested ATU employee transfers to the Salaried plan all contributions made to the ATU plan on behalf of that employee will be transferred from the ATU plan to the new plan. This amount is employee transfers that occurred in Fiscal Year 2022. There were none in Fiscal Year 2021. |
| Deductions | (R05)DisabilityRetirement-General | There were more disability retirements in FY22. |
| Deductions | (R14)MemberRefund-General | Refunds were higher because there was more employee turnover in FY22. |
| NetPensionLiability | (R09)Adjustments | Provisions of a legally binding Arbitration between the District and the ATU were implemented in Fiscal Year 2018. Per the Arbitration, when a non-vested ATU employee transfers to the Salaried plan all contributions made to the ATU plan on behalf of that employee will be transferred from the ATU plan to the new plan. |

DATE: March 8, 2023
TO: $\quad$ Sacramento Regional Transit Retirement Board - ALL
FROM: John Gobel, Manager, Pension and Retirement Services
SUBJ: UPDATE ON ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES RELATED TO PENSION ADMINISTRATION - QUARTER ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2022 (ALL). (Gobel)

## RECOMMENDATION

No Recommendation - Information Only

## RESULT OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

No recommended action.

## FISCAL IMPACT

There is no fiscal impact associated with this action.

## DISCUSSION

Every quarter, three reports are distributed to apprise the Retirement Boards of functions performed by Staff and Legal Counsel in support of the pension plans. For reference, the reports prepared for the quarter ended December 31, 2022 are attached for review and identified below:

Attachment A - Pension Administration Staff Roles and Responsibilities
Attachment B - RT Staff Costs Attributable and Charged to RT Pension Plans
Attachment C - Summary of Legal Services Provided for the Quarter
For the latest 90-day processing period (December 11, 2022 to March 10, 2023), Staff effected pensions for 14 retirees and noted that the average wait time for initial payment was 49 days. For context, total retirement activity increased over the prior quarter and the reported processing time was close to the 45-day target established for new pensioners.

# Pension Administration Staff Roles and Responsibilities 

## Pension Plan Member Relations:

| Task | Primary Responsibility | Back Up Responsibility |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Respond to Employee and <br> Retiree Inquiries | Retirement Services Analyst (I \& II), <br> Administrative Assistant II | Manager - Pension \& Retirement |
| Conduct Educational Sessions | Manager - Pension \& Retirement | Retirement Services Analyst II |
| Create Pension Estimates | Retirement Services Analyst II | Retirement Services Analyst I |
| Process Disability Retirements | Retirement Services Analyst II | Manager - Pension \& Retirement |
| Process Employee and Retiree <br> Deaths | Retirement Services Analyst I | Retirement Services Analyst II |
| Administer Active and Term <br> Vested Retirement Process | Retirement Services Analysts (I \& II) | Manager - Pension \& Retirement |
| Prepare 48-Month Salary <br> Calculations | Retirement Services Analysts (I \& II) | Manager - Pension \& Retirement |
| Verify Retiree Wages: gross pay, <br> net wages, no pre-tax <br> deductions, taxes | Retirement Service Analysts (I \& II), <br> Payroll Analyst | Payroll Manager |
| Facilitate Employees' Required <br> Contributions (per contracts <br> and/or PEPRA) | Retirement Services Analysts (I \& II) | Manager - Pension \& Retirement |
| Convert Employees to Retirees <br> in SAP | Retirement Services Analysts (I \& II) | Retirement Services Analysts (I \& II) |
| Process Lump-Sum Distribution <br> or "Refund" of Employee <br> Contributions for Terminated <br> PEPRA Members | Retirement Services Analyst I | Manager - Pension \& Retirement |
| Retrieve Undeliverable Retiree <br> Mail and Facilitate Required <br> Changes of Address | Administrative Assistant II | Retirement Services Analyst I |
| Conduct Lost Participant <br> Searches and Related <br> Processes for Returned <br> Checks/stubs | Retirement Services Analyst I | Administrative Assistant II |
| Retiree Medical - Initial <br> Enrollment | Payroll Analyst | Payroll Manager |
| Print, Stuff and Mail Pay Stubs | Manage Stale and Lost Check <br> Replacement | Pssuroll Analyst <br> Stubs and 1099-R Forms |

## Plan Documents:

| Task | Primary Responsibility | Back Up Responsibility |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Negotiate Benefits, Provisions | VP, Employee Development and <br> Engagement | Senior Manager, Labor Relations |
| Incorporate Negotiated Benefits/ <br> Provisions into Plan Documents | Chief Counsel, RT | External Counsel |
| Interpret Plan Provisions | Manager - Pension \& Retirement, | External Counsel |


|  | Hanson Bridgett |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Provide Guidance to Staff <br> Regarding New Plan Provisions <br> \&Regulations | Manager - Pension \& Retirement | Chief Counsel, RT |

## Contracting \& Contract Administration:

| Task | Primary Responsibility | Back Up Responsibility |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Contract Management, including <br> Oversight of RFP Processes | Manager - Pension \& Retirement | VP - Finance |
| Legal Services (Hanson Bridgett) <br> Contract Procurement | Manager - Pension \& Retirement | VP - Finance |
| Actuarial Services (Cheiron) Contract <br> Procurement | Manager - Pension \& Retirement | VP - Finance |
| Investment Manager Services (Callan) <br> Contract Procurement | Accountant II | VP - Finance |
| Ensure Adherence to Contract <br> Provisions | Manager - Pension \& Retirement | VP - Finance |
| Process Retirement Board Vendor <br> Invoices | Retirement Services Analyst II | Manager - Pension \& Retirement |
| Pay Invoices | Manager - Pension \& Retirement | VP - Finance |
| Collect Form 700 Statements of <br> Economic Interests from Retirement <br> Board Vendors | Retirement Services Analyst I | Manager - Pension \& Retirement |

## Retirement Board Meetings:

| Task | Primary Responsibility | Back Up Responsibility |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Manage Retirement Board Meeting <br> Content and Process | Manager - Pension \& Retirement | VP - Finance |
| Draft Staff Reports and Resolutions, <br> Compile Attachments | Staff Presenting Issue to Board |  <br> Retirement, <br> VP - Finance <br> Post Retirement Board Agenda <br> Materials |
| Moderate Retirement Board Meetings | Retirement Services Analyst I | Administrative Assistant II |

## Retirement Board Administration:

| Task | Primary Responsibility | Back Up Responsibility |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Train Staff/Board Members | Manager - Pension \& Retirement | Staff/Vendor with <br> Subject Matter Expertise |
| Prepare and Process Travel <br> Arrangements for Retirement Board <br> Members for Training | Retirement Services Analyst I | Administrative Assistant II |
| Facilitate Annual Fiduciary Liability <br> Insurance Renewal | Manager - Pension \& Retirement | VP - Finance |
|  <br> Communicate Waiver of Recourse <br> Info. to Retirement Board Members | Manager - Pension \& Retirement | VP - Finance |
| Develop and Administer Retirement <br> Board Policies | Manager - Pension \& Retirement | VP - Finance |


| Respond to Public Records Act <br> Requests | Manager - Pension \& Retirement | Retirement Service Analysts (I \& II) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Coordinate Actuarial Activities:

| Task | Primary Responsibility | Back Up Responsibility |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Valuation Study and Establish <br> Contribution Rates (annual) | Manager - Pension \& Retirement | VP - Finance |
| Experience Study (every 3-5 years) | Manager - Pension \& Retirement | VP - Finance |

## Asset Management:

| Task | Primary Responsibility | Back Up Responsibility |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Asset Rebalancing | Accountant II | VP - Finance |
| Account Reconciliations | Accountant II | VP - Finance |
| Cash Transfers | Accountant II | VP - Finance |
| Fund Accounting | Accountant II | VP - Finance |
| Investment Management | Accountant II | VP - Finance |
| Financial Statement Preparation | Accountant II | VP - Finance |
| Annual Audit | Accountant II | VP - Finance |
| State Controller's Office Reporting | Accountant II | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Manager - Pension \& Ret. } \\ \text { VP - Finance }\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { U.S. Census Bureau Reporting } & \text { Accountant II }\end{array}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Work with Investment advisors } \\ \text { (Callan), Custodian (Northern Trust), } \\ \text { Fund Managers, Auditors, and } \\ \text { Actuary (Cheiron) }\end{array}$ | Accountant II | VP - Finance |$]$| Manager - Pension \& Ret., |
| :--- |
| Review Monthly Asset Rebalancing |

Pension Administration Costs
For the Time Period: October 1, 2022 to December 31, 2022

| Sum of Value TranCurr WBS Element | Source object name | Per | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SAXXXX.PENATU | Finance And Treasury / Matthews, Rosalie | 004 | 1,276.77 |
|  |  | 005 | 1,169.10 |
|  |  | 006 | 1,322.95 |
|  | Finance And Treasury / Gobel, John | 004 | 1,509.50 |
|  |  | 005 | 1,499.39 |
|  |  | 006 | 1,306.89 |
|  | Finance And Treasury / Mathew, Jessica | 004 | 3,205.91 |
|  |  | 005 | 3,085.81 |
|  |  | 006 | 3,108.31 |
|  | Finance And Treasury / Cruz Mendoza, Jessic | 004 | 504.45 |
|  |  | 005 | 825.31 |
|  |  | 006 | 399.25 |
| SAXXXX.PENATU Total |  |  | 19,213.64 |
| SAXXXX.PENIBEW | Finance And Treasury / Matthews, Rosalie | 004 | 846.06 |
|  |  | 005 | 615.31 |
|  |  | 006 | 307.67 |
|  | Finance And Treasury / Gobel, John | 004 | 384.97 |
|  |  | 005 | 344.46 |
|  |  | 006 | 384.98 |
|  | Finance And Treasury / Mathew, Jessica | 004 | 900.96 |
|  |  | 005 | 1,253.85 |
|  |  | 006 | 735.79 |
|  | Finance And Treasury / Cruz Mendoza, Jessic | 004 | 190.51 |
|  |  | 005 | 72.45 |
|  |  | 006 | 245.24 |
| SAXXXX.PENIBEW Total |  |  | 6,282.25 |
| SAXXXX.PENSALA | Finance And Treasury / Matthews, Rosalie | 004 | 1,338.32 |
|  |  | 005 | 769.15 |
|  |  | 006 | 769.15 |
|  | Finance And Treasury / Gobel, John | 004 | 1,884.38 |
|  |  | 005 | 486.28 |
|  |  | 006 | 719.30 |
|  | Finance And Treasury / Mathew, Jessica | 004 | 2,950.66 |
|  |  | 005 | 1,674.28 |
|  |  | 006 | 1,681.80 |
|  | Finance And Treasury / Cruz Mendoza, Jessic | 004 | 99.29 |
|  |  | 005 | 404.08 |
|  |  | 006 | 228.07 |
| SAXXXX.PENSALA Total |  |  | 13,004.76 |
| SAXXXX.PENSION | Finance And Treasury / Volk, Lynda | 004 | 3,786.34 |
|  |  | 005 | 3,410.08 |
|  |  | 006 | 2,704.52 |
|  | Finance And Treasury / Matthews, Rosalie | 004 | 1,692.11 |
|  |  | 005 | 3,261.14 |
|  |  | 006 | 3,630.30 |
|  | Finance And Treasury / Gobel, John | 004 | 6,919.47 |
|  |  | 005 | 5,430.21 |
|  |  | 006 | 5,369.45 |
|  | Finance And Treasury / Mathew, Jessica | 004 | 2,425.08 |
|  |  | 005 | 2,988.17 |
|  |  | 006 | 2,267.43 |
|  | Finance And Treasury / Mouton, Wendy | 004 | 789.22 |
|  |  | 005 | 350.76 |
|  |  | 006 | 657.68 |
|  | Board Support / Smith, Tabetha | 006 | 77.31 |
|  | Finance And Treasury / Cruz Mendoza, Jessic | 004 | 590.26 |
|  |  | 005 | 429.28 |
|  |  | 006 | 970.18 |
| SAXXXX.PENSION Total |  |  | 47,748.99 |
| Grand Total |  |  | 86,249.64 |

## HANSON BRIDGETT LLP \& <br> SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT RETIREMENT BOARDS <br> LEGAL SERVICES SUMMARY

Set forth below is a broad summary report of significant legal matters addressed by Hanson Bridgett LLP for the Sacramento Regional Transit District (SacRT) Retirement Boards during the Quarter ended December 31, 2022.

1. Weekly client conference calls and as-needed client and internal conferences on pending matters, upcoming Board meetings and follow-up from prior Board meetings.
2. Preparation for and participation in quarterly Board Meeting, including review and markup of agenda materials.
3. Provide AB 1234 training to Board Members.
4. Review of documents for disability retirement evaluation services contract amendment.
5. Review real estate investment manager agreements with respect to new disclosure requests.
6. Provide counsel on issues including, but not limited to:
a. Service crediting rules;
b. Disability applications;
c. Board member terms; and
d. Staff communications with potential Plan beneficiary.

Respectfully Submitted,
/s/ Shayna M. van Hoften

DATE: March 8, 2023

TO: $\quad$ Sacramento Regional Transit Retirement Boards - ALL

FROM: Jason Johnson, Acting, VP, Finance/CFO
SUBJ: Investment Performance Review by AQR for the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Retirement Funds for the International Small Capitalization Equity Asset Class for the Quarter Ended December 31, 2022 (ALL). (Johnson)

## RECOMMENDATION

No Recommendation - For Information Only.

## RESULT OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

Information Only

## FISCAL IMPACT

None.

## DISCUSSION

Retirement funds are invested consistent with the Statement of Investment Objectives and Policy Guidelines (Policy) adopted by each Retirement Board (Board). Under the Policy, the Boards meet at least once every eighteen (18) months with each investment manager to review the performance of the manager's investment, the manager's adherence to the Policy, and any material changes to the manager's organization. The Policy also establishes the Retirement Funds' asset allocation policy and the asset classes in which the Plans funds are invested. The asset classes established by the Policy are (1) Domestic Large Capitalization Equity, (2) Domestic Small Capitalization Equity, (3) International Large Capitalization Equity, (4) International Small Capitalization Equity, (5) International Emerging Markets, (6) Domestic Fixed-Income, and (7) Real Estate.

AQR is the Retirement Boards' International Small Capitalization Equity fund manager. AQR will be presenting performance results for the quarter ended December 31, 2022, shown in Attachment 1, and answering any questions.

## AQR International Small Cap Review

Prepared exclusively for Sacramento Regional Transit District and Callan LLC

Private and Confidential
FOR CLIENT REVIEW USE ONLY
For Due Diligence Purposes Only
March 8, 2023


## Disclosures

You have requested certain performance information in connection with your due diligence review of the AQR International Small Cap Equity Fund, L.P. (the "Fund"). All information disclosed by AQR to you will be deemed Confidential Information and may be used only for informational, due diligence purposes. In consideration of AQR's making the Confidential Information available to you, you agree that you will not: (i) reproduce, summarize or otherwise use any Confidential Information for any purpose other than for Recipient's internal evaluation of establishing a relationship with AQR or investing in the Fund; or (ii) disclose the Confidential Information to any third party. You agree and acknowledge that the Confidential Information is and shall remain the property of AQR and AQR has not granted and will not grant you any license, copyright or similar right with respect to any of the Confidential Information.
This document has been provided to you solely for information purposes and does not constitute an offer or solicitation of an offer or any advice or recommendation to purchase any securities or other financial instruments or adopt any investment strategy, which may only be made at the time a qualified offeree receives a Confidential Private Placement Memorandum ("PPM") describing the offering and related subscription agreement. All information contained herein is qualified in its entirety by information in the PPM. These securities shall not be offered or sold in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful until the requirements of the laws of such jurisdiction have been satisfied. The factual information set forth herein has been obtained or derived from sources believed to be reliable but it is not necessarily all-inclusive and is not guaranteed as to its accuracy and is not to be regarded as a representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the information's accuracy or completeness, nor should the attached information serve as the basis of any investment decision. This document is intended exclusively for the use of the person to whom it has been delivered who by accepting it agrees to keep it confidential and it is not to be reproduced or redistributed to any other person. Please refer to the Fund's PPM for more information on general terms, risks and fees..

This presentation is not research and should not be treated as research. This presentation does not represent valuation judgments with respect to any financial instrument, issuer, security or sector that may be described or referenced herein and does not represent a formal or official view of AQR.

The views expressed reflect the current views as of the date hereof and neither the speaker nor AQR undertakes to advise you of any changes in the views expressed herein. It should not be assumed that the speaker or AQR will make investment recommendations in the future that are consistent with the views expressed herein, or use any or all of the techniques or methods of analysis described herein in managing client accounts. AQR and its affiliates may have positions (long or short) or engage in securities transactions that are not consistent with the information and views expressed in this presentation.
The information contained herein is only as current as of the date indicated, and may be superseded by subsequent market events or for other reasons. Charts and graphs provided herein are for illustrative purposes only. The information in this presentation has been developed internally and/or obtained from sources believed to be reliable; however, neither AQR nor the speaker guarantees the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of such information. Nothing contained herein constitutes investment, legal, tax or other advice nor is it to be relied on in making an investment or other decision.

There can be no assurance that an investment strategy will be successful. Historic market trends are not reliable indicators of actual future market behavior or future performance of any particular investment which may differ materially, and should not be relied upon as such. Target allocations contained herein are subject to change. There is no assurance that the target allocations will be achieved, and actual allocations may be significantly different than that shown here. This presentation should not be viewed as a current or past recommendation or a solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any securities or to adopt any investment strategy.
The information in this presentation may contain projections or other forward-looking statements regarding future events, targets, forecasts or expectations regarding the strategies described herein, and is only current as of the date indicated. There is no assurance that such events or targets will be achieved, and may be significantly different from that shown here. The information in this presentation, including statements concerning financial market trends, is based on current market conditions, which will fluctuate and may be superseded by subsequent market events or for other reasons. Performance of all cited indices is calculated on a total return basis with dividends reinvested.

The investment strategy and themes discussed herein may not be in the best interest of investors depending on their specific investment objectives and financial situation. Please note that changes in the rate of exchange of a currency may affect the value, price or income of an investment adversely.
Neither AQR nor the speaker assumes any duty to, nor undertakes to update forward looking statements. No representation or warranty, express or implied, is made or given by or on behalf of AQR, the speaker or any other person as to the accuracy and completeness or fairness of the information contained in this presentation, and no responsibility or liability is accepted for any such information. By accepting this presentation in its entirety, the recipient acknowledges its understanding and acceptance of the foregoing statement.

## Firm Overview


$A Q^{R}$

## Our Firm

## Systematic investing grounded in economic theory

## Investment innovation

 at the nexus of economics, behavioral finance, data and technology

## Our Approach

## Fundamental investors pursuing advantages at every step



## Assets Under Management

Our assets are diversified by client type and across regions


By Region


| North America | South America | Europe | Middle East \& Africa | Asia | Australia \& New Zealand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$58 B | \$0.5 B | \$18 B | \$4 B | \$1 B | \$14 B |

## Our Team

## Experienced leadership across disciplines

|  | John Liew, Ph.D. Founding Principal |  | Cliff Asness, Ph.D. <br> Managing and Founding Principal |  | David Kabiller, CFA Founding Principal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Portfolio Management |  |  | Business Development |  | Corporate Infrastruc |  |
| Research |  | Trading and Portfolio Implementation | Client Solutions |  | Finance | Legal, Compliance and Risk |
| Michele Aghassi, Ph.D. Principal | Yao Hua Ooi Principal | Jeffrey Bolduc, CFA Principal | Gregor Andrade, Ph.D. Principal | Joey Lee Principal | John Howard Principal Chief Finance Officer Co-Chief Operating Officer | H.J. Willcox Principal Chief Legal Officer Global Head of Compliance |
| Jordan Brooks, Ph.D. Principal | Lasse Pedersen, Ph.D. Principal | Risk Management | Scott Carter Principal | Michael Mendelson Principal | Bradley Asness Principal Co-Chief Operating Officer | and Risk |
| Andrea Frazzini, Ph.D. Principal | Laura Serban, Ph.D. Principal | Amir Becher Managing Director | Matthew Chilewich Principal | Lars Nielsen Principal | Patrick Ryan Principal | Human Resources |
| John Huss Principal | Nathan Sosner, Ph.D. <br> Principal |  | Roberto Giuffrida Principal | Ted Pyne, Ph.D. Principal |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jen Frost } \\ & \text { Principal } \\ & \text { Chief Human Resources Officer } \end{aligned}$ |
| Michael Mendelson Principal | Mark Mitchell, Ph.D. Principal (AQR Arbitrage) |  | Bradley Jones Principal |  |  |  |
| Tobias Moskowitz, Ph.D. Principal | Todd Pulvino, Ph.D. Principal (AQR Arbitrage) |  |  |  |  | Accounting, Operations, |
|  |  |  | Marketing | Portfolio Solutions | Engineering | and Client Administration |
| Lars Nielsen Principal | Rocky Bryant Principal (AQR Arbitrage) |  | Suzanne Escousse Principal <br> Chief Marketing Officer | Antti IImanen, Ph.D. Principal | Stephen Mock Principal Chief Technology Officer | Steve Mellas Principal |
|  |  |  |  | Daniel Villalon, CFA Principal |  |  |

## Investment Process

Consistent process across AQR Enhanced Equity Strategies


## Year In Review

To supplement your understanding of how the AQR International Small Cap Equity Fund, L.P. operates, the following slides include additional information about how certain attributes of the Strategies performed over different periods of time.

The performance shown is not the performance of the AQR International Small Cap Equity Fund, L.P. and is not an indication of how the Fund would have performed in the past or will perform in the future. The performance presented utilizes a strategy substantially similar to that which is utilized for the Fund. However, the Fund and its performance differ from the International Small Cap Strategy due to factors including, but not limited to, volatility targets, differences in cash flows, fees, expenses, performance calculation methods, and portfolio sizes and composition.

The following slides may include, for illustrative, informational or diligence purposes, hypothetical or projected returns of the Strategy. This information is speculative in nature and no representation or guarantee can be made or is being made as to whether the Strategy will perform in line with the estimates provided herein. Hypothetical performance results have many inherent limitations and AQR does not represent that any investor will, or is likely to achieve, performance similar to that shown. Therefore, the returns presented herein should not be considered indicative of the possible return of the Strategy or any of its investments. Actual results likely will vary significantly.


## Year in Review

All indexes were down in 2022...

## Cumulative Total Returns

January 1, 2022 - December 31, 2022


Source: AQR, Bloomberg. Gross performance does not reflect the deduction of investment advisory fees and other expenses, which would reduce an investor's actual return. For

## Year in Review <br> ...but, there was still a lot of opportunity to outperform

Companies that were cheaper and had strong support from informed investors outperformed, while high quality, lower risk companies underperformed

Characteristics of a Hypothetical Portfolio of Stocks that Outperformed / Underperformed in 2022 As of January 1, 2022

|  | Outperformers | Underperformers | Hypothetical <br> Average $^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forward Price-to-Earnings | 14.7 | 17.9 | 16.3 |
| Price-to-Book | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| Prior 12 Mo. Return** | $17.1 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ |
| Changes in Margins | $0.5 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| GPOA | $24.4 \%$ | $26.4 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ |
| Total Risk | $29.5 \%$ | $27.9 \%$ | $28.7 \%$ |
| Short Demand | $1.3 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| Change in Shares Out. | $0.2 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Market Cap (\$M) | $\$ 28,794$ | $\$ 28,892$ | $\$ 31,018$ |
| Sales Growth*** | $6.3 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ |

## Performance Review

The following slides include information regarding the client's specific investment in the AQR International Small Cap Equity Fund, L.P. (the "Fund"). All information disclosed by AQR to you will be deemed Confidential Information and may be used only for informational, due diligence purposes. In consideration of AQR's making the Confidential Information available to you, you agree that you will not: (i) reproduce, summarize or otherwise use any Confidential Information for any purpose other than for Recipient's internal evaluation of establishing a relationship with AQR or investing in the Fund; or (ii) disclose the Confidential Information to any third party. You agree and acknowledge that the Confidential Information is and shall remain the property of AQR and AQR has not granted and will not grant you any license, copyright or similar right with respect to any of the Confidential Information


## Performance Review

## Since inception performance

## AQR International Small Cap Equity Fund, L.P.

August 1, 2007 - December 31, 2022

|  | Portfolio Return (Gross) | Benchmark* | Gross Excess Return | Contribution to Gross Excess Return |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Europe | UK | Japan | Australia \& Asia exJapan |
| Q1 2022 | -4.24\% | -8.53\% | 4.29\% | 3.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% |
| Q2 2022 | -14.40\% | -17.69\% | 3.29\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% |
| Q3 2022 | -9.01\% | -9.83\% | 0.82\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% |
| Q4 2022 | 20.38\% | 15.79\% | 4.58\% | 1.4\% | 0.8\% | 2.6\% | -0.3\% |
| 2022 | -10.22\% | -21.39\% | 11.17\% | 5.2\% | 1.9\% | 3.1\% | 1.0\% |

Summary Sacramento Regional Transit District (since August 1, 2016)

| Since Inception (Cuml) | 40.56\% | 31.96\% | 8.59\% | 0.7\% | 8.4\% | 1.5\% | -1.9\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Since Inception (Annl) | 5.44\% | 4.41\% | 1.03\% | 0.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | -0.3\% |
| Summary (since August 1, 2007) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Year | -10.22\% | -21.39\% | 11.17\% | 5.2\% | 1.9\% | 3.1\% | 1.0\% |
| 3 Years (Annl) | 3.19\% | -0.93\% | 4.12\% | 1.6\% | 2.3\% | 0.8\% | -0.7\% |
| 5 Years (Annl) | 1.41\% | -0.05\% | 1.46\% | 0.3\% | 1.4\% | 0.5\% | -0.7\% |
| 7 Years (Annl) | 5.26\% | 4.45\% | 0.81\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.1\% | -0.3\% |
| 10 Years (Annl) | 7.36\% | 6.21\% | 1.15\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | -0.1\% | -0.1\% |
| Since Inception (Cuml) | 115.47\% | 59.55\% | 55.93\% | 20.2\% | 28.7\% | 7.3\% | -0.3\% |
| Since Inception (Annl) | 5.10\% | 3.07\% | 2.03\% | 0.7\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% |
| Tracking Error |  |  | 2.70\% |  |  |  |  |
| Information Ratio** |  |  | 0.75 |  |  |  |  |


| Period | Initial Contribution (\$K) | Contributions (\$K) | Withdrawals (\$K) | Investment Earnings (\$K) Ending Balance (\$K) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Since Inception | $\$ 12,202$ | $\$ 3,050$ | $\$ 1,704$ | $\$ 4,749$ | $\$ 18,297$ |

[^5] **Information Ratio is calculated as the Annualized Excess Return divided by Tracking Error.

## Performance Review

## 2022 Investment theme performance

Stock Selection: Contributions to Gross Excess Returns
January 1, 2022 - December 31, 2022


Source: AQR. Data from January 1, 2022 - December 31, 2022. Contributions to Excess Returns are in USD, gross of fees, and based on AQR's internal profit and loss reporting
system. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. Gross performance does not reflect the deduction of investment advisory fees and other expenses, which system. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. Gross performance does not reflect the deduction of investment advisory fees and other expenses, which
would reduce an investor's actual return. Please see additional performance disclosures in the Appendix. Excess returns are calculated as portfolio returns minus the benchmark. would reduce an investor's actual return. Please see additional performance disclosures in the Appendix. Excess returns are calculated as portfolio returns minus the benchmark. Benchmark is MSCI EAFE Small
subject to change without notice.

## Performance Review

## Trailing three-year investment theme performance

## Stock Selection: Contributions to Gross Excess Returns (Annualized)

January 1, 2020 - December 31, 2022


Source: AQR. Data from January 1, 2020 - December 31, 2022. Contributions to Excess Returns are in USD, gross of fees, and based on AQR's internal profit and loss reporting system. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. Gross performance does not reflect the deduction of investment advisory fees and other expenses, which would reduce an investor's actual return. Please see additional performance disclosures in the Appendix. Excess returns are calculated as portfolio returns minus the benchmark. would reduce an investor's actual return. Please see additional performance disclosures in the Appendix. Excess returns are calculated as portfolio returns minus the benchmark. Benchmark is MSCI EAFE Small
subject to change without notice.

## Performance Review

## 2022 Sector attribution

## Stock Selection

January 1, 2022 - December 31, 2022

|  | Average Sector Weight |  |  | Excess Return |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Portfolio | Benchmark | Active | Sector Selection | Stock Selection | Total |
| Communication Services | 2.1\% | 4.2\% | -2.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% |
| Consumer Discretionary | 9.9\% | 12.0\% | -2.1\% | 0.1\% | -0.4\% | -0.3\% |
| Consumer Staples | 2.5\% | 6.1\% | -3.5\% | -0.3\% | -0.1\% | -0.4\% |
| Energy | 6.8\% | 2.6\% | 4.3\% | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 2.3\% |
| Financials | 16.8\% | 11.4\% | 5.4\% | 0.6\% | 1.7\% | 2.2\% |
| Health Care | 2.0\% | 6.5\% | -4.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% |
| Industrials | 26.7\% | 22.7\% | 4.0\% | 0.1\% | 3.7\% | 3.8\% |
| Information Technology | 5.7\% | 9.6\% | -3.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% |
| Materials | 13.3\% | 9.7\% | 3.6\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 1.2\% |
| Real Estate | 11.8\% | 12.0\% | -0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% |
| Utilities | 2.4\% | 3.3\% | -1.0\% | -0.1\% | 0.0\% | -0.1\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 8.4\% | 11.2\% |

Source: AQR. Performance figures herein represent unaudited estimates of realized and unrealized gains and losses prepared by AQR. Gross performance does not reflect the deduction of investment advisory fees and other expenses, which would reduce an investor's actual return. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. Please see the Appendix for important risk and performance disclosures. Excess returns are calculated excess of designated benchmark: MSCI EAFE Small Cap Net Index USD End of Day. Please refer to the monthly statements provided by your custodian or administrator for actual returns. Attributions are subject to change without notice.

## Performance Review

## Portfolio characteristics: Equity exposure

## Portfolio Characteristics

Stock Selection Exposure
December 31, 2022

## Sector Exposure

Stock Selection Exposure
December 31, 2022

|  | Portfolio | Benchmark | Active Weight |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Communication Services | 3.5\% | 3.8\% | -0.3\% |  |
| Consumer Discretionary | 10.0\% | 12.3\% | -2.3\% |  |
| Consumer Staples | 4.6\% | 6.5\% | -1.9\% |  |
| Energy | 7.6\% | 2.9\% | 4.7\% |  |
| Financials | 18.4\% | 11.9\% | 6.5\% |  |
| Health Care | 1.5\% | 6.8\% | -5.4\% |  |
| Industrials | 24.8\% | 22.8\% | 2.0\% |  |
| Information Technology | 3.4\% | 9.2\% | -5.8\% |  |
| Materials | 13.8\% | 9.5\% | 4.3\% |  |
| Real Estate | 10.3\% | 11.0\% | -0.7\% |  |
| Utilities | 2.1\% | 3.2\% | -1.2\% |  |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |  |

[^6]
## Strategy Update

To supplement your understanding of how the AQR International Small Cap Equity Fund, L.P. operates, the following slides include additional information about how certain attributes of the Strategies performed over different periods of time.

The performance shown is not the performance of the AQR International Small Cap Equity Fund, L.P. and is not an indication of how the Fund would have performed in the past or will perform in the future. The performance presented utilizes a strategy substantially similar to that which is utilized for the Fund. However, the Fund and its performance differ from the International Small Cap Strategy due to factors including, but not limited to, volatility targets, differences in cash flows, fees, expenses, performance calculation methods, and portfolio sizes and composition.

The following slides may include, for illustrative, informational or diligence purposes, hypothetical or projected returns of the Strategy. This information is speculative in nature and no representation or guarantee can be made or is being made as to whether the Strategy will perform in line with the estimates provided herein. Hypothetical performance results have many inherent limitations and AQR does not represent that any investor will, or is likely to achieve, performance similar to that shown. Therefore, the returns presented herein should not be considered indicative of the possible return of the Strategy or any of its investments. Actual results likely will vary significantly.


## Still a Historic Dislocation in Value

Following strong 2022 performance, spreads narrowed but remained wide

## Value Spreads for Hypothetical Industry-and-Dollar-Neutral Value Portfolios*

June 1, 1994 - December 31, 2022


Source: AQR. Hypothetical value composite includes five value measures: book-to-price, earnings-to-price, forecast earnings-to-price, sales-to-enterprise value, and cash flow-toenterprise value; spreads are measured based on ratios. To construct industry-neutrality, the value spreads are constructed by comparing the aforementioned value measures within enterprise value; spreads are measured based on ratios. To construct industry-neutrality, the value spreads are constructed by comparing the aforementioned value measures within each industry, which are then aggregated up to represent an entire portfolio. Hypothetical data has inherent limitations, some of which are disclosed in the Appendix. Please see the
Hypothetical International Value Factor Description in the Appendix. For illustrative purposes only and not representative of an actual portfolio AQR currently manages. Please read the Hypothetical International Value Fac
Appendix for important disclosures.

## Global Stock Selection Research Framework <br> Four main areas of innovation and ongoing research



## Disclosures

In the United States, this material is distributed by AQR Investments, LLC, a broker-dealer registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and a member of FINRA and SIPC. Securities are offered through AQR Investments, LLC, is an affiliate of AQR Capital Management, LLC.
This document has been provided to you solely for information purposes and does not constitute an offer or solicitation of an offer or any advice or recommendation to purchase any securities or other financial instruments or adopt any investment strategy, which may only be made at the time a qualified offeree receives a Confidential Private Placement Memorandum ("PPM") describing the offering and related subscription agreement. All information contained herein is qualified in its entirety by information in the PPM. These securities shall not be offered or sold in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful until the requirements of the laws of such jurisdiction have been satisfied. The factual information set forth herein has been obtained or derived from sources believed to be reliable but it is not necessarily all-inclusive and is not guaranteed as to its accuracy and is not to be regarded as a representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the information's accuracy or completeness, nor should the attached information serve as the basis of any investment decision. This document is intended exclusively for the use of the person to whom it has been delivered who by accepting it agrees to keep it confidential and it is not to be reproduced or redistributed to any other person. Please refer to the Fund's PPM for more information on general terms, risks and fees. For one-on-one presentation use only.

All performance figures contained herein reflect the reinvestment of dividends and all other earnings and represent unaudited estimates of realized and unrealized gains and losses prepared by AQR Capital Management, LLC. There is no guarantee as to the above information's accuracy or completeness. Past performance is not an indication of future performance. Existing Investors, please refer to the monthly statements provided by your custodian or administrator for actual returns.
The interests in the fund referenced herein (the "Fund") have not been approved or disapproved by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") or by the securities regulatory authority of any state or of any other jurisdiction. The interests have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), the securities laws of any other state or the securities laws of any other jurisdiction, nor is such registration contemplated. The Fund will not be registered as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"). Consequently, limited partners of the Fund are not afforded the protections of the 1940 Act.

The following information includes risks, tax considerations and other important disclosures related to an investment in the Fund. This information is not exhaustive and is subject to the more complete disclosures in the Fund's offering documents, which must be reviewed carefully prior to making an investment decision.

The Investment Manager has total trading authority over the Fund and the Fund is not registered as an investment company under 1940 Act, and therefore, will not be required to adhere to certain operational restrictions and requirements under the Company Act. The Fund's investment activities will be carried out in the manner deemed advisable by the Investment Manager. The trading methods employed on behalf of the Fund are proprietary to the Investment Manager, therefore an investor will not be able to determine any details of such methods or whether they are being followed. There are no material limitations or restrictions on the particular categories or the magnitude of the Fund's investments, or on the investment strategies, techniques and financial instruments to be utilized by the Investment Manager, which may from time to time differ from those which are described herein.
The Fund's investment program is speculative and entails substantial risks, including a complete loss of capital. There can be no assurance that the Fund's investment objectives will be achieved or that significant losses will not be incurred. The Fund may utilize a variety of investment techniques, each of which can involve substantial volatility and can, in certain circumstances, substantially increase the adverse impact to which the Fund's investment portfolio may be subject. The Fund may be deemed to be a highly speculative investment, involving a high degree of risk and is not suitable or desirable for all investors. The Fund is designed for sophisticated investors who can bear the economic risk of the loss of their investment in the Fund, and who have a limited need for liquidity in their investment. The Fund has a limited operating history upon which prospective investors can evaluate its performance.
There are significant restrictions on withdrawals and transfers from the Fund (which may be settled in securities rather than cash). The net asset value of the Fund may be determined by its administrator in consultation with its Investment Manager, and may include valuations for unrealized investments. Actual performance may differ substantially from the unrealized values presented; no interests will be listed on an exchange, there is no secondary market for an investor's investment in the Fund and none is expected to develop. Consequently, investors may not be able to liquidate their investment readily in the event of an emergency or for any other reason.

The success of the Fund's activities will be affected by general economic and market conditions, such as interest rates, availability of credit, inflation rates, economic uncertainty, changes in laws (including laws relating to taxation of the Fund's investments), currency exchange controls, and national and international political circumstances (including wars, terrorist acts or security operations). These factors may affect the level and volatility of securities prices and the liquidity of the Fund's investments. Volatility or illiquidity could impair the Fund's profitability or result in losses. The Fund could incur material losses even if the Investment Manager reacts quickly to difficult market conditions, and there can be no assurance that the Fund will not suffer material losses and other adverse effects from broad and rapid changes in market conditions in the future.

## Disclosures

HYPOTHETICAL PERFORMANCE RESULTS HAVE MANY INHERENT LIMITATIONS, SOME OF WHICH ARE DESCRIBED BELOW. NO REPRESENTATION IS BEING MADE THAT ANY FUND OR ACCOUNT WILL OR IS LIKELY TO ACHIEVE PROFITS OR LOSSES SIMILAR TO THOSE SHOWN HEREIN. IN FACT, THERE ARE FREQUENTLY SHARP DIFFERENCES BETWEEN HYPOTHETICAL PERFORMANCE RESULTS AND THE ACTUAL RESULTS SUBSEQUENTLY REALIZED BY ANY PARTICULAR TRADING PROGRAM. ONE OF THE LIMITATIONS OF HYPOTHETICAL PERFORMANCE RESULTS IS THAT THEY ARE GENERALLY PREPARED WITH THE BENEFIT OF HINDSIGHT. IN ADDITION, HYPOTHETICAL TRADING DOES NOT INVOLVE FINANCIAL RISK, AND NO HYPOTHETICAL TRADING RECORD CAN COMPLETELY ACCOUNT FOR THE IMPACT OF FINANCIAL RISK IN ACTUAL TRADING. FOR EXAMPLE, THE ABILITY TO WITHSTAND LOSSES OR TO ADHERE TO A PARTICULAR TRADING PROGRAM IN SPITE OF TRADING LOSSES ARE MATERIAL POINTS THAT CAN ADVERSELY AFFECT ACTUAL TRADING RESULTS. THERE ARE NUMEROUS OTHER FACTORS RELATED TO THE MARKETS IN GENERAL OR TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ANY SPECIFIC TRADING PROGRAM WHICH CANNOT BE FULLY ACCOUNTED FOR IN THE PREPARATION OF HYPOTHETICAL
PERFORMANCE RESULTS, ALL OF WHICH CAN ADVERSELY AFFECT ACTUAL TRADING RESULTS. The hypothetical performance results contained herein represent the application of the quantitative models as currently in effect on the date first written above and there can be no assurance that the models will remain the same in the future or that an application of the current models in the future will produce similar results because the relevant market and economic conditions that prevailed during the hypothetical performance period will not necessarily recur. Discounting factors may be applied to reduce suspected anomalies. This backtest's return, for this period, may vary depending on the date it is run. Hypothetical performance results are presented for illustrative purposes only. In addition, our transaction cost assumptions utilized in backtests, where noted, are based on AQR Capital Management, LLC's, ("AQR")'s historical realized transaction costs and market data. Certain of the assumptions have been made for modeling purposes and are unlikely to be realized. No representation or warranty is made as to the reasonableness of the assumptions made or that all assumptions used in achieving the returns have been stated or fully considered. Changes in the assumptions may have a material impact on the hypothetical returns presented. Actual advisory fees for products offering this strategy may vary.
The investment program of the Fund involves numerous risks including, without limitation, risks associated with concentration, leverage, the use of speculative investment strategies and techniques, interest rates, volatility, systems risks and other risks inherent in the Fund's activities. Certain investment techniques of the Investment Manager (e.g., use of direct leverage or indirectly through leveraged investments) can, in certain circumstances, magnify the impact of adverse market moves to which the Fund may be subject.

Although diversification is considered by the Investment Manager as part of its overall portfolio risk management process, the Fund may not be fully diversified at all times. In addition, the Investment Manager is not restricted as to the percentage of the Fund's assets that may be invested in any particular issuer, industry, instrument, market or strategy. hedge Funds may involve a complex tax structure, which should be reviewed carefully, and may involve structures or strategies that may cause delays in important tax information being sent to investors or cause investors to incur tax liabilities during a year in which they have not received a distribution of any cash from the Fund.
A hedge fund's fees and expenses-which may be substantial regardless of any positive return-can offset trading profits. Hedge funds are not required to provide periodic pricing or valuation information to investors. Although AQR will attempt to limit its transactions to counterparties which are established, well-capitalized and creditworthy, the Fund will be subject to the risk of the inability of counterparties to perform with respect to transactions, whether due to insolvency, bankruptcy or other causes, which could subject the Fund to substantial losses.
The Investment Manager is subject to various conflicts of interest that are further disclosed in the Fund's offering documents and AQR's Form ADV.
There is a risk of substantial loss associated with trading commodities, futures, options and leverage. Before investing carefully consider your financial position and risk tolerance to determine if the proposed trading style is appropriate. Investors should realize that when engaging in leverage, trading futures, commodities and/or granting/writing options one could lose the full balance of their account. It is also possible to lose more than the initial deposit when engaging in leverage, trading futures and/or granting/writing options. All funds committed should be purely risk capital.

## Performance Disclosures

Broad-based securities indices are unmanaged and are not subject to fees and expenses typically associated with managed accounts or investment funds. Investments cannot be made directly in an index.

The MSCI ACWI ex USA Index is a free float-adjusted market capitalization weighted index that is designed to measure the equity market performance of developed and emerging markets.
The MSCI U.S. Total Return Index measures the price performance of markets with the income from constituent dividend payments. The MSCI Daily Total Return (DTR) Methodology reinvests an index constituent's dividends at the close of trading on the day the security is quoted ex-dividend (the ex-date).
The MSCI Emerging Index is a free float-adjusted market capitalization index that is designed to measure equity market performance of emerging markets.
The MSCI Emerging Small Cap Index is a free float-adjusted market capitalization index that is designed to measure equity market performance of emerging small cap markets across the world.
The MSCI EAFE Index is a free float-adjusted market capitalization index that is designed to measure the equity market performance of developed markets, excluding the US \& Canada The MSCI EAFE Small Cap Index is designed to measure the equity market performance of small cap indices across the world, excluding the U.S. and Canada.
The MSCI World Index is a free float-adjusted market capitalization weighted index that is designed to measure the equity market performance of developed markets.
The MSCI World ex USA Index is a free float-adjusted market capitalization weighted index that is designed to measure the equity market performance of developed markets.
The MSCI World Small Cap Index provides an exhaustive representation of the small cap size segment. The indexes target companies that are in the Investable Market Index (IMI) but that are not in the Standard Index in each market.
The Russell 1000 Index is a market capitalization-weighted index that represents the highest-ranking 1000 stocks in the Russell 3000 Index
The Russell 2000 Index is a free float-adjusted market capitalization weighted index that is designed to measure the performance of the Small Cap segment of the U.S. equity universe.
The following indices are used to represent the respective local equity market returns: Brazil - Bovespa Index, Turkey - BIST 30 Index, Thailand - SET 50 Index, Poland - WIG20 Index, Mexico - IPC Index, Taiwan - MSCI Taiwan, Malaysia - FTSE KLCI Index, South Korea - KOSPI200 Index, South Africa - South Africa Top 40 Index, India - NIFTY Index, China - HSCEI Index, Israel - Tel Aviv 25 Index, Russia - MICEX Index, Singapore - MSCI Singapore Free Index, Australia - ASX SPI 200 Index, Canada - S\&P/TSX 60 Index, France - CAC 40 Index, Germany - DAX Index, Hong Kong - Hang Seng Index, Italy - FTSE MIB Index, Japan - TOPIX Index, Netherlands - AEX Index, Spain - IBEX 35 Index, Sweden - OMX Stockholm 30 Index, Switzerland - Swiss Market Index, United Kingdom - FTSE 100 Index, United States - S\&P 500.

## Hypothetical AQR U.S., International Large Cap, Emerging Large Cap and Developed Industry Neutral / Dollar Neutral Value Spreads Description:

Hypothetical value composite includes five value measures: book-to-price, earnings-to-price, forecast earnings-to-price, sales-to-enterprise value and cash flow-to-enterprise value; spreads are measured based on ratios. To construct industry-neutrality, the value spreads are constructed by comparing the aforementioned value measures within each industry, which are then aggregated up to represent an entire portfolio.

## Hypothetical Global Developed, Emerging, U.S., International Value Factor Description:

The Hypothetical Value Factor is the factor return for the relevant region (Global Developed, Emerging, U.S., International) of a hypothetical Value portfolio built upon 5 multiples: book-toprice (B/P), trailing-earnings-to-price (E/P), forward-earnings-to-price (FE/P), sales-to-enterprise-value (S/EV) and cash flow-to-enterprise value (CF/EV). Each factor is built to be industry neutral and dollar-neutral by using within-industry value scores. Factor returns are gross of transaction costs. The investment universe includes a broad subset of liquid tradeable large and mid cap stocks within the relevant region. The risk models used are proprietary, internally developed risk models

## Hypothetical Hindsight Factor

The Hypothetical Hindsight Factor is constructed to maximize total returns of market index over calendar year period subject to market, dollar and industry neutrality. It goes long the stocks that had the largest total return over the subsequent 12 months, and short the stocks that had the smallest total return over the subsequent 12 months, while seeking to ensure the portfolio is market-, industry and dollar-neutral. This is a factor that is used to illustrate the characteristics that were well rewarded over the subsequent 12 months. The investment universe includes a broad set of liquid large cap stocks within the relevant region.
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DATE: March 8, 2023
Agenda Item: 15
TO: Sacramento Regional Transit Retirement Boards - ALL
FROM: Jason Johnson, Acting, VP, Finance/CFO
SUBJ: RECEIVE AND FILE INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE RESULTS FOR THE ATU, IBEW AND SALARIED EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT PLANS FOR THE QUARTER ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2022 (ALL). (JOHNSON)

## RECOMMENDATION

Motion to Approve

## RESULT OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

Motion: Receive and File Investment Performance Results for the ATU, IBEW and Salaried Employee Retirement Plans for the Quarter Ended December 31, 2022 (ALL). (Johnson)

## FISCAL IMPACT

None.

## DISCUSSION

Pension funds are invested consistent with the Statement of Investment Objectives and Policy Guidelines adopted by each Retirement Board. Attached are the two investment performance reports prepared by the Boards' pension investment consultants. The first report is the Fourth Quarter 2022 Market Update (Attachment 1) and the second is the Investment Measurement Service Quarterly Review as of December 31, 2022 (Attachment 2). These reports provide a detailed analysis of the performance of each of the investment managers retained by the Retirement Boards to manage the Retirement Funds for the quarter ended December 31, 2022. The second report compares the performance of each investment manager with benchmark indices, other fund managers of similarly invested portfolios and other indices.

## Investment Compliance Monitoring

In accordance with the Statement of Investment Objectives and Policy Guidelines for the Sacramento Regional Transit District Retirement Plans (Investment Policy), Northern Trust Company performs daily investment compliance monitoring on the Plans' three (3) actively managed funds. As of December 31, 2022, there was a compliance breach reported; however the report was investigated and it was determined that the breach report was due to restructuring of a company held by the Plans' fixed income manager (Intelsat, held by Metwest/TWC) as part of a corporate action. Northern Trust's compliance monitoring settings were set to flag equity common stock, equity rights and other sundry assets as compliance
breaches. The Intelsat investments were originally purchased as Corporate Bonds so this incident is not a violation of the investment policy. The current equity common stock, equity rights and other sundry assets will continue to be monitored until MetWest/TCW disposes of the securities. The final attached report includes the monitoring summary (Attachment 3).

The table below provides an overview of the quarter performance, quarter ending December 31, 2022 - gross of investment management fees:

| Investment Manager - Description - Benchmark | Benchmark <br> Index | ATU, IBEW <br> \& Salaried <br> Fund | Investment <br> Gains/ <br> $($ Losses) | Pension Fund <br> Contributions/ <br> (Withdrawals) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston Partners (large cap value) Russell 1000 Value | $12.42 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4 8 \%}$ | $\$ 6,838,439$ | $\$(805,855)$ |
| S\&P 500 Index (large cap value) S\&P 500 | $7.56 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 . 5 8 \%}$ | $\$ 3,740,642$ | - |
| Atlanta Capital (small cap) Russell 2000 | $6.23 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 6 \%}$ | $\$ 2,704,193$ | $\$(179,958)$ |
| Pyrford (international equities) MSCI EAFE | $17.34 \%$ | $15.58 \%$ | $\$ 4,444,205$ | - |
| MSCI EAFE Index (international equities) MSCI EAFE | $17.34 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 3 8 \%}$ | $\$ 2,356,574$ | - |
| AQR (small cap international equities) MSCI EAFE SC | $15.79 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 0 4 \%}$ | $\$ 3,054,047$ | - |
| Dimensional Fund Advisors (emerging markets) MSCI EM | $9.70 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 6 3 \%}$ | $\$ 1,950,999$ | - |
| Metropolitan West (fixed income) Bloomberg Agg. | $1.87 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 \%}$ | $\$ 1,698,747$ | - |
| Clarion Lion Properties (real estate) NCREIF NFI-ODCE | $\mathbf{( 4 . 9 7 ) \%}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 . 1 2 ) \%}$ | $\$(1,106,458)$ | - |
| Morgan Stanley Prime Property Fund | $\mathbf{( 4 . 9 7 ) \%}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 . 6 9 ) \%}$ | $\$(688,305)$ | - |
| Totals | $6.74 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 0 \%}$ | $\$ 24,993,083$ | $\$(985,813)$ |

Bold - fund exceeding respective benchmark
The table below provides an overview of the year to date performance, as of December 31, 2022 - gross of investment management fees:

| Investment Manager - Description - Benchmark | Benchmark <br> Index | ATU, IBEW <br> \& Salaried <br> $\underline{\text { Fund }}$ | Investment <br> Gains/(Loss) | Pension Fund <br> Contributions/ <br> (Withdrawals) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston Partners (large cap value) Russell 1000 Value | $(7.54) \%$ | $\mathbf{( 3 . 1 7 ) \%}$ | $\$(2,221,231)$ | $\$(2,924,930)$ |
| S\&P 500 Index (large cap value) S\&P 500 | $(18.11) \%$ | $\mathbf{( 1 8 . 1 0 ) \%}$ | $\$(11,761,767)$ | - |
| Atlanta Capital (small cap) Russell 2000 | $(20.44) \%$ | $\mathbf{( 1 1 . 1 5 ) \%}$ | $\$(3,862,287)$ | $\$(437,923)$ |
| Pyrford (international equities) MSCI EAFE | $(14.45) \%$ | $\mathbf{( 6 . 4 9 ) \%}$ | $\$(2,289,706)$ | - |
| MSCI EAFE Index (international equities) MSCI EAFE | $(14.45) \%$ | $\mathbf{( 1 4 . 0 8 ) \%}$ | $\$(2,617,221)$ | - |
| AQR (small cap international equities) MSCI EAFE SC | $(21.39) \%$ | $\mathbf{( 1 0 . 8 9 ) \%}$ | $\$(2,323,977)$ | - |
| Dimensional Fund Advisors (emerging markets) MSCI EM | $(20.09) \%$ | $\mathbf{( 1 6 . 0 6 ) \%}$ | $\$(4,017,591)$ | - |
| Metropolitan West (fixed income) Bloomberg Agg. | $(13.01) \%$ | $(13.48) \%$ | $\$(12,783,026)$ | - |
| Clarion Lion Properties (real estate) NCREIF NFI-ODCE | $7.47 \%$ | $\mathbf{9 . 7 1 \%} \%$ | $\$ 1,524,118$ | - |
| Morgan Stanley Prime Property Fund | $7.47 \%$ | $6.13 \%$ | $\$ 1,038,033$ | - |
| Totals | $(14.03) \%$ | $\mathbf{( 9 . 8 5 ) \%}$ | $\$(39,314,655)$ | $\$(3,362,853)$ |

Bold - fund exceeding respective benchmark
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## Economic Commentary


U.S. Treasury Yield Curves

- 12/31/2021 03/31/2022 06/30/2022 09/30/2022 12/31/2022


GDP growth in 2022 slowed compared to 2021 but rebounded after declines in the first two quarters of last year.

- 4Q22 GDP rose $2.9 \%$, after a $3.2 \%$ rise in 3Q, a $0.6 \%$ drop in 2Q, and a $1.6 \%$ decline in 1Q.
- Loss of business and consumer confidence followed the start of the conflict in Ukraine.
- Consumer wealth hit by stock and bond market drop, and now a sharp slowdown in residential housing, as mortgage rates doubled from the start of the year.

The Treasury yield curve has steadily shifted higher in 2022, especially on the short end.
$>$ The yield curve inverted in mid-July and has remained inverted consistently since, with the 1-and 3-year yields exceeding 10-and 30-year yields.

- Higher yields increase the risk of inducing recession, which could lead to a reversal in interest rate policy and a lower return.


## Asset Class Performance

Periods Ended December 31, 2022

## for Periods Ended December 31, 2022

Asset Class Performance

YTD as of 3/7/2023:
S\&P 500:
Russell 2000:
MSCI EAFE:
MSCI Emerging Markets:
Bloomberg Aggregate:
Bloomberg TIPS:


Callan

## Equity and Fixed Income Markets Down Together in 2022

Declines for both stocks and bonds for three straight quarters are extremely unusual

Global equity markets down sharply in 2022 despite rebound in 4Q

- Similar impact across all equity market segments: developed, emerging, small cap

Fixed income down with sharply higher inflation and interest rates

- Bloomberg Aggregate: -13\% for the year, worst year ever for the index by a wide margin
CPI-U: $+6.5 \%$ for the year ended Dec. 2022
- Number of times stocks and bonds have been down together
- 38 quarters in almost 100 years, about $10 \%$ of the quarters
- But just twice on annual basis
- Inflation at highest rate in decades
- Economic data show growth hit 'pause'
- GDP rose $2.9 \%$ in 4Q22, after a $3.2 \%$ rise in 3Q, a $0.6 \%$ drop in 2Q, and a $1.6 \%$ decline in 1 Q .

|  | Quarter | 1 Year | 5 Years | 10 Years | 25 Years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Equity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Russell 3000 | 7.18 | -19.21 | 8.79 | 12.13 | 7.68 |
| S\&P 500 | 7.56 | -18.11 | 9.42 | 12.56 | 7.64 |
| Russell 2000 | 6.23 | -20.44 | 4.13 | 9.01 | 7.13 |
| Global ex-U.S. Equity |  |  |  |  |  |
| MSCI World ex USA | 16.18 | -14.29 | 1.79 | 4.59 | 4.65 |
| MSCI Emerging Markets | 9.70 | -20.09 | -1.39 | 1.44 | -- |
| MSCI ACWI ex USA Small Cap | 13.31 | -19.97 | 0.67 | 5.24 | 6.87 |
| Fixed Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bloomberg Aggregate | 1.87 | -13.01 | 0.02 | 1.06 | 3.97 |
| 90-day T-Bill | 0.84 | 1.46 | 1.26 | 0.76 | 1.91 |
| Bloomberg Long Gov/Credit | 2.61 | -27.09 | -1.21 | 1.57 | 5.38 |
| Bloomberg Global Agg ex-US | 6.81 | -18.70 | -3.07 | -1.64 | 2.71 |
| Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  |
| NCREIF Property | -3.50 | 5.53 | 7.46 | 8.82 | 9.04 |
| FTSE Nareit Equity | 5.24 | -24.37 | 3.68 | 6.53 | 7.87 |
| Alternatives |  |  |  |  |  |
| CS Hedge Fund Index | 0.92 | 1.06 | 4.25 | 4.24 | 5.81 |
| Cambridge Private Equity* | -1.84 | -3.77 | 16.84 | 15.31 | 14.31 |
| Bloomberg Commodity | 2.22 | 16.09 | 6.44 | -1.28 | 1.87 |
| Gold Spot Price | 9.22 | -0.13 | 6.88 | 0.86 | 7.64 |
| Inflation - CPI-U | 0.00 | 6.45 | 3.78 | 2.60 | 2.47 |

## Stock Market Returns by Calendar Year

2022 YTD performance in perspective: History of the U.S. stock market (233 years of returns)


## U.S. Equity Performance: 4Q22

## Markets retrace in December after gains in the prior two months

- The S\&P 500 Index posted positive returns in both October and November but fell in December. The index was up 7.6\% during 4Q22 but ended 2022 down 18.1\%.
- Energy was the best-performing sector during the quarter and 2022, returning $23 \%$ and $66 \%$ respectively. Consumer Discretionary and Communication Services were the only two sectors that posted negative returns in 4Q.
- Value stocks outperformed growth across the market capitalization spectrum for both $4 Q$ and the full year.
- Large cap stocks (Russell 1000) outperformed small caps (Russell 2000) last quarter and for the year.
- Continued macroeconomic concerns (e.g., inflation, potential recession, geopolitical issues) led to higher volatility and a downyear for U.S. equities.

Industry Sector Quarterly Performance (S\&P 500) as of 12/31/22

U.S. Equity Returns: Quarter Ended 12/31/22

U.S. Equity Returns: One Year Ended 12/31/22



-10.2\%


Sources: FTSE Russell, S\&P Dow Jones Indices

## Global/Global ex-U.S. Equity Performance: 4Q22

## Ending on a high note

4Q22 was a bright spot during a tough calendar year in global and global ex-U.S. equity markets.

## Encouraging signs

- Lower-than-expected U.S. inflation data buoyed market optimism at the end of the year.
- The Fed slowed its pace of tightening with further slowing expected in 2023.
- China reversed its zero-COVID policies, prompting exuberance from investors.


## Growth vs. value

- Value outpaced growth across developed and emerging markets.
- Economically sensitive sectors (e.g., Financials and Industrials) benefited from the anticipation of improved growth; Energy was the largest outperformer.


## U.S. dollar vs. other currencies

- After reaching a multi-decade high, the dollar fell against all major currencies with signs of inflation easing.
- Despite the 7.7\% decline in 4Q22, the dollar still gained nearly $8 \%$ over the full year.

Global Equity Returns: Quarter Ended 12/31/22


Global Equity Returns: One Year Ended 12/31/22


## Global/Global ex-U.S. Equity Key Theme

## China's reopening creates hope for emerging markets

## Zero-COVID policy ends after three years

- After President Xi secured a third term during China's 20th Party Congress in October 2022, and after a chorus of dissent throughout the country, Chinese policymakers refocused on restoring its economy.
- In addition to pivoting from the zero-COVID policy, regulators shifted to supportive policies to stabilize the property sector and tech/platform industry.


## Reopening is expected to jump-start Chinese economy

$\Rightarrow$ China's real GDP growth is estimated to reach $5.5 \%$ in 2023 and nearly $7 \%$ on a 4Q/4Q basis.

- Real consumption is forecasted to grow by $8.5 \%$ in 2023 as Chinese households have amassed $\$ 2.6$ trillion in savings.


## Recovery in China will spill over to other EM regions

- Growth in Chinese consumption is expected to have a positive impact on tourism in Southeast Asian countries, goods exports in Europe, the Middle East, and Africa, and commodities in Latin America.
- China continues to trade at a nearly $20 \%$ discount despite the recent rally.


Sources: Factset, Haver Analytics, Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research

## U.S. Fixed Income Performance: 4Q22

## Bonds were up in 4Q but 2022 results remain negative

- Aggregate: positive return driven by coupon income and spread tightening; interest rates rose modestly.
- Rates were volatile intra-quarter
- UST 10-year yield: high $4.22 \%$ on $11 / 7$; low $3.42 \%$ on $12 / 7$
- Curve remained inverted at quarter-end; 10-year yield $3.88 \%$ and 2-year yield $4.41 \%$; most since 1981
- Fed raised rates, bringing target to 4.25\%-4.50\%
- Median expectation from Fed is $5.1 \%$ for year-end 2023
- Inflation showed signs of moderating, but job market remained tight with solid wage growth.


## Corporates and mortgages outperformed Treasuries in 4Q but underperformed for the year

- 4Q: Corporates +289 bps excess return; RMBS +110 bps
- 2022: Corporates -125 bps excess return; RMBS -223 bps
- RMBS had worst month ever (September: -191 bps) and best month ever (November: +135 bps) in excess returns.


## Valuations fair

- While absolute yields are higher, spreads have not widened materially, and most are close to historical averages.
- An economic slowdown/recession could impact credit spreads.
- Higher yields boosting forward-looking return outlooks across sectors.
U.S. Fixed Income Returns: Quarter Ended 12/31/22

U.S. Fixed Income Returns: One Year Ended 12/31/22


Sources: Bloomberg, S\&P Dow Jones Indices

## U.S. Private Real Estate Performance: 4Q22

## Appreciation returns negative once again

## Negative appreciation in four major sectors

- Income returns were positive across sectors and regions.
- All property sectors and regions, except for Hotel, experienced negative appreciation.
- Valuations are reflective of higher interest rates, which have put upward pressure on capitalization rate and discount rate assumptions.
- Return dispersion by manager within the ODCE Index was due to the composition of

|  | Last <br> Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 <br> Years | Last 5 <br> Years | Last 10 <br> Years |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NCREIF ODCE | $-5.2 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ |
| Income | $0.6 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| Appreciation | $-5.8 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| NCREIF Property Index | $-3.5 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ |
| Income | $1.0 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| Appreciation | $-4.5 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |

Returns are geometrically linked

## NCREIF Property Index Quarterly Returns by Region and Property Type



Source: NCREIF, ODCE return is net

## Callan

Sacramento Regional<br>Transit District<br>Total Fund Overview

## RT Asset Allocation

## As of December 31, 2022



## Total Fund

## Performance Attribution

Relative Attribution Effects for Quarter ended December 31, 2022

| Asset Class | Effective Actual Weight | Effective Target Weight | Actual Return | Target Return | Manager Effect | Asset Allocation | Total Relative Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Large Cap Equity | 33\% | 32\% | 10.19\% | 7.56\% | 0.86\% | (0.06\%) | 0.79\% |
| Small Cap Equity | 9\% | 8\% | 10.06\% | 6.23\% | 0.32\% | (0.03\%) | 0.29\% |
| International Large Cap | 13\% | 14\% | 16.16\% | 17.34\% | (0.12\%) | (0.12\%) | (0.24\%) |
| International Small Cap | 5\% | 5\% | 20.04\% | 15.79\% | 0.19\% | (0.02\%) | 0.18\% |
| Emerging Equity | 6\% | 6\% | 10.63\% | 9.70\% | 0.04\% | (0.04\%) | 0.00\% |
| Domestic Fixed Income | 24\% | 25\% | 2.11\% | 1.87\% | 0.06\% | 0.01\% | 0.07\% |
| Real Estate | 11\% | 10\% | (4.44\%) | (4.97\%) | 0.07\% | (0.21\%) | (0.14\%) |
| Total |  |  | 7.70\% | 6.74\% | 1.43\% | (0.47\%) | 0.96\% |


| One Year Relative Attribution Effects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asset Class | Effective Actual Weight | Effective Target Weight | Actual Return | Target Return | Manager Effect | Asset Allocation | Total <br> Relative <br> Return |
| Large Cap Equity | 33\% | 32\% | (10.58\%) | (18.11\%) | 2.51\% | (0.15\%) | 2.36\% |
| Small Cap Equity | 8\% | 8\% | (11.15\%) | (20.44\%) | 0.77\% | (0.06\%) | 0.71\% |
| International Large Cap | 13\% | 14\% | (9.11\%) | (14.45\%) | 0.73\% | (0.05\%) | 0.67\% |
| International Small Cap | 5\% | 5\% | (10.89\%) | (21.39\%) | 0.55\% | (0.03\%) | 0.52\% |
| Emerging Equity | 6\% | 6\% | (16.06\%) | (20.09\%) | 0.25\% | (0.04\%) | 0.20\% |
| Domestic Fixed Income | 24\% | 25\% | (13.48\%) | (13.01\%) | (0.12\%) | (0.09\%) | (0.21\%) |
| Real Estate | 10\% | 10\% | 7.97\% | 7.47\% | 0.08\% | (0.23\%) | (0.15\%) |
| Total |  |  | (9.84\%) = (14.03\%) |  | 4.84\% | (0.65\%) | 4.18\% |

## Total Fund

Performance as of December 31, 2022

Performance vs Callan Public Fund Spons- Mid (100M-1B) (Gross)


Callan

## Total Fund

## Manager Asset Allocation

|  | December 31, 2022 <br> Market Value | Weight | Net New Inv. | Inv. Return | September 30, 2022 <br> Market Value |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weight |  |  |  |  |  |

## Total Fund

Manager Returns as of December 31, 2022

| (10) | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 <br> Years | $\begin{gathered} \text { Last } \\ 7 \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic Equity | 10.14\% | (10.71\%) | 8.39\% | 9.17\% | 11.39\% |
| Domestic Equity Benchmark** | 7.31\% | (18.54\%) | 6.86\% | 8.44\% | 10.84\% |
| Large Cap Equity | 10.16\% | (10.60\%) | 8.92\% | 9.11\% | 11.36\% |
| Boston Partners | 12.48\% | (3.17\%) | 9.54\% | 8.36\% | 10.89\% |
| Russell 1000 Value Index | 12.42\% | (7.54\%) | 5.96\% | 6.67\% | 9.12\% |
| SSgA S\&P 500 | 7.58\% | (18.10\%) | 7.65\% | 9.42\% | 11.49\% |
| S\&P 500 Index | 7.56\% | (18.11\%) | 7.66\% | 9.42\% | 11.48\% |
| Small Cap Equity | 10.06\% | (11.15\%) | 6.28\% | 9.25\% | 11.43\% |
| Atlanta Capital | 10.06\% | (11.15\%) | 6.28\% | 9.25\% | 11.43\% |
| Russell 2000 Index | 6.23\% | (20.44\%) | 3.10\% | 4.13\% | 7.90\% |
| International Equity | 15.59\% | (11.20\%) | 1.76\% | 1.85\% | 5.36\% |
| International Benchmark*** | 15.24\% | (17.10\%) | (0.19\%) | 0.63\% | 4.71\% |
| International Large Cap | 16.16\% | (9.11\%) | 1.66\% | 2.67\% | 5.11\% |
| SSgA EAFE | 17.38\% | (14.08\%) | 1.23\% | 1.91\% | 4.90\% |
| Pyrford | 15.58\% | (6.49\%) | 1.75\% | 2.93\% | - |
| MSCI EAFE Index | 17.34\% | (14.45\%) | 0.87\% | 1.54\% | 4.53\% |
| International Small Cap | 20.04\% | (10.89\%) | 2.79\% | 1.14\% | - |
| AQR | 20.04\% | (10.89\%) | 2.79\% | 1.14\% | - |
| MSCI EAFE Small Cap Index | 15.79\% | (21.39\%) | (0.93\%) | (0.05\%) | 4.45\% |
| Emerging Markets Equity | 10.63\% | (16.06\%) | 0.67\% | 0.28\% | 6.69\% |
| DFA Emerging Markets | 10.63\% | (16.06\%) | 0.67\% | 0.28\% | 6.69\% |
| MSCI Emerging Markets Index | 9.70\% | (20.09\%) | (2.69\%) | (1.39\%) | 5.17\% |
| Domestic Fixed Income | 2.11\% | (13.48\%) | (1.83\%) | 0.84\% | 1.56\% |
| Met West | 2.11\% | (13.48\%) | (1.83\%) | 0.84\% | 1.56\% |
| Bloomberg Aggregate Index | 1.87\% | (13.01\%) | (2.71\%) | 0.02\% | 0.89\% |
| Real Estate | (4.44\%) | 7.97\% | - | - | - |
| Clarion Lion Fund | (5.12\%) | 9.71\% | - | - | - |
| Morgan Stanley | (3.69\%) | 6.13\% | - | - | - |
| NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr | (4.97\%) | 7.47\% | 9.93\% | 8.71\% | 8.56\% |
| Total Plan | 7.70\% | (9.85\%) | 5.14\% | 5.65\% | 7.37\% |
| Target* | 6.74\% | (14.03\%) | 3.35\% | 4.62\% | 6.63\% |

Current Quarter Target $=32.0 \%$ S\&P 500 Index, $25.0 \%$ Blmbg Aggregate, $14.0 \%$ MSCI EAFE, $10.0 \%$ NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr, $8.0 \%$ Russell 2000 Index, $6.0 \%$ MSCI EM and $5.0 \%$ MSCI EAFE Small.
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## Total Fund

## Manager Calendar Year Returns

|  | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 | 2019 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic Equity | (10.71\%) | 28.28\% | 11.16\% | 27.71\% | (4.64\%) |
| Domestic Equity Benchmark** | (18.54\%) | 25.93\% | 18.94\% | 30.32\% | (5.69\%) |
| Large Cap Equity | (10.60\%) | 30.18\% | 11.03\% | 27.77\% | (6.33\%) |
| Boston Partners | (3.17\%) | 31.78\% | 2.99\% | 23.91\% | (8.27\%) |
| Russell 1000 Value Index | (7.54\%) | 25.16\% | 2.80\% | 26.54\% | (8.27\%) |
| SSgA S\&P 500 | (18.10\%) | 28.70\% | 18.36\% | 31.50\% | (4.39\%) |
| S\&P 500 Index | (18.11\%) | 28.71\% | 18.40\% | 31.49\% | (4.38\%) |
| Small Cap Equity | (11.15\%) | 21.00\% | 11.67\% | 27.38\% | 1.78\% |
| Atlanta Capital | (11.15\%) | 21.00\% | 11.67\% | 27.38\% | 1.78\% |
| Russell 2000 Index | (20.44\%) | 14.82\% | 19.96\% | 25.52\% | (11.01\%) |
| International Equity | (11.20\%) | 9.37\% | 8.48\% | 20.83\% | (13.93\%) |
| International Benchmark*** | (17.10\%) | 7.67\% | 11.39\% | 21.78\% | (14.76\%) |
| International Large Cap | (9.11\%) | 9.34\% | 5.71\% | 22.34\% | (11.25\%) |
| SSgA EAFE | (14.08\%) | 11.52\% | 8.27\% | 22.49\% | (13.49\%) |
| Pyrford | (6.49\%) | 8.22\% | 4.09\% | 22.30\% | (10.31\%) |
| MSCI EAFE Index | (14.45\%) | 11.26\% | 7.82\% | 22.01\% | (13.79\%) |
| International Small Cap | (10.89\%) | 13.52\% | 7.35\% | 21.73\% | (19.94\%) |
| AQR | (10.89\%) | 13.52\% | 7.35\% | 21.73\% | (19.94\%) |
| MSCI EAFE Small Cap Index | (21.39\%) | 10.10\% | 12.34\% | 24.96\% | (17.89\%) |
| Emerging Markets Equity | (16.06\%) | 6.25\% | 14.40\% | 16.64\% | (14.80\%) |
| DFA Emerging Markets | (16.06\%) | 6.25\% | 14.40\% | 16.64\% | (14.80\%) |
| MSCI Emerging Markets Index | (20.09\%) | (2.54\%) | 18.31\% | 18.44\% | (14.57\%) |
| Domestic Fixed Income | (13.48\%) | (0.46\%) | 9.85\% | 9.41\% | 0.75\% |
| Met West | (13.48\%) | (0.46\%) | 9.85\% | 9.41\% | 0.75\% |
| Bloomberg Aggregate Index | (13.01\%) | (1.54\%) | 7.51\% | 8.72\% | 0.01\% |
| Real Estate | 7.97\% | - | - | - | - |
| Clarion Lion Fund | 9.71\% | - | - | - | - |
| Morgan Stanley | 6.13\% | - | - | - | - |
| NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr | 7.47\% | 22.17\% | 1.19\% | 5.34\% | 8.50\% |
| Total Plan | (9.85\%) | 15.71\% | 11.42\% | 19.25\% | (5.05\%) |
| Target* | (14.03\%) | 12.81\% | 13.82\% | 20.58\% | (5.82\%) |

Current Quarter Target $=32.0 \%$ S\&P 500 Index, $25.0 \%$ Blmbg Aggregate, $14.0 \%$ MSCI EAFE, $10.0 \%$ NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr, $8.0 \%$ Russell 2000 Index, $6.0 \%$ MSCI EM and 5.0\% MSCI EAFE Small.
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## Callan

Callan Research \& Events
Updates

## Callan Institute Events

## Upcoming conferences, workshops, and webinars

## Callan College

## Intro to Alternatives

This course is for institutional investors, including trustees and staff members of public plans, corporate plans, and nonprofits. This session familiarizes trustees and staff with alternative investments like private equity, hedge funds, and real estate and how they can play a key role in any portfolio. You will learn about the importance of allocations to alternatives and how to consider integrating, evaluating, and monitoring them.

- February 15-16, 2023 - Virtual Session via Zoom


## Intro to Investments-Learn the Fundamentals

This course is for institutional investors, including trustees and staff members of public plans, corporate plans, and nonprofits. This session familiarizes trustees and staff with basic investment theory, terminology, and practices.

- March 1-2, 2023 - In-Person Session - Chicago
- May 23-25, 2023 - Virtual Session via Zoom

Please visit our website at callan.com/events-education as we add dates to our 2023 calendar!

## Mark Your Calendar

## 2023 National Conference

April 2-4, 2023
Scottsdale, Arizona

## 2023 Regional Workshops

June 27, 2023 - Denver
June 29, 2023 - San Francisco

October 24, 2023 - New York
October 26, 2023 - Chicago
Watch your email for further details and an invitation.

## Webinars \& Research Café Sessions

Research Café: ESG Interview Series

February 23, 2023-9:30am (PT)

## Published Research Highlights from 4Q22

Webinar: Rebalancing During this Unusual Market Environment


Considering Currency: A Guide for Institutional Investors


2022 Nuclear
Decommissioning Funding Study


2022 ESG Survey


## Recent Blog Posts

Unlocking the
Secrets of the
'Data Vault'
Bo Abesamis

Index Selection Within TDF<br>Benchmarks<br>Can Make a Big Difference<br>Mark Andersen

## Emerging

Managers in
Private Equity: A Guide for Success

David Smith

## Additional Reading

Alternatives Focus quarterly newsletter
Active vs. Passive quarterly charts
Capital Markets Review quarterly newsletter
Monthly Updates to the Periodic Table
Market Pulse Flipbook quarterly markets update
Real Estate Indicators market outlook

## Important Disclosures

Information contained in this document may include confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary information of Callan and the client. It is incumbent upon the user to maintain such information in strict confidence. Neither this document nor any specific information contained herein is to be used other than by the intended recipient for its intended purpose.

The content of this document is particular to the client and should not be relied upon by any other individual or entity. There can be no assurance that the performance of any account or investment will be comparable to the performance information presented in this document.

Certain information herein has been compiled by Callan from a variety of sources believed to be reliable but for which Callan has not necessarily verified for accuracy or completeness. Information contained herein may not be current. Callan has no obligation to bring current the information contained herein.

This content of this document may consist of statements of opinion, which are made as of the date they are expressed and are not statements of fact. The opinions expressed herein may change based upon changes in economic, market, financial and political conditions and other factors. Callan has no obligation to bring current the opinions expressed herein.

The statements made herein may include forward-looking statement regarding future results. The forward-looking statements herein: (i) are best estimations consistent with the information available as of the date hereof and (ii) involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties. Actual results may vary, perhaps materially, from the future results projected in this document. Undue reliance should not be placed on forward-looking statements.

Callan disclaims any responsibility for reviewing the risks of individual securities or the compliance/non-compliance of individual security holdings with a client's investment policy guidelines.

This document should not be construed as legal or tax advice on any matter. You should consult with legal and tax advisers before applying any of this information to your particular situation.
Reference to, or inclusion in this document of, any product, service or entity should not necessarily be construed as recommendation, approval, or endorsement or such product, service or entity by Callan.
This document is provided in connection with Callan's consulting services and should not be viewed as an advertisement of Callan, or of the strategies or products discussed or referenced herein.
The issues considered and risks highlighted herein are not comprehensive and other risks may exist that the user of this document may deem material regarding the enclosed information.

Any decision you make on the basis of this document is sole responsibility of the client, as the intended recipient, and it is incumbent upon you to make an independent determination of the suitability and consequences of such a decision.

Callan undertakes no obligation to update the information contained herein except as specifically requested by the client.
Past performance is no guarantee of future results.
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## Sacramento Regional Transit District

Executive Summary for Period Ending December 31, 2022

## Asset Allocation



## Recent Developments

N/A

## Organizational Issues

N/A

## Manager Performance

| Manager | Peer Group Ranking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 7 Years |
| Boston Partners | 34 | 21 | 25 |
| Atlanta Capital | 22 | 44 | 18 |
| Pyrford | 2 | 49 | $[43]$ |
| AQR | 8 | 20 | $[47]$ |
| DFA | 9 | 1 | 29 |
| MetWest | 62 | 46 | 77 |
| Clarion | 11 | $[28]$ | $[31]$ |
| Morgan Stanley | 75 | $[77]$ | $[81]$ |

Brackets indicate performance linked with manager's composite

## Watch List

There are no managers currently on watch.
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## U.S. EQUITY

## Markets fall in final month of quarter after gains

- The S\&P 500 Index posted positive returns in both October and November but fell in December. The index was up 7.6\% during 4Q22 but ended 2022 down 18.1\%.
- Energy was the best-performing sector during the quarter and 2022, returning $23 \%$ and $66 \%$ respectively. Consumer Discretionary and Communication Services were the only two sectors that posted negative returns in 4Q.
- Value stocks outperformed growth across the market capitalization spectrum, and for both 4Q and the full year.
- Large cap stocks (Russell 1000) outperformed small caps (Russell 2000) last quarter and for the year.
- Continued macroeconomic concerns (e.g., inflation, potential recession, geopolitical issues) led to higher volatility and a down-year for U.S. equities.


## Market valuations have reset with the broad-based sell-off

- The drop for equities in 2022 was broad-based, and almost every sector experienced negative returns. Higher interest rates impacted the growth-oriented sectors the most (e.g., Technology, Communication Services).
- Mega-cap technology stocks have underperformed, ending an extended period of market leadership.
- Large cap stocks are now trading around their average P/E ratio, but they are not yet "cheap."
- Despite the recent outperformance of value stocks, value still looks attractive relative to growth heading into 2023.


## Small cap valuations are attractive relative to large cap

- During 4Q22, the Russell 2000 was trading at a 30\% discount to its historical P/E average.
- Relative to large caps, the Russell 2000's forward 12 months P/E is trading at the lowest level versus large-cap stocks since the Dot-Com Bubble.
- Relative to large and mid caps, small caps have looked significantly cheaper on various valuation metrics recently.
U.S. Equity: Quarterly Returns

U.S. Equity: One-Year Returns


Sources: FTSE Russell, S\&P Dow Jones Indices

S\&P Sector Returns, Quarter Ended 12/31/22


Source: S\&P Dow Jones Indices
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## GLOBAL EQUITY

## Ending on a high note

4Q22 was a bright spot during a tough calendar year in global and global ex-U.S. equity markets.

## Encouraging signs

- Lower-than-expected U.S. inflation data buoyed market optimism at the end of the year.
- The Fed slowed its pace of tightening, with further slowing expected in 2023.
- China reversed its zero-COVID policies, prompting exuberance from investors.


## Value outpaces growth

- Value outpaced growth in developed and emerging markets.
- Economically sensitive sectors (e.g., Financials and Industrials) benefited from the anticipation of improved growth; Energy was the largest outperformer.


## U.S. dollar vs. other currencies

- After reaching a multi-decade high, the dollar fell against all major currencies with signs of inflation easing.
- Despite the 7.7\% decline in 4Q22, the dollar still gained nearly $8 \%$ over the full year.
- Global central banks' rate hikes and the U.S. Federal Reserve's slowing pace of tightening could prolong U.S. dollar decline.
- Continued weakening of the U.S. dollar would be a tailwind for non-U.S. equities.


## What about style?

- A sustained shift to value after the recent prolonged growth cycle would likely favor non-U.S. equities over U.S. equities given higher representation of traditional value sectors in non-U.S. equity universes.


## China's reopening spurs hopes for emerging markets

- In addition to pivoting from its zero-COVID policy, Chinese regulators shifted to supportive policies to stabilize the property sector and tech/platform industry.


## Reopening is expected to jump-start Chinese economy

- China's real GDP growth is estimated to reach 5.5\% in 2023 and nearly $7 \%$ on a 4Q/4Q basis.
- Real consumption is projected to grow by $8.5 \%$ in 2023 as Chinese households have amassed $\$ 2.6$ trillion in savings.


## Recovery in China will spill over to other EM regions

- Growth in Chinese consumption is expected to have positive impact on tourism in Southeast Asia; goods exports in Europe, the Middle East, and Africa; and commodities in Latin America.


## Global Equity: Quarterly Returns



Global Equity: One-Year Returns


Source: MSCI

## U.S. FIXED INCOME

## Bonds were up in 4Q but 2022 results remain negative

- Gain for the Bloomberg US Aggregate Bond Index driven by coupon income and spread tightening; interest rates rose modestly


## Rates were volatile intra-quarter

- U.S. Treasury 10-year yield: high 4.22\% on 11/7; low 3.42\% on 12/7
- Curve remained inverted at quarter-end: 10-year yield $3.88 \%$ and 2 -year yield $4.41 \%$; most since 1981

Fed raised rates bringing target to 4.25\%-4.50\%

- Median expectation from Fed is 5.1\% for year-end 2023
- Inflation showed signs of moderating but job market remained tight with solid wage growth


## Corporates and mortgages outperformed Treasuries in 4Q

- 4Q: Corporates +289 bps excess return; residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) +110 bps
- 2022: Corporates -125 bps excess return; RMBS -223 bps
- RMBS had worst month ever (September: -191 bps) and best month ever (November: +135 bps) in excess returns


## Valuations fair

- While absolute yields are higher, spreads have not widened materially, and most are close to historical averages.
- An economic slowdown could impact credit spreads.
- Higher yields have boosted forward-looking returns across sectors.


## Economic slowdown clouds the corporate credit picture

- Despite prospects for an economic slowdown in 2023, fundamental credit metrics for many issuers are strong.
- Default rates are expected to tick up, albeit not to the same extent as in previous recessions.
- Investors may be biased toward higher-quality investment grade issuers as they weigh the threat of a looming recession and potential implications for increased volatility in lower-quality corporate credit markets.


## TIPS: Beware of duration

- Despite a rise in inflation, TIPS saw marked declines in 2022 amid rising interest rates.
- TIPS, like nominal Treasuries, are sensitive to changes in interest rates, and as a result, shorter-duration TIPS fared better than full spectrum TIPS in 2022.
- Shorter-term TIPS exhibit a higher correlation to realized inflation but also provide a similar risk-adjusted return as that of full spectrum TIPS.


## U.S. Fixed Income: Quarterly Returns



## U.S. Fixed Income: One-Year Returns



## U.S. Treasury Yield Curves



Sources: Bloomberg, Credit Suisse

## MUNICIPAL BONDS

## Gains in 4Q but most 2022 results remain negative

- Municipal Bond Index calendar year return worst since 1981
- Higher quality outperformed in 4Q (AAA: +4.3\%; AA: +4.1\%; A: +4.0\%; BBB: +3.9\%; High Yield: +3.5\%) and in 2022
- Munis outperformed most other fixed income sectors in 4Q and in 2022


## Valuations relative to U.S. Treasuries on the rich side

- 10-year AAA Muni/10-year U.S. Treasury yield ratio 68\%; below 10-year average of 88\%
- After-tax yield of Muni Bond Index = 6.0\% (Source: Eaton Vance)


## Supply/demand

- Mutual fund outflows hit a record \$122 billion in 2022, with tax loss harvesting being a key driver
- ETFs saw inflows as some investors reinvested in them.
- Supply also down; $\$ 71$ billion in 4Q and the lowest in 13 years; 2022 issuance off roughly $20 \%$ from 2021


## Credit quality remained stable

- State and local tax collections robust and reserves elevated; state revenues up $16 \%$ on average vs. 2021


## GLOBAL FIXED INCOME

4Q returns driven largely by U.S. dollar weakness

- U.S. dollar down $9 \%$ vs. euro, $10 \%$ vs. yen, $8 \%$ vs. pound
- For the year, dollar up 6\% vs. euro, $13 \%$ vs. yen, and $11 \%$ vs. pound
- Rates up across most of Europe and in Japan
- Rates fell in the U.K.


## Emerging market debt also did well

- Returns varied across countries but most were positive

Global Fixed Income: Quarterly Returns


Global Fixed Income: One-Year Returns


Change in 10-Year Global Government Bond Yields


Sources: Bloomberg, JP Morgan

## Actual vs Target Asset Allocation <br> As of December 31, 2022

The top left chart shows the Fund's asset allocation as of December 31, 2022. The top right chart shows the Fund's target asset allocation as outlined in the investment policy statement. The bottom chart ranks the fund's asset allocation and the target allocation versus the Callan Public Fund Spons- Mid (100M-1B).


Asset Class Weights vs Callan Public Fund Spons- Mid (100M-1B)


* Current Quarter Target = 32.0\% S\&P 500 Index, 25.0\% Blmbg Aggregate, 14.0\% MSCI EAFE, 10.0\% NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr, $8.0 \%$ Russell 2000 Index $6.0 \% \mathrm{MSCI}$ EM and $5.0 \% \mathrm{MSCI}$ EAFE Small.


## Quarterly Total Fund Relative Attribution - December 31, 2022

The following analysis approaches Total Fund Attribution from the perspective of relative return. Relative return attribution separates and quantifies the sources of total fund excess return relative to its target. This excess return is separated into two relative attribution effects: Asset Allocation Effect and Manager Selection Effect. The Asset Allocation Effect represents the excess return due to the actual total fund asset allocation differing from the target asset allocation. Manager Selection Effect represents the total fund impact of the individual managers excess returns relative to their benchmarks.


Relative Attribution Effects for Quarter ended December 31, 2022

| Asset Class | Effective Actual Weight | Effective Target Weight | Actual Return | Target Return | Manager Effect | Asset Allocation | Total <br> Relative Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Large Cap Equity | 33\% | 32\% | 10.19\% | 7.56\% | 0.86\% | (0.06\%) | 0.79\% |
| Small Cap Equity | 9\% | 8\% | 10.06\% | 6.23\% | 0.32\% | (0.03\%) | 0.29\% |
| International Large Cap | 13\% | 14\% | 16.16\% | 17.34\% | (0.12\%) | (0.12\%) | (0.24\%) |
| International Small Cap | 5\% | 5\% | 20.04\% | 15.79\% | 0.19\% | (0.02\%) | 0.18\% |
| Emerging Equity | 6\% | 6\% | 10.63\% | 9.70\% | 0.04\% | (0.04\%) | 0.00\% |
| Domestic Fixed Income | 24\% | 25\% | 2.11\% | 1.87\% | 0.06\% | 0.01\% | 0.07\% |
| Real Estate | 11\% | 10\% | (4.44\%) | (4.97\%) | 0.07\% | (0.21\%) | (0.14\%) |
| Total |  |  | 7.70\% | 6.74\% | 1.43\% | (0.47\%) | 0.96\% |
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## Cumulative Total Fund Relative Attribution - December 31, 2022

The charts below accumulate the Total Fund Attribution Analysis (shown earlier) over multiple periods to examine the cumulative sources of excess total fund performance relative to target. These cumulative results quantify the longer-term sources of total fund excess return relative to target by asset class. These relative attribution effects separate the cumulative sources of total fund excess return into Asset Allocation Effect and Manager Selection Effect.

## One Year Relative Attribution Effects



## Cumulative Relative Attribution Effects



One Year Relative Attribution Effects

| Asset Class | Effective Actual Weight | Effective Target Weight | Actual Return | Target Return | Manager Effect | Asset Allocation | Total Relative Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Large Cap Equity | 33\% | 32\% | (10.58\%) | (18.11\%) | 2.51\% | (0.15\%) | 2.36\% |
| Small Cap Equity | 8\% | 8\% | (11.15\%) | (20.44\%) | 0.77\% | (0.06\%) | 0.71\% |
| International Large Cap | 13\% | 14\% | (9.11\%) | 14.45\%) | 0.73\% | (0.05\% | 0.67\% |
| International Small Cap | 5\% | 5\% | (10.89\%) | (21.39\%) | 0.55\% | (0.03\% | 0.52\% |
| Emerging Equity | 6\% | 6\% | (16.06\%) | (20.09\%) | 0.25\% | (0.04\% | 0.20\% |
| Domestic Fixed Income | 24\% | 25\% | (13.48\%) | (13.01\%) | (0.12\%) | 0.09\% | (0.21\%) |
| Real Estate | 10\% | 10\% | 7.97\% | 7.47\% | 0.08\% | (0.23\%) | (0.15\%) |
| Total |  |  | (9.84\%) | 4.03\%) | 4.84\% | (0.65\%) | 4.18\% |

## Cumulative Total Fund Relative Attribution - December 31, 2022

The charts below accumulate the Total Fund Attribution Analysis (shown earlier) over multiple periods to examine the cumulative sources of excess total fund performance relative to target. These cumulative results quantify the longer-term sources of total fund excess return relative to target by asset class. These relative attribution effects separate the cumulative sources of total fund excess return into Asset Allocation Effect and Manager Selection Effect.

Three Year Annualized Relative Attribution Effects


## Cumulative Relative Attribution Effects



## Three Year Annualized Relative Attribution Effects

| Asset Class | Effective Actual Weight | Effective Target Weight | Actual Return | Target Return | Manager Effect | Asset Allocation | Total Relative Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Large Cap Equity | 34\% | 32\% | 8.93\% | 7.66\% | 0.43\% | 0.06\% | 0.49\% |
| Small Cap Equity | 9\% | 8\% | 6.28\% | 3.10\% | 0.20\% | (0.01\%) | 0.19\% |
| International Large Cap | 14\% | 14\% | 1.66\% | 0.87\% | 0.10\% | 0.00\% | 0.10\% |
| International Small Cap | 5\% | 5\% | 2.79\% | (0.93\%) | 0.21\% | 0.01\% | 0.21\% |
| Emerging Equity | 6\% | 6\% | 0.67\% | (2.69\%) | 0.26\% | (0.02\%) | 0.24\% |
| Domestic Fixed Income | 27\% | 30\% | (1.83\%) | (2.71\%) | 0.28\% | 0.32\% | 0.60\% |
| Real Estate | 5\% | 5\% | ( | , | 0.08\% | (0.12\%) | (0.04\%) |
| Total |  |  | 5.14\% | 3.35\% | 1.55\% | 0.24\% | 1.79\% |

## Total Fund

## Period Ended December 31, 2022

## Investment Philosophy

* Current Quarter Target = 30.0\% Blmbg Aggregate, 32.0\% S\&P 500 Index, 5.0\% NFI-ODCE Index, 14.0\% MSCI EAFE, 8.0\% Russell 2000 Index, $6.0 \%$ MSCI EM and 5.0\% MSCI EAFE Small Cap.


## Quarterly Summary and Highlights

- Total Fund's portfolio posted a $7.70 \%$ return for the quarter placing it in the 10 percentile of the Callan Public Fund Spons- Mid (100M-1B) group for the quarter and in the 8 percentile for the last year.
- Total Fund's portfolio outperformed the Target by $0.96 \%$ for the quarter and outperformed the Target for the year by 4.18\%.


## Performance vs Callan Public Fund Spons- Mid (100M-1B) (Gross)



Callan Public Fund Spons- Mid (100M-1B) (Gross) Annualized Seven Year Risk vs Return


## Actual vs Target Historical Asset Allocation

The Historical asset allocation for a fund is by far the largest factor explaining its performance. The charts below show the fund's historical actual asset allocation, and the fund's historical target asset allocation.

## Actual Historical Asset Allocation



## Target Historical Asset Allocation



* Current Quarter Target = 32.0\% S\&P 500 Index, 25.0\% Blmbg Aggregate, 14.0\% MSCI EAFE, 10.0\% NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr, $8.0 \%$ Russell 2000 Index, $6.0 \% \mathrm{MSCI}$ EM and $5.0 \% \mathrm{MSCI}$ EAFE Small.


## Investment Manager Asset Allocation

The table below contrasts the distribution of assets across the Fund's investment managers as of December 31, 2022, with the distribution as of September 30, 2022. The change in asset distribution is broken down into the dollar change due to Net New Investment and the dollar change due to Investment Return.

Asset Distribution Across Investment Managers

|  | December 31, 2022 |  | Net New Inv. | Inv. Return | September 30, 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Market Value | Weight |  |  | Market Value | Weight |
| Domestic Equity | \$144,196,067 | 41.05\% | \$(985,813) | \$13,283,274 | \$131,898,606 | 40.30\% |
| Large Cap | \$114,290,762 | 32.53\% | \$(805,855) | \$10,579,080 | \$104,517,536 | 31.93\% |
| Boston Partners | 61,097,921 | 17.39\% | $(805,855)$ | 6,838,439 | 55,065,337 | 16.82\% |
| SSgA S\&P 500 | 53,192,841 | 15.14\% | 0 | 3,740,642 | 49,452,199 | 15.11\% |
| Small Cap | \$29,905,305 | 8.51\% | \$(179,958) | \$2,704,193 | \$27,381,070 | 8.37\% |
| Atlanta Capital | 29,905,305 | 8.51\% | $(179,958)$ | 2,704,193 | 27,381,070 | 8.37\% |
| International Equity | \$87,690,891 | 24.96\% | \$0 | \$11,805,825 | \$75,885,066 | 23.19\% |
| International Large Cap | \$48,905,741 | 13.92\% | \$0 | \$6,800,779 | \$42,104,962 | 12.86\% |
| SSgA EAFE | 15,937,524 | 4.54\% | 0 | 2,356,574 | 13,580,950 | 4.15\% |
| Pyrford | 32,968,217 | 9.38\% | 0 | 4,444,205 | 28,524,012 | 8.71\% |
| International Small Cap | \$18,296,838 | 5.21\% | \$0 | \$3,054,047 | \$15,242,790 | 4.66\% |
| AQR | 18,296,838 | 5.21\% | 0 | 3,054,047 | 15,242,790 | 4.66\% |
| Emerging Equity | \$20,488,312 | 5.83\% | \$0 | \$1,950,999 | \$18,537,313 | 5.66\% |
| DFA Emerging Markets | 20,488,312 | 5.83\% | 0 | 1,950,999 | 18,537,313 | 5.66\% |
| Fixed Income | \$82,027,710 | 23.35\% | \$0 | \$1,698,747 | \$80,328,963 | 24.54\% |
| Metropolitan West | 82,027,710 | 23.35\% | 0 | 1,698,747 | 80,328,963 | 24.54\% |
| Real Estate | \$37,393,444 | 10.64\% | \$0 | \$(1,794,763) | \$39,188,208 | 11.97\% |
| Clarion Lion Fund | 19,436,528 | 5.53\% | 0 | $(1,106,458)$ | 20,542,986 | 6.28\% |
| Morgan Stanley | 17,956,916 | 5.11\% | 0 | $(688,305)$ | 18,645,222 | 5.70\% |
| Total Fund | \$351,308,111 | 100.0\% | \$(985,813) | \$24,993,082 | \$327,300,842 | 100.0\% |

## Sacramento Regional Transit District <br> Asset Growth

| Ending December 31, 2022 (\$ Thousands) | Ending Market Value | = | Beginning Market Value | + | Net New Investment | + | Investment Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/4 Year Ended 12/2022 | 351,308.1 |  | 327,300.8 |  | (985.8) |  | 24,993.1 |
| 1/4 Year Ended 9/2022 | 327,300.8 |  | 347,657.9 |  | (997.6) |  | $(19,359.4)$ |
| 1/4 Year Ended 6/2022 | 347,657.9 |  | 382,375.5 |  | (994.6) |  | $(33,723.0)$ |
| 1/4 Year Ended 3/2022 | 382,375.5 |  | 393,985.6 |  | (384.8) |  | $(11,225.3)$ |
| 1/4 Year Ended 12/2021 | 393,985.6 |  | 375,389.0 |  | $(1,982.1)$ |  | 20,578.8 |
| 1/4 Year Ended 9/2021 | 375,389.0 |  | 379,228.3 |  | $(1,967.9)$ |  | $(1,871.4)$ |
| 1/4 Year Ended 6/2021 | 379,228.3 |  | 362,366.9 |  | (522.5) |  | 17,384.0 |
| 1/4 Year Ended 3/2021 | 362,366.9 |  | 346,973.1 |  | $(2,096.5)$ |  | 17,490.2 |
| 1/4 Year Ended 12/2020 | 346,973.1 |  | 311,751.8 |  | (339.6) |  | 35,560.9 |
| 1/4 Year Ended 9/2020 | 311,751.8 |  | 299,942.5 |  | $(1,344.8)$ |  | 13,154.1 |
| 1/4 Year Ended 6/2020 | 299,942.5 |  | 268,251.1 |  | $(1,217.2)$ |  | 32,908.6 |
| 1/4 Year Ended 3/2020 | 268,251.1 |  | 315,424.7 |  | (567.1) |  | $(46,606.5)$ |
| 1/4 Year Ended 12/2019 | 315,424.7 |  | 301,283.6 |  | $(1,479.0)$ |  | 15,620.2 |
| 1/4 Year Ended 9/2019 | 301,283.6 |  | 298,139.2 |  | $(1,322.2)$ |  | 4,466.6 |
| 1/4 Year Ended 6/2019 | 298,139.2 |  | 289,020.0 |  | $(1,111.4)$ |  | 10,230.6 |
| 1/4 Year Ended 3/2019 | 289,020.0 |  | 269,114.0 |  | $(1,021.9)$ |  | 20,927.9 |
| 1/4 Year Ended 12/2018 | 269,114.0 |  | 292,722.5 |  | $(1,066.5)$ |  | $(22,541.9)$ |
| 1/4 Year Ended 9/2018 | 292,722.5 |  | 284,083.7 |  | $(1,081.0)$ |  | 9,719.8 |
| 1/4 Year Ended 6/2018 | 284,083.7 |  | 284,995.0 |  | $(1,267.6)$ |  | 356.3 |
| 1/4 Year Ended 3/2018 | 284,995.0 |  | 288,314.8 |  | $(1,183.4)$ |  | $(2,136.5)$ |

## Investment Manager Returns

The table below details the rates of return for the Fund's investment managers over various time periods ended December 31, 2022. Negative returns are shown in red, positive returns in black. Returns for one year or greater are annualized. The first set of returns for each asset class represents the composite returns for all the fund's accounts for that asset class.

| Returns for Periods Ended December 31, 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Last Quarter | Last <br> Year | Last 3 <br> Years | $\begin{gathered} \text { Last } \\ 5 \\ \text { Years } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Last } \\ 7 \\ \text { Years } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Domestic Equity | 10.14\% | (10.71\%) | 8.39\% | 9.17\% | 11.39\% |
| Domestic Equity Benchmark** | 7.31\% | (18.54\%) | 6.86\% | 8.44\% | 10.84\% |
| Large Cap Equity | 10.16\% | (10.60\%) | 8.92\% | 9.11\% | 11.36\% |
| Boston Partners | 12.48\% | (3.17\%) | 9.54\% | 8.36\% | 10.89\% |
| Russell 1000 Value Index | 12.42\% | (7.54\%) | 5.96\% | 6.67\% | 9.12\% |
| SSgA S\&P 500 | 7.58\% | (18.10\%) | 7.65\% | 9.42\% | 11.49\% |
| S\&P 500 Index | 7.56\% | (18.11\%) | 7.66\% | 9.42\% | 11.48\% |
| Small Cap Equity | 10.06\% | (11.15\%) | 6.28\% | 9.25\% | 11.43\% |
| Atlanta Capital | 10.06\% | (11.15\%) | 6.28\% | 9.25\% | 11.43\% |
| Russell 2000 Index | 6.23\% | (20.44\%) | 3.10\% | 4.13\% | 7.90\% |
| International Equity | 15.59\% | (11.20\%) | 1.76\% | 1.85\% | 5.36\% |
| International Benchmark*** | 15.24\% | (17.10\%) | (0.19\%) | 0.63\% | 4.71\% |
| International Large Cap | 16.16\% | (9.11\%) | 1.66\% | 2.67\% | 5.11\% |
| SSgA EAFE | 17.38\% | (14.08\%) | 1.23\% | 1.91\% | 4.90\% |
| Pyrford | 15.58\% | (6.49\%) | 1.75\% | 2.93\% | - |
| MSCI EAFE Index | 17.34\% | (14.45\%) | 0.87\% | 1.54\% | 4.53\% |
| International Small Cap | 20.04\% | (10.89\%) | 2.79\% | 1.14\% | - |
| AQR | 20.04\% | (10.89\%) | 2.79\% | 1.14\% | - |
| MSCI EAFE Small Cap Index | 15.79\% | (21.39\%) | (0.93\%) | (0.05\%) | 4.45\% |
| Emerging Markets Equity | 10.63\% | (16.06\%) | 0.67\% | 0.28\% | 6.69\% |
| DFA Emerging Markets | 10.63\% | (16.06\%) | 0.67\% | 0.28\% | 6.69\% |
| MSCI Emerging Markets Index | 9.70\% | (20.09\%) | (2.69\%) | (1.39\%) | 5.17\% |
| Domestic Fixed Income | 2.11\% | (13.48\%) | (1.83\%) | 0.84\% | 1.56\% |
| Met West | 2.11\% | (13.48\%) | (1.83\%) | 0.84\% | 1.56\% |
| Bloomberg Aggregate Index | 1.87\% | (13.01\%) | (2.71\%) | 0.02\% | 0.89\% |
| Real Estate | (4.44\%) | 7.97\% | - | - | - |
| Clarion Lion Fund | (5.12\%) | 9.71\% | - | - | - |
| Morgan Stanley | (3.69\%) | 6.13\% | - | - | - |
| NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr | (4.97\%) | 7.47\% | 9.93\% | 8.71\% | 8.56\% |
| Total Plan | 7.70\% | (9.85\%) | 5.14\% | 5.65\% | 7.37\% |
| Target* | 6.74\% | (14.03\%) | 3.35\% | 4.62\% | 6.63\% |
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## Investment Manager Returns

The table below details the rates of return for the Fund's investment managers over various time periods ended December 31, 2022. Negative returns are shown in red, positive returns in black. Returns for one year or greater are annualized. The first set of returns for each asset class represents the composite returns for all the fund's accounts for that asset class.

| Returns for Periods Ended December 31, 2022 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Last } \\ 10 \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Last } \\ 15 \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Last } \\ 20 \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Last } \\ & 28-3 / 4 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ |
| Domestic Equity | 12.41\% | 9.40\% | 10.49\% | - |
| Domestic Equity Benchmark** | 11.93\% | 8.56\% | 9.81\% | 9.85\% |
| Russell 1000 Value Index | 10.29\% | 6.96\% | 8.83\% | 9.42\% |
| S\&P 500 Index | 12.56\% | 8.81\% | 9.80\% | 9.87\% |
| Russell 2000 Index | 9.01\% | 7.16\% | 9.36\% | 8.46\% |
| International Equity | 4.49\% | 2.04\% | 7.21\% | - |
| MSCI EAFE Index | 4.67\% | 1.81\% | 6.43\% | 4.71\% |
| Domestic Fixed Income | 1.66\% | 3.96\% | 4.53\% | - |
| Met West | 1.66\% | 3.96\% | 4.53\% | - |
| Bloomberg Aggregate Index | 1.06\% | 2.66\% | 3.10\% | 4.52\% |
| Total Plan | 7.31\% | 6.51\% | 7.80\% | 8.50\% |
| Target* | 6.68\% | 5.49\% | 6.93\% | 7.20\% |

* Current Quarter Target $=32.0 \%$ S\&P 500 Index, $25.0 \%$ Blmbg Aggregate, $14.0 \%$ MSCI EAFE, $10.0 \%$ NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr, 8.0\% Russell 2000 Index, $6.0 \%$ MSCI EM and 5.0\% MSCI EAFE Small.
** Domestic Equity Benchmark = 80.95\% S\&P500 + 19.05\% Russell 2000 until 6/30/2010, 80.95\% S\&P500 + 19.05\% Russell 2500 until 6/30/2013, 81.08\% S\&P500 + 18.92\% Russell 2000 until 4/30/2015, and 80\% S\&P500 + 20\% Russell 2000 thereafter.


## Investment Manager Returns

The table below details the rates of return for the Fund's investment managers over various time periods. Negative returns are shown in red, positive returns in black. Returns for one year or greater are annualized. The first set of returns for each asset class represents the composite returns for all the fund's accounts for that asset class.

|  | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 | 2019 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic Equity | (10.71\%) | 28.28\% | 11.16\% | 27.71\% | (4.64\%) |
| Domestic Equity Benchmark** | (18.54\%) | 25.93\% | 18.94\% | 30.32\% | (5.69\%) |
| Large Cap Equity | (10.60\%) | 30.18\% | 11.03\% | 27.77\% | (6.33\%) |
| Boston Partners | (3.17\%) | 31.78\% | 2.99\% | 23.91\% | (8.27\%) |
| Russell 1000 Value Index | (7.54\%) | 25.16\% | 2.80\% | 26.54\% | (8.27\%) |
| SSgA S\&P 500 | (18.10\%) | 28.70\% | 18.36\% | 31.50\% | (4.39\%) |
| S\&P 500 Index | (18.11\%) | 28.71\% | 18.40\% | 31.49\% | (4.38\%) |
| Small Cap Equity | (11.15\%) | 21.00\% | 11.67\% | 27.38\% | 1.78\% |
| Atlanta Capital | (11.15\%) | 21.00\% | 11.67\% | 27.38\% | 1.78\% |
| Russell 2000 Index | (20.44\%) | 14.82\% | 19.96\% | 25.52\% | (11.01\%) |
| International Equity | (11.20\%) | 9.37\% | 8.48\% | 20.83\% | (13.93\%) |
| International Benchmark*** | (17.10\%) | 7.67\% | 11.39\% | 21.78\% | (14.76\%) |
| International Large Cap | (9.11\%) | 9.34\% | 5.71\% | 22.34\% | (11.25\%) |
| SSgA EAFE | (14.08\%) | 11.52\% | 8.27\% | 22.49\% | (13.49\%) |
| Pyrford | (6.49\%) | 8.22\% | 4.09\% | 22.30\% | (10.31\%) |
| MSCI EAFE Index | (14.45\%) | 11.26\% | 7.82\% | 22.01\% | (13.79\%) |
| International Small Cap | (10.89\%) | 13.52\% | 7.35\% | 21.73\% | (19.94\%) |
| AQR | (10.89\%) | 13.52\% | 7.35\% | 21.73\% | (19.94\%) |
| MSCI EAFE Small Cap Index | (21.39\%) | 10.10\% | 12.34\% | 24.96\% | (17.89\%) |
| Emerging Markets Equity | (16.06\%) | 6.25\% | 14.40\% | 16.64\% | (14.80\%) |
| DFA Emerging Markets | (16.06\%) | 6.25\% | 14.40\% | 16.64\% | (14.80\%) |
| MSCI Emerging Markets Index | (20.09\%) | (2.54\%) | 18.31\% | 18.44\% | (14.57\%) |
| Domestic Fixed Income | (13.48\%) | (0.46\%) | 9.85\% | 9.41\% | 0.75\% |
| Met West | (13.48\%) | (0.46\%) | 9.85\% | 9.41\% | 0.75\% |
| Bloomberg Aggregate Index | (13.01\%) | (1.54\%) | 7.51\% | 8.72\% | 0.01\% |
| Real Estate | 7.97\% | - | - | - | - |
| Clarion Lion Fund | 9.71\% | - | - | - | - |
| Morgan Stanley | 6.13\% | - | - | - | - |
| NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr | 7.47\% | 22.17\% | 1.19\% | 5.34\% | 8.50\% |
| Total Plan Target* | $\begin{array}{r} \text { (9.85\%) } \\ (14.03 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.71 \% \\ & 12.81 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11.42\% } \\ & 13.82 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.25 \% \\ & 20.58 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (5.05 \%) \\ & (5.82 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
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## Investment Manager Returns

The table below details the rates of return for the Sponsor's investment managersover various time periods. Negative returns are shown in red, positive returns in black.Returns for one year or greater are annualized. The first set of returns for each asset classrepresents the composite returns for all the fund's accounts for that asset class.

|  | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic Equity | (10.71\%) | 14.58\% | 0.06\% | 10.85\% | 36.44\% |
| Domestic Equity Benchmark** | (18.54\%) | 13.85\% | 0.26\% | 12.07\% | 33.61\% |
| Boston Partners | (3.17\%) | 14.71\% | (3.75\%) | 11.87\% | 37.52\% |
| Russell 1000 Value Index | (7.54\%) | 17.34\% | (3.83\%) | 13.45\% | 32.53\% |
| S\&P 500 Index | (18.11\%) | 11.96\% | 1.38\% | 13.69\% | 32.39\% |
| Russell 2000 Index | (20.44\%) | 21.31\% | (4.41\%) | 4.89\% | 38.82\% |
| International Equity | (11.20\%) | 2.55\% | (4.17\%) | (3.72\%) | 16.66\% |
| MSCI EAFE Index | (14.45\%) | 1.00\% | (0.81\%) | (4.90\%) | 22.78\% |
| Domestic Fixed Income | (13.48\%) | 2.87\% | 0.51\% | 6.37\% | (1.03\%) |
| Met West | (13.48\%) | 2.87\% | 0.51\% | 6.37\% | (1.03\%) |
| Bloomberg Aggregate Index | (13.01\%) | 2.65\% | 0.55\% | 5.97\% | (2.02\%) |
| Total Plan | (9.85\%) | 7.65\% | (0.97\%) | 5.61\% | 17.71\% |
| Target* | (14.03\%) | 7.40\% | (0.71\%) | 5.82\% | 15.99\% |

* Current Quarter Target = 32.0\% S\&P 500 Index, $25.0 \%$ Blmbg Aggregate, 14.0\% MSCI EAFE, 10.0\% NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr, 8.0\% Russell 2000 Index, $6.0 \%$ MSCI EM and 5.0\% MSCI EAFE Small.
Returns are for annualized calendar years.
** Domestic Equity Benchmark = 80.95\% S\&P500 + 19.05\% Russell 2000 until 6/30/2010, 80.95\% S\&P500 + 19.05\% Russell 2500 until 6/30/2013, 81.08\% S\&P500 + 18.92\% Russell 2000 until 4/30/2015, and 80\% S\&P500 + 20\% Russell 2000 thereafter.


## Investment Manager Returns

The table below details the rates of return for the Fund's investment managers over various time periods ended December 31, 2022. Negative returns are shown in red, positive returns in black. Returns for one year or greater are annualized. The first set of returns for each asset class represents the composite returns for all the fund's accounts for that asset class.

|  | Returns for Periods Ended December 31, 2022 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Last Quarter | Last Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Last } \\ 3 \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | Last 5 <br> Years | $\begin{gathered} \text { Last } \\ 7 \\ \text { Years } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Net of Fee Returns |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic Equity <br> Domestic Equity Benchmark** | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10.03\% } \\ 7.31 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (11.07\%) } \\ & (18.54 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.99 \% \\ & 6.86 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.78 \% \\ & 8.44 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10.99\% } \\ & \text { 10.84\% } \end{aligned}$ |
| Large Cap Equity <br> Boston Partners Russell 1000 Value Index SSgA S\&P 500 S\&P 500 Index | $\begin{array}{r} 10.08 \% \\ 12.33 \% \\ 12.42 \% \\ 7.56 \% \\ 7.56 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { (10.87\%) } \\ (3.68 \%) \\ (7.54 \%) \\ (18.14 \%) \\ (18.11 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.63 \% \\ & 9.01 \% \\ & 5.96 \% \\ & 7.60 \% \\ & 7.66 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.83 \% \\ & 7.82 \% \\ & 6.67 \% \\ & 9.37 \% \\ & 9.42 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.07 \% \\ 10.34 \% \\ 9.12 \% \\ 11.44 \% \\ 11.48 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Small Cap Equity Atlanta Capital Russell 2000 Index | $\begin{aligned} & 9.84 \% \\ & 9.84 \% \\ & 6.23 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (11.86\%) } \\ & (11.86 \%) \\ & (20.44 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.50 \% \\ & 5.50 \% \\ & 3.10 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.44 \% \\ & 8.44 \% \\ & 4.13 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.59 \% \\ 10.59 \% \\ 7.90 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| International Equity International Equity Benchmark*** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15.51\% } \\ & 15.24 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (11.63\%) } \\ & (17.10 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.20 \% \\ (0.19 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.27 \% \\ & 0.63 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.78 \% \\ & 4.71 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| International Large Cap SSgA EAFE Pyrford MSCI EAFE Index | $\begin{aligned} & 16.15 \% \\ & 17.35 \% \\ & 15.58 \% \\ & 17.34 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (9.45 \%) \\ (14.17 \%) \\ (6.97 \%) \\ (14.45 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.20 \% \\ & 1.13 \% \\ & 1.11 \% \\ & 0.87 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2.19\% } \\ & \text { 1.81\% } \\ & 2.27 \% \\ & 1.54 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.61 \% \\ & 4.80 \% \\ & -\quad 4.53 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| International Small Cap AQR <br> MSCI EAFE Small Cap Index | $\begin{aligned} & 19.79 \% \\ & 19.79 \% \\ & 15.79 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (11.65\%) } \\ & (11.65 \%) \\ & (21.39 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.92 \% \\ 1.92 \% \\ (0.93 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.27 \% \\ 0.27 \% \\ (0.05 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $4.45 \%$ |
| Emerging Markets Equity DFA Emerging Markets MSCI Emerging Markets Index | $\begin{gathered} 10.52 \% \\ 10.52 \% \\ 9.70 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (16.39 \%) \\ & (16.39 \%) \\ & (20.09 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 2 5 \%} \\ 0.25 \% \\ (2.69 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.19 \%) \\ & (0.19 \%) \\ & (1.39 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 6.16\% <br> 6.16\% <br> 5.17\% |
| Domestic Fixed Income Met West Bloomberg Aggregate Index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2.04\% } \\ & \text { 2.04\% } \\ & 1.87 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (13.72 \%) \\ & (13.72 \%) \\ & (13.01 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (2.10\%) } \\ & (2.10 \%) \\ & (2.71 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.57 \% \\ & 0.57 \% \\ & 0.02 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.28 \% \\ & 1.28 \% \\ & 0.89 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Real Estate <br> Clarion Lion Fund <br> Morgan Stanley <br> NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr | $\begin{aligned} & (4.68 \%) \\ & (5.39 \%) \\ & (3.90 \%) \\ & (4.97 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.65 \% \\ & 8.51 \% \\ & 4.69 \% \\ & 7.47 \% \end{aligned}$ | 9.93\% | 8.71\% | 8.56\% |
| Total Plan Target* | $\begin{aligned} & 7.59 \% \\ & 6.74 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (10.28 \%) \\ & (14.03 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.70 \% \\ & 3.35 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.22 \% \\ & 4.62 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.95 \% \\ & 6.63 \% \end{aligned}$ |

[^11]
## Callan

## Domestic Equity

## Period Ended December 31, 2022

## Investment Philosophy

Domestic Equity Benchmark = 80.95\% S\&P500 + 19.05\% Russell 2000 until 6/30/2010, 80.95\% S\&P500 + 19.05\% Russell 2500 until 6/30/2013, 81.08\% S\&P500 + 18.92\% Russell 2000 until 4/30/2015, and 80\% S\&P500 + 20\% Russell 2000 thereafter.

## Quarterly Summary and Highlights

- Domestic Equity's portfolio posted a $10.14 \%$ return for the quarter placing it in the 2 percentile of the Fund Spnsor Domestic Equity group for the quarter and in the 1 percentile for the last year.
- Domestic Equity's portfolio outperformed the Domestic Equity Benchmark by $2.84 \%$ for the quarter and outperformed the Domestic Equity Benchmark for the year by 7.83\%.


## Performance vs Fund Spnsor - Domestic Equity (Gross)



Relative Returns vs Domestic Equity Benchmark


## Domestic Equity

## Return Analysis Summary

## Return Analysis

The graphs below analyze the manager's return on both a risk-adjusted and unadjusted basis. The first chart illustrates the manager's ranking over different periods versus the appropriate style group. The second chart shows the historical quarterly and cumulative manager returns versus the appropriate market benchmark. The last chart illustrates the manager's ranking relative to their style using various risk-adjusted return measures.

Performance vs Fund Spnsor - Domestic Equity (Gross)


Cumulative and Quarterly Relative Returns vs Domestic Equity Benchmark


Risk Adjusted Return Measures vs Domestic Equity Benchmark
Rankings Against Fund Spnsor - Domestic Equity (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


## Current Holdings Based Style Analysis <br> Domestic Equity <br> As of December 31, 2022

This page analyzes the current investment style of a portfolio utilizing a detailed holdings-based style analysis to determine actual exposures to various market capitalization and style segments of the domestic equity market. The market is segmented quarterly by capitalization and style. The capitalization segments are dictated by capitalization decile breakpoints. The style segments are determined using the "Combined Z Score", based on the eight fundamental factors used in the MSCI stock style scoring system. The upper-left style map illustrates the current market capitalization and style score of the portfolio relative to indices and/or peers. The upper-right style exposure matrix displays the current portfolio and index weights and stock counts (in parentheses) in each capitalization/style segment of the market. The middle chart illustrates the total exposures and stock counts in the three style segments, with a legend showing the total growth, value, and "combined Z" (growth - value) scores. The bottom chart exhibits the sector weights as well as the style weights within each sector.

Style Map vs Plan- Dom Equity Holdings as of December 31, 2022


Style Exposure Matrix Holdings as of December 31, 2022

## Combined Z-Score Style Distribution

Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Sector Weights Distribution

Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Historical Holdings Based Style Analysis Domestic Equity <br> For Five Years Ended December 31, 2022

This page analyzes the historical investment style of a portfolio utilizing a detailed holdings-based style analysis to determine average actual exposures to various market capitalization and style segments of the domestic equity market. The market is segmented quarterly by capitalization and style. The capitalization segments are dictated by capitalization decile breakpoints. The style segments are determined using the "Combined Z Score", based on the eight fundamental factors used in the MSCI stock style scoring system. The upper-left style map illustrates the average historical market capitalization and style score of the portfolio relative to indices and/or peers. The upper-right style exposure matrix displays the average historical portfolio and index weights and stock counts (in parentheses) in each capitalization/style segment of the market. The next two style exposure charts illustrate the actual quarterly cap/style and style only segment exposures of the portfolio through history.

Average Style Map vs Plan- Dom Equity
Holdings for Five Years Ended December 31, 2022

## Average Style Exposure Matrix

 Holdings for Five Years Ended December 31, 2022Domestic Equity Historical Cap/Style Exposures


Domestic Equity Historical Style Only Exposures


## Large Cap

## Period Ended December 31, 2022

## Quarterly Summary and Highlights

- Large Cap's portfolio posted a $10.16 \%$ return for the quarter placing it in the 39 percentile of the Callan Large Capitalization group for the quarter and in the 36 percentile for the last year.
- Large Cap's portfolio outperformed the S\&P 500 Index by $2.60 \%$ for the quarter and outperformed the S\&P 500 Index for the year by $7.51 \%$.


## Performance vs Callan Large Capitalization (Gross)



Callan Large Capitalization (Gross) Annualized Seven Year Risk vs Return


## Large Cap

## Return Analysis Summary

## Return Analysis

The graphs below analyze the manager's return on both a risk-adjusted and unadjusted basis. The first chart illustrates the manager's ranking over different periods versus the appropriate style group. The second chart shows the historical quarterly and cumulative manager returns versus the appropriate market benchmark. The last chart illustrates the manager's ranking relative to their style using various risk-adjusted return measures.

Performance vs Callan Large Capitalization (Gross)


Cumulative and Quarterly Relative Returns vs S\&P 500 Index


Risk Adjusted Return Measures vs S\&P 500 Index
Rankings Against Callan Large Capitalization (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


## Current Holdings Based Style Analysis <br> Large Cap <br> As of December 31, 2022

This page analyzes the current investment style of a portfolio utilizing a detailed holdings-based style analysis to determine actual exposures to various market capitalization and style segments of the domestic equity market. The market is segmented quarterly by capitalization and style. The capitalization segments are dictated by capitalization decile breakpoints. The style segments are determined using the "Combined Z Score", based on the eight fundamental factors used in the MSCI stock style scoring system. The upper-left style map illustrates the current market capitalization and style score of the portfolio relative to indices and/or peers. The upper-right style exposure matrix displays the current portfolio and index weights and stock counts (in parentheses) in each capitalization/style segment of the market. The middle chart illustrates the total exposures and stock counts in the three style segments, with a legend showing the total growth, value, and "combined Z" (growth - value) scores. The bottom chart exhibits the sector weights as well as the style weights within each sector.

Style Map vs Callan Large Cap Holdings as of December 31, 2022


Style Exposure Matrix
Holdings as of December 31, 2022


Combined Z-Score Style Distribution
Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Sector Weights Distribution

Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Historical Holdings Based Style Analysis Large Cap <br> For Five Years Ended December 31, 2022

This page analyzes the historical investment style of a portfolio utilizing a detailed holdings-based style analysis to determine average actual exposures to various market capitalization and style segments of the domestic equity market. The market is segmented quarterly by capitalization and style. The capitalization segments are dictated by capitalization decile breakpoints. The style segments are determined using the "Combined Z Score", based on the eight fundamental factors used in the MSCI stock style scoring system. The upper-left style map illustrates the average historical market capitalization and style score of the portfolio relative to indices and/or peers. The upper-right style exposure matrix displays the average historical portfolio and index weights and stock counts (in parentheses) in each capitalization/style segment of the market. The next two style exposure charts illustrate the actual quarterly cap/style and style only segment exposures of the portfolio through history.

Average Style Map vs Callan Large Cap
Holdings for Five Years Ended December 31, 2022


## Average Style Exposure Matrix

Holdings for Five Years Ended December 31, 2022

## Large Cap Historical Cap/Style Exposures



Large Cap Historical Style Only Exposures


## SSgA S\&P 500

## Period Ended December 31, 2022

## Investment Philosophy

SSGA believes that their passive investment strategy can provide market-like returns with minimal transaction costs.
Returns prior to 6/30/2012 are linked to a composite history.

## Quarterly Summary and Highlights

- SSgA S\&P 500's portfolio posted a $7.58 \%$ return for the quarter placing it in the 57 percentile of the Callan Large Cap Core group for the quarter and in the 61 percentile for the last year.
- SSgA S\&P 500's portfolio outperformed the S\&P 500 Index by $0.02 \%$ for the quarter and outperformed the S\&P 500 Index for the year by $0.01 \%$.


## Quarterly Asset Growth

Beginning Market Value
\$49,452,199
Net New Investment
Investment Gains/(Losses)
\$3,740,642
Ending Market Value
\$53,192,841

## Performance vs Callan Large Cap Core (Gross)



Relative Return vs S\&P 500 Index


Callan Large Cap Core (Gross) Annualized Seven Year Risk vs Return


## SSgA S\&P 500

## Return Analysis Summary

## Return Analysis

The graphs below analyze the manager's return on both a risk-adjusted and unadjusted basis. The first chart illustrates the manager's ranking over different periods versus the appropriate style group. The second chart shows the historical quarterly and cumulative manager returns versus the appropriate market benchmark. The last chart illustrates the manager's ranking relative to their style using various risk-adjusted return measures.

## Performance vs Callan Large Cap Core (Gross)



Cumulative and Quarterly Relative Returns vs S\&P 500 Index


Risk Adjusted Return Measures vs S\&P 500 Index
Rankings Against Callan Large Cap Core (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


## SSgA S\&P 500

## Equity Characteristics Analysis Summary

## Portfolio Characteristics

This graph compares the manager's portfolio characteristics with the range of characteristics for the portfolios which make up the manager's style group. This analysis illustrates whether the manager's current holdings are consistent with other managers employing the same style.

## Portfolio Characteristics Percentile Rankings

Rankings Against Callan Large Cap Core as of December 31, 2022


## Sector Weights

The graph below contrasts the manager's sector weights with those of the benchmark and median sector weights across the members of the peer group. The magnitude of sector weight differences from the index and the manager's sector diversification are also shown. Diversification by number and concentration of holdings are also compared to the benchmark and peer group. Issue Diversification represents by count, and Diversification Ratio by percent, the number of holdings that account for half of the portfolio's market value.


## Current Holdings Based Style Analysis

SSgA S\&P 500

## As of December 31, 2022

This page analyzes the current investment style of a portfolio utilizing a detailed holdings-based style analysis to determine actual exposures to various market capitalization and style segments of the domestic equity market. The market is segmented quarterly by capitalization and style. The capitalization segments are dictated by capitalization decile breakpoints. The style segments are determined using the "Combined Z Score", based on the eight fundamental factors used in the MSCI stock style scoring system. The upper-left style map illustrates the current market capitalization and style score of the portfolio relative to indices and/or peers. The upper-right style exposure matrix displays the current portfolio and index weights and stock counts (in parentheses) in each capitalization/style segment of the market. The middle chart illustrates the total exposures and stock counts in the three style segments, with a legend showing the total growth, value, and "combined Z" (growth - value) scores. The bottom chart exhibits the sector weights as well as the style weights within each sector.

Style Map vs Callan Large Cap Core Holdings as of December 31, 2022


Style Exposure Matrix
Holdings as of December 31, 2022

Combined Z-Score Style Distribution
Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Sector Weights Distribution

Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Boston Partners

## Period Ended December 31, 2022

## Investment Philosophy

Boston Partners attempts to implement a disciplined investment process designed to find undervalued securities issued by companies with sound fundamentals and positive business momentum. Boston Partners was funded 6/27/05. The first full quarter for this portfolio is 3rd quarter 2005.

## Quarterly Summary and Highlights

- Boston Partners's portfolio posted a $12.48 \%$ return for the quarter placing it in the 67 percentile of the Callan Large Cap Value group for the quarter and in the 34 percentile for the last year.
- Boston Partners's portfolio outperformed the Russell 1000 Value Index by 0.06\% for the quarter and outperformed the Russell 1000 Value Index for the year by $4.37 \%$.

| Quarterly Asset Growth |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Beginning Market Value | $\$ 55,065,337$ |
| Net New Investment | $\$-805,855$ |
| Investment Gains/(Losses) | $\$ 6,838,439$ |
| Ending Market Value | $\$ 61,097,921$ |

## Performance vs Callan Large Cap Value (Gross)



Relative Return vs Russell 1000 Value Index


Callan Large Cap Value (Gross) Annualized Seven Year Risk vs Return


## Boston Partners

## Return Analysis Summary

## Return Analysis

The graphs below analyze the manager's return on both a risk-adjusted and unadjusted basis. The first chart illustrates the manager's ranking over different periods versus the appropriate style group. The second chart shows the historical quarterly and cumulative manager returns versus the appropriate market benchmark. The last chart illustrates the manager's ranking relative to their style using various risk-adjusted return measures.

Performance vs Callan Large Cap Value (Gross)


Cumulative and Quarterly Relative Returns vs Russell 1000 Value Index


Risk Adjusted Return Measures vs Russell 1000 Value Index
Rankings Against Callan Large Cap Value (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


## Boston Partners

## Risk Analysis Summary

## Risk Analysis

The graphs below analyze the risk or variation of a manager's return pattern. The first scatter chart illustrates the relationship, called Excess Return Ratio, between excess return and tracking error relative to the benchmark. The second chart shows Up and Down Market Capture. The last two charts show the ranking of the manager's risk statistics versus the peer group.

Risk Analysis vs Callan Large Cap Value (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


Market Capture vs Russell 1000 Value Index
Rankings Against Callan Large Cap Value (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


Risk Statistics Rankings vs Russell 1000 Value Index
Rankings Against Callan Large Cap Value (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


## Callan

## Boston Partners

## Equity Characteristics Analysis Summary

## Portfolio Characteristics

This graph compares the manager's portfolio characteristics with the range of characteristics for the portfolios which make up the manager's style group. This analysis illustrates whether the manager's current holdings are consistent with other managers employing the same style.

## Portfolio Characteristics Percentile Rankings

Rankings Against Callan Large Cap Value
as of December 31, 2022


## Sector Weights

The graph below contrasts the manager's sector weights with those of the benchmark and median sector weights across the members of the peer group. The magnitude of sector weight differences from the index and the manager's sector diversification are also shown. Diversification by number and concentration of holdings are also compared to the benchmark and peer group. Issue Diversification represents by count, and Diversification Ratio by percent, the number of holdings that account for half of the portfolio's market value.


## Current Holdings Based Style Analysis

## Boston Partners

## As of December 31, 2022

This page analyzes the current investment style of a portfolio utilizing a detailed holdings-based style analysis to determine actual exposures to various market capitalization and style segments of the domestic equity market. The market is segmented quarterly by capitalization and style. The capitalization segments are dictated by capitalization decile breakpoints. The style segments are determined using the "Combined Z Score", based on the eight fundamental factors used in the MSCI stock style scoring system. The upper-left style map illustrates the current market capitalization and style score of the portfolio relative to indices and/or peers. The upper-right style exposure matrix displays the current portfolio and index weights and stock counts (in parentheses) in each capitalization/style segment of the market. The middle chart illustrates the total exposures and stock counts in the three style segments, with a legend showing the total growth, value, and "combined Z" (growth - value) scores. The bottom chart exhibits the sector weights as well as the style weights within each sector.

Style Map vs Callan Large Cap Value Holdings as of December 31, 2022


Style Exposure Matrix
Holdings as of December 31, 2022


Combined Z-Score Style Distribution
Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Sector Weights Distribution

Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Historical Holdings Based Style Analysis Boston Partners <br> For Five Years Ended December 31, 2022

This page analyzes the historical investment style of a portfolio utilizing a detailed holdings-based style analysis to determine average actual exposures to various market capitalization and style segments of the domestic equity market. The market is segmented quarterly by capitalization and style. The capitalization segments are dictated by capitalization decile breakpoints. The style segments are determined using the "Combined Z Score", based on the eight fundamental factors used in the MSCI stock style scoring system. The upper-left style map illustrates the average historical market capitalization and style score of the portfolio relative to indices and/or peers. The upper-right style exposure matrix displays the average historical portfolio and index weights and stock counts (in parentheses) in each capitalization/style segment of the market. The next two style exposure charts illustrate the actual quarterly cap/style and style only segment exposures of the portfolio through history.

Average Style Map vs Callan Large Cap Value Holdings for Five Years Ended December 31, 2022


## Average Style Exposure Matrix

 Holdings for Five Years Ended December 31, 2022Boston Partners Historical Cap/Style Exposures


Boston Partners Historical Style Only Exposures


## Atlanta Capital

## Period Ended December 31, 2022

## Investment Philosophy

Atlanta Capital Managements approach with its small cap product is to focus on high quality companies with an overall portfolio risk exposure tied to the Russell 2000 Index. Key characteristics of this portfolio include: (1)stocks rated B+ or better by S\&P, (2) equally weighting 75 stocks, (3) sector concentrations similar to that of the benchmark. A group of ten analysts is in charge of screening the Russell 2000 to identify companies they believe have strong price appreciation potential and good business fundamentals. These analysts present the ideas to the portfolio management team, led by Chip Reed, who makes the final decisions on the inclusion of stocks. In general, stocks are sold from the portfolio if the B+ or better financial rating is no longer met, there is an adverse change in the fundamental business, or because of regular portfolio maintenance to ensure broad diversification. Returns prior to 6/30/2010 are linked to a composite history.

## Quarterly Summary and Highlights

- Atlanta Capital's portfolio posted a $10.06 \%$ return for the quarter placing it in the 34 percentile of the Callan Small Capitalization group for the quarter and in the 22 percentile for the last year.
- Atlanta Capital's portfolio outperformed the Russell 2000 Index by $3.83 \%$ for the quarter and outperformed the Russell 2000 Index for the year by $9.28 \%$.

| Quarterly Asset Growth |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Beginning Market Value | $\$ 27,381,070$ |
| Net New Investment | $\$-179,958$ |
| Investment Gains/(Losses) | $\$ 2,704,193$ |
| Ending Market Value | $\$ 29,905,305$ |

## Performance vs Callan Small Capitalization (Gross)



Relative Return vs Russell 2000 Index


Callan Small Capitalization (Gross) Annualized Seven Year Risk vs Return


## Atlanta Capital

## Return Analysis Summary

## Return Analysis

The graphs below analyze the manager's return on both a risk-adjusted and unadjusted basis. The first chart illustrates the manager's ranking over different periods versus the appropriate style group. The second chart shows the historical quarterly and cumulative manager returns versus the appropriate market benchmark. The last chart illustrates the manager's ranking relative to their style using various risk-adjusted return measures.

Performance vs Callan Small Capitalization (Gross)


Cumulative and Quarterly Relative Returns vs Russell 2000 Index


Risk Adjusted Return Measures vs Russell 2000 Index
Rankings Against Callan Small Capitalization (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


## Atlanta Capital

## Risk Analysis Summary

## Risk Analysis

The graphs below analyze the risk or variation of a manager's return pattern. The first scatter chart illustrates the relationship, called Excess Return Ratio, between excess return and tracking error relative to the benchmark. The second chart shows Up and Down Market Capture. The last two charts show the ranking of the manager's risk statistics versus the peer group.

Risk Analysis vs Callan Small Capitalization (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


Market Capture vs Russell 2000 Index
Rankings Against Callan Small Capitalization (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


Risk Statistics Rankings vs Russell 2000 Index
Rankings Against Callan Small Capitalization (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35\% |  |  |  | 1.20 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| 25\% |  |  |  | $1.00-$ |  |  |
| 20\% - |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| 15\% - |  |  |  | 0.90 |  | -(74) |
| 10\% - |  |  | (22) | $0.80-$ |  |  |
| 5\%- |  | $\longrightarrow(17)$ | $\square$ | 0.70 | - (99) |  |
| 0\% |  |  |  | . 0 |  |  |
|  | Standard | Downside | Tracking |  | Beta | R-Squared |
|  | Deviation | Risk | Error |  |  |  |
| 10th Percentile | 28.54 | 7.20 | 11.48 | 10th Percentile | 1.08 | 0.97 |
| 25th Percentile | 26.70 | 5.92 | 9.43 | 25th Percentile | 1.04 | 0.95 |
| Median | 24.83 | 4.57 | 7.42 | Median | 0.98 | 0.92 |
| 75th Percentile | 23.66 | 3.25 | 5.59 | 75th Percentile | 0.92 | 0.87 |
| 90th Percentile | 21.97 | 2.41 | 4.08 | 90th Percentile | 0.86 | 0.83 |
| Atlanta Capital | 18.02 | 6.63 | 9.91 | Atlanta Capital | 0.69 | 0.88 |

## Atlanta Capital

## Equity Characteristics Analysis Summary

## Portfolio Characteristics

This graph compares the manager's portfolio characteristics with the range of characteristics for the portfolios which make up the manager's style group. This analysis illustrates whether the manager's current holdings are consistent with other managers employing the same style.

Portfolio Characteristics Percentile Rankings Rankings Against Callan Small Capitalization as of December 31, 2022


## Sector Weights

The graph below contrasts the manager's sector weights with those of the benchmark and median sector weights across the members of the peer group. The magnitude of sector weight differences from the index and the manager's sector diversification are also shown. Diversification by number and concentration of holdings are also compared to the benchmark and peer group. Issue Diversification represents by count, and Diversification Ratio by percent, the number of holdings that account for half of the portfolio's market value.


## Current Holdings Based Style Analysis <br> Atlanta Capital

As of December 31, 2022
This page analyzes the current investment style of a portfolio utilizing a detailed holdings-based style analysis to determine actual exposures to various market capitalization and style segments of the domestic equity market. The market is segmented quarterly by capitalization and style. The capitalization segments are dictated by capitalization decile breakpoints. The style segments are determined using the "Combined Z Score", based on the eight fundamental factors used in the MSCI stock style scoring system. The upper-left style map illustrates the current market capitalization and style score of the portfolio relative to indices and/or peers. The upper-right style exposure matrix displays the current portfolio and index weights and stock counts (in parentheses) in each capitalization/style segment of the market. The middle chart illustrates the total exposures and stock counts in the three style segments, with a legend showing the total growth, value, and "combined Z" (growth - value) scores. The bottom chart exhibits the sector weights as well as the style weights within each sector.

## Style Map vs Callan Small Cap Holdings as of December 31, 2022



Style Exposure Matrix
Holdings as of December 31, 2022

|  | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Large |  |  |  | 0.0\% ( |
|  | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (0) |
|  | 4.9\% (3) | 2.1\% (1) | 16.5\% (8) | 23.5\% (12) |
| Mid | 2.6\% (11) | 3.4\% (16) | 6.2\% (25) | 12.2\% (52) |
|  | 4.3\% (4) | 30.0\% (17) | 41.1\% (24) | 75.4\% (45) |
| Small | 19.9\% (261) | 29.5\% (422) | 26.5\% (309) | 75.9\% (992) |
|  | 0.0\% (0) | 1.1\% (1) | 0.0\% (0) | 1.1\% (1) |
| Micro | 4.0\% (256) | 5.5\% (455) | 2.5\% (161) | 11.9\% (872) |
|  | 9.3\% (7) | 33.1\% (19) | 57.6\% (32) | 100.0\% (58) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
|  | 26.5\% (528) | 38.3\% (893) | 35.2\% (495) | 100.0\% (1916) |
|  | Value | Core | Growth | Total |

Combined Z-Score Style Distribution
Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Sector Weights Distribution

Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Historical Holdings Based Style Analysis Atlanta Capital For Five Years Ended December 31, 2022

This page analyzes the historical investment style of a portfolio utilizing a detailed holdings-based style analysis to determine average actual exposures to various market capitalization and style segments of the domestic equity market. The market is segmented quarterly by capitalization and style. The capitalization segments are dictated by capitalization decile breakpoints. The style segments are determined using the "Combined Z Score", based on the eight fundamental factors used in the MSCI stock style scoring system. The upper-left style map illustrates the average historical market capitalization and style score of the portfolio relative to indices and/or peers. The upper-right style exposure matrix displays the average historical portfolio and index weights and stock counts (in parentheses) in each capitalization/style segment of the market. The next two style exposure charts illustrate the actual quarterly cap/style and style only segment exposures of the portfolio through history.

Average Style Map vs Callan Small Cap
Holdings for Five Years Ended December 31, 2022

## Average Style Exposure Matrix

Holdings for Five Years Ended December 31, 2022

Atlanta Capital Historical Cap/Style Exposures


Atlanta Capital Historical Style Only Exposures

i

## International Equity

## Period Ended December 31, 2022

## Investment Philosophy

International Benchmark = MSCI EAFE until 6/30/2013, 78.26\% MSCI EAFE + 21.74\% MSCI EM until 4/30/2015, 76\% $\mathrm{MSCI} \mathrm{EAFE}+24 \% \mathrm{MSCI} \mathrm{EM}$ until $7 / 31 / 2016$, and $56 \% \mathrm{MSCI} \mathrm{EAFE}+24 \% \mathrm{MSCI} \mathrm{EM}+20 \% \mathrm{MSCI}$ EAFE Small Cap thereafter

## Quarterly Summary and Highlights

- International Equity's portfolio posted a $15.59 \%$ return for the quarter placing it in the 56 percentile of the Callan Non-US Equity group for the quarter and in the 20 percentile for the last year.
- International Equity's portfolio outperformed the International Benchmark by $0.35 \%$ for the quarter and outperformed the International Benchmark for the year by 5.90\%.


## Performance vs Callan Non-US Equity (Gross)



Relative Return vs International Benchmark


Callan Non-US Equity (Gross) Annualized Seven Year Risk vs Return


## International Equity

## Return Analysis Summary

## Return Analysis

The graphs below analyze the manager's return on both a risk-adjusted and unadjusted basis. The first chart illustrates the manager's ranking over different periods versus the appropriate style group. The second chart shows the historical quarterly and cumulative manager returns versus the appropriate market benchmark. The last chart illustrates the manager's ranking relative to their style using various risk-adjusted return measures.

Performance vs Callan Non-US Equity (Gross)


Cumulative and Quarterly Relative Returns vs International Benchmark


Risk Adjusted Return Measures vs International Benchmark
Rankings Against Callan Non-US Equity (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


## Current Holdings Based Style Analysis International Equity <br> As of December 31, 2022

This page analyzes the current investment style of a portfolio utilizing a detailed holdings-based style analysis to determine actual exposures to various regional and style segments of the international/global equity market. The market is segmented quarterly by region and style. The style segments are determined using the "Combined Z Score", based on the eight fundamental factors used in the MSCl stock style scoring system. The upper-left style map illustrates the current market capitalization and style score of the portfolio relative to indices and/or peers. The upper-right style exposure matrix displays the current portfolio and index weights and stock counts (in parentheses) in each region/style segment of the market. The middle chart illustrates the total exposures and stock counts in the three style segments, with a legend showing the total growth, value, and "combined Z" (growth - value) scores. The bottom chart exhibits the sector weights as well as the style weights within each sector.

## Style Map vs Callan NonUS Eq <br> Holdings as of December 31, 2022



Style Exposure Matrix Holdings as of December 31, 2022

| Europe/ Mid East | $\begin{aligned} & 16.8 \%(267) \\ & 13.9 \%(489) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.2 \%(171) \\ & 13.5 \%(525) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.9 \%(164) \\ & 18.8 \%(482) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.9 \%(602) \\ & 46.2 \%(1496) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| N. America | 0.0\% (1) | 0.0\% (9) | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (10) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0\% (1) | 0.0\% (8) | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (9) |
| Pacific | 13.6\% (252) | 9.6\% (183) | 7.6\% (155) | 30.8\% (590) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10.4\% (504) | 9.1\% (532) | 10.1\% (492) | 29.7\% (1528) |
| Emerging | 9.2\% (2464) | 10.2\% (2248) | 7.9\% (1506) | 27.3\% (6218) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.3\% (420) | 8.1\% (410) | 9.7\% (474) | 24.1\% (1304) |
| Total | 39.6\% (2984) | 34.0\% (2611) | 26.4\% (1825) | 100.0\% (7420) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30.7\% (1414) | 30.8\% (1475) | 38.6\% (1448) | 100.0\% (4337) |
|  | Value | Core | Growth | Total |

## Combined Z-Score Style Distribution

Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Sector Weights Distribution

Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Historical Holdings Based Style Analysis International Equity For Five Years Ended December 31, 2022

This page analyzes the historical investment style of a portfolio utilizing a detailed holdings-based style analysis to determine average actual exposures to various region and style segments of the international/global equity market. The market is segmented quarterly by region and style. The style segments are determined using the "Combined Z Score", based on the eight fundamental factors used in the MSCl stock style scoring system. The upper-left style map illustrates the average historical market capitalization and style score of the portfolio relative to indices and/or peers. The upper-right style exposure matrix displays the average historical portfolio and index weights and stock counts (in parentheses) in each region/style segment of the market. The next two style exposure charts illustrate the actual quarterly region/style and style only segment exposures of the portfolio through history.

## Average Style Map vs Callan NonUS Eq Holdings for Five Years Ended December 31, 2022



## Average Style Exposure Matrix

 Holdings for Five Years Ended December 31, 2022

International Equity Historical Region/Style Exposures


## International Equity Historical Style Only Exposures



## Country Allocation

## International Equity VS Intl Eq - Benchmark Characteristics

## Country Allocation

The chart below contrasts the portfolio's country allocation with that of the index as of December 31, 2022. This chart is useful because large deviations in country allocation relative to the index are often good predictors of tracking error in the subsequent quarter. To the extent that the portfolio allocation is similar to the index, the portfolio should experience more "index-like" performance. In order to illustrate the performance effect on the portfolio and index of these country allocations, the individual index country returns are also shown.


## SSgA EAFE

## Period Ended December 31, 2022

## Investment Philosophy

SSGA's objective is to provide the most cost-effective implementation of passive investing with stringent risk control and tracking requirements through a replication method. Returns prior to 6/30/2012 are linked to a composite history.

## Quarterly Summary and Highlights

- SSgA EAFE's portfolio posted a $17.38 \%$ return for the quarter placing it in the 39 percentile of the Callan Non-US Developed Core Equity group for the quarter and in the 38 percentile for the last year.
- SSgA EAFE's portfolio outperformed the MSCI EAFE Index by $0.04 \%$ for the quarter and outperformed the MSCI EAFE Index for the year by $0.37 \%$.


## Quarterly Asset Growth

| Beginning Market Value | $\$ 13,580,950$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net New Investment | $\$ 0$ |
| Investment Gains/(Losses) | $\$ 2,356,574$ |
| Ending Market Value | $\$ 15,937,524$ |

## Performance vs Callan Non-US Developed Core Equity (Gross)



Relative Return vs MSCI EAFE Index


Callan Non-US Developed Core Equity (Gross) Annualized Seven Year Risk vs Return


## SSgA EAFE

## Return Analysis Summary

## Return Analysis

The graphs below analyze the manager's return on both a risk-adjusted and unadjusted basis. The first chart illustrates the manager's ranking over different periods versus the appropriate style group. The second chart shows the historical quarterly and cumulative manager returns versus the appropriate market benchmark. The last chart illustrates the manager's ranking relative to their style using various risk-adjusted return measures.

Performance vs Callan Non-US Developed Core Equity (Gross)


Cumulative and Quarterly Relative Returns vs MSCI EAFE


Risk Adjusted Return Measures vs MSCI EAFE
Rankings Against Callan Non-US Developed Core Equity (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


## SSgA EAFE

## Equity Characteristics Analysis Summary

## Portfolio Characteristics

This graph compares the manager's portfolio characteristics with the range of characteristics for the portfolios which make up the manager's style group. This analysis illustrates whether the manager's current holdings are consistent with other managers employing the same style.

## Portfolio Characteristics Percentile Rankings

 Rankings Against Callan Non-US Developed Core Equity as of December 31, 2022

## Sector Weights

The graph below contrasts the manager's sector weights with those of the benchmark and median sector weights across the members of the peer group. The magnitude of sector weight differences from the index and the manager's sector diversification are also shown. Diversification by number and concentration of holdings are also compared to the benchmark and peer group. Issue Diversification represents by count, and Diversification Ratio by percent, the number of holdings that account for half of the portfolio's market value.


## Current Holdings Based Style Analysis

SSgA EAFE

## As of December 31, 2022

This page analyzes the current investment style of a portfolio utilizing a detailed holdings-based style analysis to determine actual exposures to various regional and style segments of the international/global equity market. The market is segmented quarterly by region and style. The style segments are determined using the "Combined Z Score", based on the eight fundamental factors used in the MSCl stock style scoring system. The upper-left style map illustrates the current market capitalization and style score of the portfolio relative to indices and/or peers. The upper-right style exposure matrix displays the current portfolio and index weights and stock counts (in parentheses) in each region/style segment of the market. The middle chart illustrates the total exposures and stock counts in the three style segments, with a legend showing the total growth, value, and "combined Z" (growth - value) scores. The bottom chart exhibits the sector weights as well as the style weights within each sector.

Style Map vs Callan NonUS Dev Core Eq Holdings as of December 31, 2022


Style Exposure Matrix Holdings as of December 31, 2022

| Europe/ Mid East | $\begin{aligned} & 19.5 \%(157) \\ & 19.5 \%(157) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.2 \%(127) \\ & 17.2 \%(127) \end{aligned}$ | 27.3\% (153)27.3\% (153) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 64.0 \%(437) \\ 64.0 \%(437) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| N. America | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (0) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (0) |
| Pacific | 13.5\% (137) | 9.9\% (99) | 12.7\% (119) | 36.0\% (355) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13.5\% (137) | 9.9\% (99) | 12.7\% (119) | 36.0\% (355) |
| Emerging | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (0) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (0) |
| Total | 33.0\% (294) | 27.1\% (226) | 39.9\% (272) | 100.0\% (792) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 33.0\% (294) | 27.1\% (226) | 39.9\% (272) | 100.0\% (792) |
|  | Value | Core | Growth | Total |

## Combined Z-Score Style Distribution

Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Sector Weights Distribution

Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Country Allocation

## SSgA EAFE VS MSCI EAFE Index

## Country Allocation

The chart below contrasts the portfolio's country allocation with that of the index as of December 31, 2022. This chart is useful because large deviations in country allocation relative to the index are often good predictors of tracking error in the subsequent quarter. To the extent that the portfolio allocation is similar to the index, the portfolio should experience more "index-like" performance. In order to illustrate the performance effect on the portfolio and index of these country allocations, the individual index country returns are also shown.

Country Weights as of December 31, 2022

Index Rtns
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21.01\%
24.51\%
$16.92 \%$
17.23\%
10.46\%
22.90\%
18.14\%
10.41\%
16.98\%
17.38\%
17.34\%

## SSgA EAFE

## Top 10 Portfolio Holdings Characteristics

as of December 31, 2022

## 10 Largest Holdings

| Stock | Sector | Ending Market Value | Percent of Portfolio | Qtrly <br> Return | Market Capital | Price/ <br> Forecasted Earnings Ratio | Dividend Yield | Forecasted Growth in Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nestle S A Shs Nom New | Consumer Staples | \$356,433 | 2.2\% | 7.74\% | 318.46 | 20.93 | 2.61\% | 8.26\% |
| Novo Nordisk B | Health Care | \$249,428 | 1.6\% | 33.29\% | 234.58 | 30.63 | 1.19\% | 19.10\% |
| Roche Hldgs Ag Basel Div Rts Ctf | Health Care | \$246,902 | 1.5\% | (0.95)\% | 220.60 | 13.90 | 3.20\% | 6.30\% |
| Asml Holding N V Asml Rev Stk Spl | Information Technology | \$244,617 | 1.5\% | 29.30\% | 218.56 | 26.87 | 1.28\% | 18.05\% |
| Astrazeneca Plc Ord | Health Care | \$234,025 | 1.5\% | 24.27\% | 209.10 | 18.15 | 1.87\% | 15.64\% |
| Lvmh Moet Hennessy Lou Vuitt Ord | Consumer Discretionary | \$224,798 | 1.4\% | 28.93\% | 365.18 | 21.55 | 1.76\% | 14.50\% |
| Novartis | Health Care | \$218,763 | 1.4\% | 15.52\% | 217.18 | 14.08 | 3.71\% | 3.83\% |
| Bhp Billiton Ltd Shs | Materials | \$175,451 | 1.1\% | 24.27\% | 156.76 | 10.73 | 10.15\% | (15.83)\% |
| Total Sa Act | Energy | \$174,319 | 1.1\% | 35.30\% | 163.95 | 5.30 | 1.71\% | 10.65\% |
| Toyota Motor Corp | Consumer Discretionary | \$163,048 | 1.0\% | 5.22\% | 224.12 | 8.40 | 2.92\% | 7.20\% |

10 Best Performers

| Stock | Sector | Ending Market Value | Percent of Portfolio | Qtrly Return | Market Capital | Price/ <br> Forecasted <br> Earnings <br> Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dividend } \\ & \text { Yield } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Forecasted Growth in Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rentokil Initial | Industrials | \$17,160 | 0.1\% | 463.60\% | 15.40 | 21.07 | 1.32\% | 15.83\% |
| Zalando | Consumer Discretionary | \$8,857 | 0.1\% | 83.15\% | 9.50 | 65.16 | 0.00\% | 17.90\% |
| Antofagasta Plc Ord | Materials | \$8,205 | 0.1\% | 70.59\% | 18.33 | 27.38 | 6.65\% | (41.01)\% |
| Siemens Energy Ag | Industrials | \$9,153 | 0.1\% | 68.99\% | 13.67 | 20.41 | 0.00\% | - |
| Rockwool B | Industrials | \$2,333 | 0.0\% | 62.14\% | 2.51 | 15.57 | 2.14\% | 5.40\% |
| Vestas Wind Sys As Shs | Industrials | \$32,784 | 0.2\% | 59.58\% | 29.29 | 104.69 | 0.18\% | (14.95)\% |
| Deutsche Bank Ag Namen Akt | Financials | \$26,140 | 0.2\% | 53.85\% | 23.46 | 6.14 | 1.88\% | 17.90\% |
| Nitori Holdings Co Ltd Shs New | Consumer Discretionary | \$11,586 | 0.1\% | 53.73\% | 14.79 | 22.54 | 0.84\% | (2.20)\% |
| Sands China Ltd Usd0.01 Reg's' | Consumer Discretionary | \$9,018 | 0.1\% | 52.87\% | 26.86 | 89.68 | 0.00\% | (6.74)\% |
| ABN AMRO Group NV Cva Dutch Cert | Financials | \$6,236 | 0.0\% | 52.58\% | 12.97 | 8.90 | 7.20\% | 26.60\% |

10 Worst Performers

| Stock | Sector | Ending Market Value | Percent of Portfolio | Qtrly Return | Market Capital | Price/ <br> Forecasted Earnings Ratio | Dividend Yield | Forecasted Growth in Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nippon Yusen Kk Shs | Industrials | \$12,786 | 0.1\% | (62.38)\% | 12.02 | 3.05 | 24.65\% | (70.00)\% |
| Mapletree Coml.Trust | Real Estate | \$3,282 | 0.0\% | (49.82)\% | 6.52 | 17.54 | 5.72\% | 6.87\% |
| Koito Manufacturing Co Ltd Shs | Consumer Discretionary | \$3,523 | 0.0\% | (47.44)\% | 4.84 | 12.45 | 1.36\% | (14.85)\% |
| Fastighets Ab Balder Shs B | Real Estate | \$3,289 | 0.0\% | (35.50)\% | 4.90 | 11.53 | 0.00\% | 16.04\% |
| Lixil Group Corp Shs | Industrials | \$4,873 | 0.0\% | (25.75)\% | 4.35 | 12.50 | 4.50\% | 3.78\% |
| Tele2 Ab Shs B New | Communication Services | \$5,208 | 0.0\% | (24.73)\% | 5.47 | 14.11 | 7.52\% | 6.10\% |
| Thq Nordic | Communication Services | \$3,302 | 0.0\% | (24.20)\% | 5.41 | 19.00 | 0.00\% | 19.35\% |
| Konami HIdgs Corp Shs | Communication Services | \$4,724 | 0.0\% | (22.72)\% | 6.49 | 14.21 | 2.50\% | 11.80\% |
| Brother Industries | Information Technology | \$4,018 | 0.0\% | (20.59)\% | 3.92 | 8.92 | 3.39\% | 3.36\% |
| Temenos Group Ag Glarus Namen Akt | Information Technology | \$3,904 | 0.0\% | (20.55)\% | 4.11 | 17.43 | 1.97\% | 9.38\% |

## Pyrford

## Period Ended December 31, 2022

## Investment Philosophy

Pyrford's investment strategy is based on a value-driven, absolute return approach, with both top-down and bottom-up elements. At the country level they seek to invest in countries that offer an attractive market valuation relative to their long-term prospects. At the stock level they identify companies that offer excellent value relative to in-house forecasts of long-term (5 years) earnings growth. This approach is characterized by low absolute volatility and downside protection. Returns prior to 6/30/2017 are linked to a composite history.

## Quarterly Summary and Highlights

- Pyrford's portfolio posted a $15.58 \%$ return for the quarter placing it in the 78 percentile of the Callan Non-US Developed Core Equity group for the quarter and in the 2 percentile for the last year.
- Pyrford's portfolio underperformed the MSCI EAFE Index by $1.76 \%$ for the quarter and outperformed the MSCI EAFE Index for the year by $7.96 \%$.


## Quarterly Asset Growth

| Beginning Market Value | $\$ 28,524,012$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net New Investment | $\$ 0$ |
| Investment Gains/(Losses) | $\$ 4,444,205$ |
| Ending Market Value | $\$ 32,968,217$ |

Performance vs Callan Non-US Developed Core Equity (Gross)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 25 \% \\ 20 \% \\ 15 \%- \\ 10 \%- \\ 5 \%- \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}-$ |  |  | (63) (49) | (65) | (66) | (64) $\square$ (43) |
| $\begin{gathered} (5 \%) \\ (10 \%) \\ (15 \%) \\ (20 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (25\%) | Last Quarter | Last <br> Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | $\begin{gathered} \text { Last 5-1/2 } \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | Last 7 Years |
| 10th Percentile | 19.17 | (10.32) | 3.40 | 3.71 | 4.85 | 6.43 |
| 25th Percentile | 17.72 | (13.20) | 2.61 | 2.84 | 4.35 | 5.71 |
| Median | 17.09 | (14.85) | 1.57 | 2.24 | 3.71 | 4.91 |
| 75th Percentile | 15.96 | (16.70) | 0.28 | 1.17 | 2.81 | 3.99 |
| 90th Percentile | 14.58 | (19.20) | (1.16) | (1.02) | 0.89 | 2.89 |
| Pyrford | 15.58 | (6.49) | 1.75 | 2.93 | 3.86 | 5.16 |
| MSCI EAFE Index $\triangle$ | 17.34 | (14.45) | 0.87 | 1.54 | 3.15 | 4.53 |

Relative Return vs MSCI EAFE Index


Callan Non-US Developed Core Equity (Gross) Annualized Seven Year Risk vs Return


## Pyrford

Return Analysis Summary

## Return Analysis

The graphs below analyze the manager's return on both a risk-adjusted and unadjusted basis. The first chart illustrates the manager's ranking over different periods versus the appropriate style group. The second chart shows the historical quarterly and cumulative manager returns versus the appropriate market benchmark. The last chart illustrates the manager's ranking relative to their style using various risk-adjusted return measures.

Performance vs Callan Non-US Developed Core Equity (Gross)


Cumulative and Quarterly Relative Returns vs MSCI EAFE


Risk Adjusted Return Measures vs MSCI EAFE
Rankings Against Callan Non-US Developed Core Equity (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


## Pyrford

## Risk Analysis Summary

## Risk Analysis

The graphs below analyze the risk or variation of a manager's return pattern. The first scatter chart illustrates the relationship, called Excess Return Ratio, between excess return and tracking error relative to the benchmark. The second chart shows Up and Down Market Capture. The last two charts show the ranking of the manager's risk statistics versus the peer group.

Risk Analysis vs Callan Non-US Developed Core Equity (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


Market Capture vs MSCI EAFE (Net)
Rankings Against Callan Non-US Developed Core Equity (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022

| 130\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 120\% - |  |  |
| 110\% - |  |  |
| 100\% - |  |  |
| 90\% - |  |  |
| 80\% | - (95) | - (98) |
| 70\% |  |  |
|  | Up Market Capture | Down Market Capture |
| 10th Percentile | 119.08 | 109.07 |
| 25th Percentile | 116.55 | 105.13 |
| Median | 105.85 | 101.49 |
| 75th Percentile | 95.98 | 96.87 |
| 90th Percentile | 87.04 | 89.16 |
| Pyrford - | 78.27 | 82.05 |

Risk Statistics Rankings vs MSCI EAFE (Net)
Rankings Against Callan Non-US Developed Core Equity (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


## Callan

## Pyrford

## Equity Characteristics Analysis Summary

## Portfolio Characteristics

This graph compares the manager's portfolio characteristics with the range of characteristics for the portfolios which make up the manager's style group. This analysis illustrates whether the manager's current holdings are consistent with other managers employing the same style.

## Portfolio Characteristics Percentile Rankings

Rankings Against Callan Non-US Developed Core Equity as of December 31, 2022


## Sector Weights

The graph below contrasts the manager's sector weights with those of the benchmark and median sector weights across the members of the peer group. The magnitude of sector weight differences from the index and the manager's sector diversification are also shown. Diversification by number and concentration of holdings are also compared to the benchmark and peer group. Issue Diversification represents by count, and Diversification Ratio by percent, the number of holdings that account for half of the portfolio's market value.


## Current Holdings Based Style Analysis <br> Pyrford

As of December 31, 2022
This page analyzes the current investment style of a portfolio utilizing a detailed holdings-based style analysis to determine actual exposures to various regional and style segments of the international/global equity market. The market is segmented quarterly by region and style. The style segments are determined using the "Combined Z Score", based on the eight fundamental factors used in the MSCl stock style scoring system. The upper-left style map illustrates the current market capitalization and style score of the portfolio relative to indices and/or peers. The upper-right style exposure matrix displays the current portfolio and index weights and stock counts (in parentheses) in each region/style segment of the market. The middle chart illustrates the total exposures and stock counts in the three style segments, with a legend showing the total growth, value, and "combined Z" (growth - value) scores. The bottom chart exhibits the sector weights as well as the style weights within each sector.

Style Map vs Callan NonUS Dev Core Eq Holdings as of December 31, 2022


Style Exposure Matrix Holdings as of December 31, 2022

| Europe/ Mid East | $\begin{aligned} & 14.5 \%(9) \\ & 19.5 \%(157) \end{aligned}$ | 23.0\% (14) 17.2\% (127) | $\begin{aligned} & 14.8 \%(10) \\ & 27.3 \%(153) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 52.3 \%(33) \\ 64.0 \%(437) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N. America | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \%(0) \\ & 0.0 \%(0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \% \text { (0) } \\ & 0.0 \%(0) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \%(0) \\ & 0.0 \% ~(0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \%(0) \\ & 0.0 \%(0) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Pacific | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 11.1 \% ~(6) \\ 13.5 \%(137) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.1 \%(9) \\ 9.9 \%(99) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 12.8 \%(7) \\ & 12.7 \%(119) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 39.0 \%(22) \\ 36.0 \%(355) \end{array}$ |
| Emerging | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \% \text { (1) } \\ & \\ & 0.0 \%(0) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5.7\% (4) } \\ & \text { 0.0\% (0) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2.0\% (3) } \\ & \text { 0.0\% (0) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.7 \%(8) \\ & 0.0 \% \quad(0) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 26.6 \%(16) \\ & 33.0 \%(294) \end{aligned}$ | $43.9 \% \text { (27) }$ 27.1\% (226) | $\begin{aligned} & 29.5 \%(20) \\ & 39.9 \%(272) \end{aligned}$ | 100.0\% (63) 100.0\% (792) |
|  | Value | Core | Growth | Total |

## Combined Z-Score Style Distribution

Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Sector Weights Distribution

Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Historical Holdings Based Style Analysis <br> Pyrford <br> For Five Years Ended December 31, 2022

This page analyzes the historical investment style of a portfolio utilizing a detailed holdings-based style analysis to determine average actual exposures to various region and style segments of the international/global equity market. The market is segmented quarterly by region and style. The style segments are determined using the "Combined Z Score", based on the eight fundamental factors used in the MSCl stock style scoring system. The upper-left style map illustrates the average historical market capitalization and style score of the portfolio relative to indices and/or peers. The upper-right style exposure matrix displays the average historical portfolio and index weights and stock counts (in parentheses) in each region/style segment of the market. The next two style exposure charts illustrate the actual quarterly region/style and style only segment exposures of the portfolio through history.

Average Style Map vs Callan NonUS Dev Core Eq
Holdings for Five Years Ended December 31, 2022


## Average Style Exposure Matrix

 Holdings for Five Years Ended December 31, 2022| Europe/ Mid East | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 15.5 \%(10) \\ & 19.0 \%(142) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.6 \%(14) \\ & 18.0 \%(126) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.9 \%(14) \\ & 25.8 \%(175) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 57.0 \%(38) \\ & 62.9 \%(443) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N. America | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \%(0) \\ 0.0 \% \tag{0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6 \%(0) \\ 0.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \% \text { (0) } \\ & 0.0 \%(0) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 0.6 \%(0) \\ 0.0 \% ~(0) \end{array}$ |
| Pacific | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 12.1 \% \text { (8) } \\ 12.3 \%(146) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11.1 \%(7) \\ & 10.6 \%(132) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10.1 \% ~(7) \\ & 14.2 \% ~(153) \end{aligned}$ | $33.2 \% ~(22)$ $37.1 \% ~(431)$ |
| Emerging | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3.9\% (3) } \\ & \\ & 0.0 \%(0) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2.3\% (2) } \\ & \text { 0.0\% (0) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2.9\% (3) } \\ & \text { 0.0\% (0) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9.1 \%(8) \\ & 0.0 \% \quad(0) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31.5 \%(21) \\ & 31.3 \%(288) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 36.6 \%(23) \\ & 28.7 \%(258) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31.9 \% \text { (24) } \\ & 40.0 \% \quad(328) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 100.0 \%(68) \\ & 100.0 \%(874) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Value | Core | Growth | Total |

Pyrford Historical Region/Style Exposures


## Pyrford Historical Style Only Exposures



## Country Allocation

Pyrford VS MSCI EAFE Index

## Country Allocation

The chart below contrasts the portfolio's country allocation with that of the index as of December 31, 2022. This chart is useful because large deviations in country allocation relative to the index are often good predictors of tracking error in the subsequent quarter. To the extent that the portfolio allocation is similar to the index, the portfolio should experience more "index-like" performance. In order to illustrate the performance effect on the portfolio and index of these country allocations, the individual index country returns are also shown.


## Pyrford

## Top 10 Portfolio Holdings Characteristics

as of December 31, 2022

## 10 Largest Holdings

| Stock | Sector | Ending Market Value | Percent of Portfolio | Qtrly Return | Market Capital | Price/ <br> Forecasted Earnings Ratio | Dividend Yield | Forecasted Growth in Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Japan Tobacco Inc Ord | Consumer Staples | \$1,079,343 | 3.3\% | 29.60\% | 40.33 | 11.09 | 5.64\% | 5.12\% |
| Nestle S A Shs Nom New | Consumer Staples | \$913,635 | 2.8\% | 7.74\% | 318.46 | 20.93 | 2.61\% | 8.26\% |
| Roche Hldgs Ag Basel Div Rts Ctf | Health Care | \$866,884 | 2.6\% | (0.95)\% | 220.60 | 13.90 | 3.20\% | 6.30\% |
| Novartis | Health Care | \$822,496 | 2.5\% | 15.52\% | 217.18 | 14.08 | 3.71\% | 3.83\% |
| Mitsubishi Elec Corp Shs | Industrials | \$799,958 | 2.4\% | 11.44\% | 21.38 | 11.90 | 3.05\% | 12.40\% |
| Sanofi Shs | Health Care | \$782,907 | 2.4\% | 20.55\% | 121.53 | 10.46 | 3.71\% | 12.30\% |
| Telenor Asa Shs | Communication Services | \$748,559 | 2.3\% | (3.81)\% | 13.01 | 13.06 | 9.83\% | 7.20\% |
| Kddi | Communication Services | \$744,111 | 2.3\% | 3.24\% | 69.49 | 12.21 | 3.26\% | 4.68\% |
| Sap Se Shs | Information Technology | \$677,073 | 2.1\% | 24.96\% | 126.34 | 17.57 | 2.02\% | (1.10)\% |
| Air Liquide Sa | Materials | \$654,819 | 2.0\% | 24.66\% | 73.96 | 20.75 | 1.99\% | 12.90\% |

10 Best Performers

| Stock | Sector | Ending Market Value | Percent <br> of Portfolio | Qtrly Return | Market Capital | Price/ <br> Forecasted Earnings Ratio | Dividend Yield | Forecasted Growth in Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Koninklijke Vopak NV Rotterd Shs | Energy | \$411,301 | 1.2\% | 39.90\% | 3.72 | 9.71 | 4.50\% | (1.97)\% |
| Haleon Plc Adr | Health Care | \$191,791 | 0.6\% | 37.25\% | 36.94 | 80.81 | 0.00\% | - |
| Aia Group Ltd Com Par Usd 1 | Financials | \$616,411 | 1.9\% | 32.68\% | 131.02 | 17.57 | 1.71\% | 5.55\% |
| Essity Ab | Consumer Staples | \$185,873 | 0.6\% | 31.83\% | 16.81 | 17.70 | 2.56\% | 13.55\% |
| Japan Tobacco Inc Ord | Consumer Staples | \$1,079,343 | 3.3\% | 29.60\% | 40.33 | 11.09 | 5.64\% | 5.12\% |
| Asm Pacific Technology Ltd Ord | Information Technology | \$250,158 | 0.8\% | 29.56\% | 2.94 | 12.19 | 7.01\% | (8.19)\% |
| Imperial Brands Plc Shs | Consumer Staples | \$453,090 | 1.4\% | 27.81\% | 23.35 | 6.94 | 7.75\% | 9.11\% |
| Abc-Mart | Consumer Discretionary | \$555,805 | 1.7\% | 26.92\% | 4.67 | 22.52 | 2.28\% | 22.52\% |
| Rio Tinto Ltd Ord | Materials | \$323,215 | 1.0\% | 26.49\% | 29.31 | 10.85 | 9.33\% | (15.30)\% |
| Sap Se Shs | Information Technology | \$677,073 | 2.1\% | 24.96\% | 126.34 | 17.57 | 2.02\% | (1.10)\% |

10 Worst Performers

| Stock | Sector | Ending Market Value | Percent of Portfolio | Qtrly Return | Market Capital | Price/ Forecasted Earnings Ratio | Dividend Yield | Forecasted Growth in Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pt Telekomunikasi Indo Perse Shs Ser | Communication Services | \$288,303 | 0.9\% | (18.07)\% | 23.86 | 13.33 | 4.00\% | 4.34\% |
| Comfortdelgro Corporation Lt Shs | Industrials | \$477,906 | 1.4\% | (10.79)\% | 1.99 | 12.74 | 4.02\% | 13.75\% |
| Vodafone Group Plc New Shs New | Communication Services | \$517,062 | 1.6\% | (7.02)\% | 27.67 | 8.94 | 9.39\% | 10.73\% |
| Royal Philips NV Shs | Health Care | \$218,674 | 0.7\% | (6.05)\% | 13.29 | 13.20 | 6.07\% | (1.65)\% |
| Sgs Ltd Reg Shs | Industrials | \$187,009 | 0.6\% | (5.85)\% | 17.42 | 22.04 | 3.72\% | 6.08\% |
| Merida Industry Co. | Consumer Discretionary | \$42,425 | 0.1\% | (4.43)\% | 1.62 | 11.63 | 4.79\% | (2.93)\% |
| Wolters Kluwer | Industrials | \$352,120 | 1.1\% | (4.07)\% | 26.87 | 22.75 | 1.61\% | 12.27\% |
| Telenor Asa Shs | Communication Services | \$748,559 | 2.3\% | (3.81)\% | 13.01 | 13.06 | 9.83\% | 7.20\% |
| Endeavour Group Ltd/Australi | Consumer Staples | \$281,953 | 0.9\% | (3.27)\% | 7.80 | 20.54 | 3.43\% | 7.15\% |
| Roche Hldgs Ag Basel Div Rts Ctf | Health Care | \$866,884 | 2.6\% | (0.95)\% | 220.60 | 13.90 | 3.20\% | 6.30\% |

## AQR

## Period Ended December 31, 2022

## Investment Philosophy

Returns prior to 9/30/2016 are linked to a composite history.

## Quarterly Summary and Highlights

- AQR's portfolio posted a $20.04 \%$ return for the quarter placing it in the 9 percentile of the Callan International Small Cap group for the quarter and in the 9 percentile for the last year.
- AQR's portfolio outperformed the MSCI EAFE Small Cap Index by $4.24 \%$ for the quarter and outperformed the MSCI EAFE Small Cap Index for the year by 10.50\%.


## Quarterly Asset Growth

| Beginning Market Value | $\$ 15,242,790$ <br> Net New Investment |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$ 0$ |  |
| Investment Gains/(Losses) | $\$ 3,054,047$ |
| Ending Market Value | $\$ 18,296,838$ |

Performance vs Callan International Small Cap (Gross)


Relative Returns vs MSCI EAFE Small Cap Index


Callan International Small Cap (Gross) Annualized Seven Year Risk vs Return


## AQR

## Return Analysis Summary

## Return Analysis

The graphs below analyze the manager's return on both a risk-adjusted and unadjusted basis. The first chart illustrates the manager's ranking over different periods versus the appropriate style group. The second chart shows the historical quarterly and cumulative manager returns versus the appropriate market benchmark. The last chart illustrates the manager's ranking relative to their style using various risk-adjusted return measures.

Performance vs Callan International Small Cap (Gross)


Cumulative and Quarterly Relative Returns vs MSCI EAFE Small Cap Index


Risk Adjusted Return Measures vs MSCI EAFE Small Cap Index
Rankings Against Callan International Small Cap (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


## AQR

## Risk Analysis Summary

## Risk Analysis

The graphs below analyze the risk or variation of a manager's return pattern. The first scatter chart illustrates the relationship, called Excess Return Ratio, between excess return and tracking error relative to the benchmark. The second chart shows Up and Down Market Capture. The last two charts show the ranking of the manager's risk statistics versus the peer group.

Risk Analysis vs Callan International Small Cap (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


Market Capture vs MSCI EAFE Small Cap Index
Rankings Against Callan International Small Cap (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


Risk Statistics Rankings vs MSCI EAFE Small Cap Index Rankings Against Callan International Small Cap (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022



Callan

## AQR

## Equity Characteristics Analysis Summary

## Portfolio Characteristics

This graph compares the manager's portfolio characteristics with the range of characteristics for the portfolios which make up the manager's style group. This analysis illustrates whether the manager's current holdings are consistent with other managers employing the same style.

Portfolio Characteristics Percentile Rankings Rankings Against Callan International Small Cap as of December 31, 2022


## Sector Weights

The graph below contrasts the manager's sector weights with those of the benchmark and median sector weights across the members of the peer group. The magnitude of sector weight differences from the index and the manager's sector diversification are also shown. Diversification by number and concentration of holdings are also compared to the benchmark and peer group. Issue Diversification represents by count, and Diversification Ratio by percent, the number of holdings that account for half of the portfolio's market value.


## Current Holdings Based Style Analysis <br> AQR

## As of December 31, 2022

This page analyzes the current investment style of a portfolio utilizing a detailed holdings-based style analysis to determine actual exposures to various regional and style segments of the international/global equity market. The market is segmented quarterly by region and style. The style segments are determined using the "Combined Z Score", based on the eight fundamental factors used in the MSCl stock style scoring system. The upper-left style map illustrates the current market capitalization and style score of the portfolio relative to indices and/or peers. The upper-right style exposure matrix displays the current portfolio and index weights and stock counts (in parentheses) in each region/style segment of the market. The middle chart illustrates the total exposures and stock counts in the three style segments, with a legend showing the total growth, value, and "combined Z" (growth - value) scores. The bottom chart exhibits the sector weights as well as the style weights within each sector.

Style Map vs Callan Intl Small Cap Holdings as of December 31, 2022


Style Exposure Matrix Holdings as of December 31, 2022

| Europe/ Mid East | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 37.3 \%(109) \\ 15.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0 \%(43) \\ 19.5 \%(398) \end{gathered}$ | 2.4\% (10) 17.6\% (329) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 52.6 \%(162) \\ 52.2 \%(1059) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N. America | $\begin{align*} & 0.0 \% ~(0) \\ & 0.0 \% ~(1) \tag{9} \end{align*}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.0 \% ~(0) \\ 0.1 \% ~(8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \%(0) \\ & 0.0 \% ~(0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \%(0) \\ 0.1 \% \end{array}$ |
| Pacific | $\begin{gathered} \hline 33.4 \%(102) \\ 14.4 \%(367) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 10.8 \%(49) \\ 18.0 \%(433) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.2 \%(14) \\ & 15.2 \%(372) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $47.4 \% \text { (165) }$ 47.6\% (1172) |
| Emerging | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.0 \% ~(0) \\ 0.0 \% ~(1) ~ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \%(0) \\ 0.0 \%(0) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \% \text { (0) } \\ & 0.1 \%(2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \%(0) \\ 0.1 \% \end{array}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} \hline 70.7 \% \text { (211) } \\ 29.6 \%(701) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 23.8 \% \text { (92) } \\ & 37.5 \%(839) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.5 \%(24) \\ & 32.9 \%(703) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 100.0 \%(327) \\ & 100.0 \%(2243) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Value | Core | Growth | Total |

## Combined Z-Score Style Distribution

Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Sector Weights Distribution

Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Historical Holdings Based Style Analysis AQR <br> For Five Years Ended December 31, 2022

This page analyzes the historical investment style of a portfolio utilizing a detailed holdings-based style analysis to determine average actual exposures to various region and style segments of the international/global equity market. The market is segmented quarterly by region and style. The style segments are determined using the "Combined Z Score", based on the eight fundamental factors used in the MSCl stock style scoring system. The upper-left style map illustrates the average historical market capitalization and style score of the portfolio relative to indices and/or peers. The upper-right style exposure matrix displays the average historical portfolio and index weights and stock counts (in parentheses) in each region/style segment of the market. The next two style exposure charts illustrate the actual quarterly region/style and style only segment exposures of the portfolio through history.

## Average Style Map vs Callan Intl Small Cap Holdings for Five Years Ended December 31, 2022



## Average Style Exposure Matrix

 Holdings for Five Years Ended December 31, 2022| Europe/ Mid East | 23.7\% (95) 14.3\% (320) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20.6 \%(89) \\ & 21.4 \%(401) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 12.0 \%(46) \\ & 20.4 \%(330) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 56.3 \%(230) \\ & 56.1 \%(1051) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N . A m e r i c a$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \% ~(0) \\ & 0.0 \% ~(1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \% ~(0) \\ & 0.0 \% ~(2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \%(0) \\ 0.0 \%(0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \% ~(0) \\ 0.0 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Pacific | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.7 \%(125) \\ 13.0 \%(425) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 14.1 \%(86) \\ & 15.6 \%(433) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.8 \%(47) \\ & 15.3 \% \text { (403) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.7 \% \text { (258) } \\ 43.8 \%(1261) \end{gathered}$ |
| Emerging | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 0.0 \%(0) \\ 0.0 \%(0) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 0.0 \% ~(0) \\ 0.0 \% ~(1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \% \quad(0) \\ & 0.0 \% \quad(0) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \% ~(0) \\ 0.0 \% ~(1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $45.4 \% \quad(220)$ 27.3\% (746) | $34.7 \%(175)$ $37.0 \% \text { (837) }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 19.9 \% \text { (93) } \\ & 35.7 \%(733) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 100.0 \% \text { (488) } \\ & \text { 100.0\% (2316) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Value | Core | Growth | Total |

## AQR Historical Region/Style Exposures



## AQR Historical Style Only Exposures



## Country Allocation

## AQR VS MSCI EAFE Small Cap Index

## Country Allocation

The chart below contrasts the portfolio's country allocation with that of the index as of December 31, 2022. This chart is useful because large deviations in country allocation relative to the index are often good predictors of tracking error in the subsequent quarter. To the extent that the portfolio allocation is similar to the index, the portfolio should experience more "index-like" performance. In order to illustrate the performance effect on the portfolio and index of these country allocations, the individual index country returns are also shown.

Country Weights as of December 31, 2022

Index Rtns
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21.53\%
$11.21 \%$
23.55\%
17.66\%
6.28\%
22.27\%
19.45\%
18.31\%
18.85\%
9.87\%
20.04\%
15.79\%

## AQR

## Top 10 Portfolio Holdings Characteristics

as of December 31, 2022

## 10 Largest Holdings

| Stock | Sector | Ending Market Value | Percent of Portfolio | Qtrly <br> Return | Market Capital | Price/ <br> Forecasted Earnings Ratio | Dividend Yield | Forecasted Growth in Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nippon Steel Trading | Industrials | \$287,119 | 1.6\% | 101.51\% | 2.26 | 10.04 | 3.90\% | 8.37\% |
| Nishi-Nippon Finl Hldgs | Financials | \$262,825 | 1.4\% | 40.84\% | 1.11 | 5.41 | 3.89\% | (2.76)\% |
| Hokuhoku Finl Group Inc Shs | Financials | \$251,029 | 1.4\% | 25.47\% | 0.95 | 5.71 | 3.58\% | 8.73\% |
| Ashikaga Holdings | Financials | \$249,939 | 1.4\% | 29.93\% | 2.76 | 7.11 | 3.29\% | (0.16)\% |
| Saras Raffinerie Sarde Spa Shs | Energy | \$240,189 | 1.3\% | 28.24\% | 1.17 | 7.21 | 0.00\% | (27.61)\% |
| Kobe Steel Ltd Shs | Materials | \$235,396 | 1.3\% | 18.56\% | 1.93 | 4.70 | 7.01\% | (4.40)\% |
| Ssab Svenskt Stal B | Materials | \$231,301 | 1.3\% | 20.67\% | 3.77 | 7.31 | 9.69\% | 107.46\% |
| Jb Hi-Fi | Consumer Discretionary | \$230,165 | 1.3\% | 3.68\% | 3.11 | 11.44 | 7.53\% | (6.37)\% |
| Pacific Basin Bulk Shipping Shs | Industrials | \$229,167 | 1.3\% | 8.74\% | 1.78 | 3.13 | 228.95\% | (49.05)\% |
| Bca.Ppo.Emilia Romagna | Financials | \$227,309 | 1.2\% | 32.20\% | 2.90 | 5.21 | 3.13\% | 18.99\% |

10 Best Performers

| Stock | Sector | Ending Market Value | Percent of Portfolio | Qtrly Return | Market Capital | Price/ <br> Forecasted Earnings Ratio | Dividend Yield | Forecasted Growth in Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health \& Happiness Int HId L Shs | Consumer Staples | \$72,254 | 0.4\% | 126.42\% | 1.38 | 9.70 | 2.52\% | (3.33)\% |
| Nippon Steel Trading | Industrials | \$287,119 | 1.6\% | 101.51\% | 2.26 | 10.04 | 3.90\% | 8.37\% |
| Wilhs.Wilhelmsen | Industrials | \$5,508 | 0.0\% | 98.96\% | 4.17 | 5.65 | 1.36\% | 28.09\% |
| Dampskibsselskabet Norden A/ Shs | Industrials | \$200,533 | 1.1\% | 69.60\% | 2.22 | 5.91 | 14.35\% | 72.14\% |
| On The Beach Group | Consumer Discretionary | \$82,543 | 0.5\% | 67.21\% | 0.31 | 11.97 | 0.00\% | 33.73\% |
| Teamviewer | Information Technology | \$106,566 | 0.6\% | 63.21\% | 2.39 | 16.50 | 0.00\% | 41.98\% |
| Cargotec Oyj Shs | Industrials | \$13,699 | 0.1\% | 61.60\% | 2.43 | 9.81 | 2.61\% | 33.29\% |
| Marks \& Spencer Group | Consumer Staples | \$59,712 | 0.3\% | 60.41\% | 2.91 | 8.92 | 0.00\% | (3.05)\% |
| Virgin Money Uk Plc Shs | Financials | \$44,716 | 0.2\% | 57.87\% | 3.01 | 6.12 | 5.49\% | 15.09\% |
| Regis Resources NI Shs | Materials | \$13,865 | 0.1\% | 57.32\% | 1.05 | 14.11 | 0.97\% | (6.09)\% |

## 10 Worst Performers

| Stock | Sector | Ending Market Value | Percent of <br> Portfolio | Qtrly <br> Return | Market Capital | Price/ <br> Forecasted Earnings Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dividend } \\ \text { Yield } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Forecasted Growth in Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capita Plc Shs | Information Technology | \$74,877 | 0.4\% | (52.89)\% | 0.49 | 5.92 | 0.00\% | 39.60\% |
| Capital \& Counties Properties | Real Estate | \$6,543 | 0.0\% | (47.52)\% | 1.09 | 49.23 | 1.69\% | (2.00)\% |
| Delek Group Ltd Israel Shs | Energy | \$39,343 | 0.2\% | (31.47)\% | 2.01 | 5.68 | 0.00\% | (4.50)\% |
| Kanamoto | Industrials | \$22,329 | 0.1\% | (28.03)\% | 0.66 | 8.72 | 3.31\% | (6.11)\% |
| Grieg Seafood | Consumer Staples | \$97,525 | 0.5\% | (27.49)\% | 0.91 | 11.95 | 3.82\% | 4.06\% |
| Nsi N V Shs | Real Estate | \$33,100 | 0.2\% | (25.74)\% | 0.50 | 10.96 | 9.29\% | (4.30)\% |
| Manulife Us Real Estate Inv.Tst. | Real Estate | \$11,645 | 0.1\% | (24.49)\% | 0.53 | 7.32 | 14.33\% | (14.13)\% |
| Esr Reit Units | Real Estate | \$10,020 | 0.1\% | (20.96)\% | 1.85 | 13.14 | 7.81\% | (2.03)\% |
| 888 Holdings Plc Shs | Consumer Discretionary | \$36,588 | 0.2\% | (20.56)\% | 0.47 | 6.18 | 3.93\% | 3.60\% |
| Koninklijke Bam Groep NV Shs | Industrials | \$77,938 | 0.4\% | (20.33)\% | 0.65 | 6.33 | 0.00\% | (12.29)\% |

## DFA Emerging Markets

## Period Ended December 31, 2022

## Investment Philosophy

Returns prior to 6/30/2013 are linked to a composite history.

## Quarterly Summary and Highlights

- DFA Emerging Markets's portfolio posted a $10.63 \%$ return for the quarter placing it in the 51 percentile of the Callan Emerging Markets Equity Mut Funds group for the quarter and in the 9 percentile for the last year.
- DFA Emerging Markets's portfolio outperformed the MSCI Emerging Markets Index by $0.93 \%$ for the quarter and outperformed the MSCI Emerging Markets Index for the year by $4.03 \%$.


## Quarterly Asset Growth

| Beginning Market Value | $\$ 18,537,313$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net New Investment | $\$ 0$ |
| Investment Gains/(Losses) | $\$ 1,950,999$ |
| Ending Market Value | $\$ 20,488,312$ |

Performance vs Callan Emerging Markets Equity Mut Funds (Gross)


Relative Returns vs MSCI Emerging Markets Index


Callan Emerging Markets Equity Mut Funds (Gross) Annualized Seven Year Risk vs Return


## DFA Emerging Markets

## Return Analysis Summary

## Return Analysis

The graphs below analyze the manager's return on both a risk-adjusted and unadjusted basis. The first chart illustrates the manager's ranking over different periods versus the appropriate style group. The second chart shows the historical quarterly and cumulative manager returns versus the appropriate market benchmark. The last chart illustrates the manager's ranking relative to their style using various risk-adjusted return measures.

Performance vs Callan Emerging Markets Equity Mut Funds (Gross)


Cumulative and Quarterly Relative Returns vs MSCI Emerging Markets Index


Risk Adjusted Return Measures vs MSCI Emerging Markets Index
Rankings Against Callan Emerging Markets Equity Mut Funds (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


## DFA Emerging Markets

## Risk Analysis Summary

## Risk Analysis

The graphs below analyze the risk or variation of a manager's return pattern. The first scatter chart illustrates the relationship, called Excess Return Ratio, between excess return and tracking error relative to the benchmark. The second chart shows Up and Down Market Capture. The last two charts show the ranking of the manager's risk statistics versus the peer group.

Risk Analysis vs Callan Emerging Markets Equity Mut Funds (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


Market Capture vs MSCI Emerging Markets Index
Rankings Against Callan Emerging Markets Equity Mut Funds (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


Risk Statistics Rankings vs MSCI Emerging Markets Index
Rankings Against Callan Emerging Markets Equity Mut Funds (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


## Callan

## DFA Emerging Markets

## Equity Characteristics Analysis Summary

## Portfolio Characteristics

This graph compares the manager's portfolio characteristics with the range of characteristics for the portfolios which make up the manager's style group. This analysis illustrates whether the manager's current holdings are consistent with other managers employing the same style.

## Portfolio Characteristics Percentile Rankings

Rankings Against Callan Emerging Markets Equity Mut Funds
as of December 31, 2022


## Sector Weights

The graph below contrasts the manager's sector weights with those of the benchmark and median sector weights across the members of the peer group. The magnitude of sector weight differences from the index and the manager's sector diversification are also shown. Diversification by number and concentration of holdings are also compared to the benchmark and peer group. Issue Diversification represents by count, and Diversification Ratio by percent, the number of holdings that account for half of the portfolio's market value.


## Current Holdings Based Style Analysis <br> DFA Emerging Markets <br> As of December 31, 2022

This page analyzes the current investment style of a portfolio utilizing a detailed holdings-based style analysis to determine actual exposures to various regional and style segments of the international/global equity market. The market is segmented quarterly by region and style. The style segments are determined using the "Combined Z Score", based on the eight fundamental factors used in the MSCl stock style scoring system. The upper-left style map illustrates the current market capitalization and style score of the portfolio relative to indices and/or peers. The upper-right style exposure matrix displays the current portfolio and index weights and stock counts (in parentheses) in each region/style segment of the market. The middle chart illustrates the total exposures and stock counts in the three style segments, with a legend showing the total growth, value, and "combined Z" (growth - value) scores. The bottom chart exhibits the sector weights as well as the style weights within each sector.

Style Map vs Callan Emerging Equity MF Holdings as of December 31, 2022


Style Exposure Matrix Holdings as of December 31, 2022

| Europe/ Mid East | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \% ~(0) \\ & 0.0 \% ~(0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \%(0) \\ & 0.0 \% ~(0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \%(0) \\ 0.0 \%(0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \%(0) \\ 0.0 \% \quad(0) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| N. America | 0.0\% (1) | 0.1\% (10) | 0.0\% (0) | 0.1\% (11) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (0) |
| Pacific | 0.0\% (12) | 0.1\% (34) | 0.1\% (21) | 0.2\% (67) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0\% (0) | 0.0\% (0) | 0.1\% (1) | 0.1\% (1) |
| Emerging | 36.3\% (2464) | 33.8\% (2247) | 29.6\% (1506) | 99.7\% (6217) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 26.2\% (419) | 33.6\% (410) | 40.1\% (472) | 99.9\% (1301) |
| Total | 36.3\% (2477) | 34.0\% (2291) | 29.7\% (1527) | 100.0\% (6295) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 26.2\% (419) | 33.6\% (410) | 40.2\% (473) | 100.0\% (1302) |
|  | Value | Core | Growth | Total |

## Combined Z-Score Style Distribution

Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Sector Weights Distribution

Holdings as of December 31, 2022


## Historical Holdings Based Style Analysis DFA Emerging Markets <br> For Five Years Ended December 31, 2022

This page analyzes the historical investment style of a portfolio utilizing a detailed holdings-based style analysis to determine average actual exposures to various region and style segments of the international/global equity market. The market is segmented quarterly by region and style. The style segments are determined using the "Combined Z Score", based on the eight fundamental factors used in the MSCl stock style scoring system. The upper-left style map illustrates the average historical market capitalization and style score of the portfolio relative to indices and/or peers. The upper-right style exposure matrix displays the average historical portfolio and index weights and stock counts (in parentheses) in each region/style segment of the market. The next two style exposure charts illustrate the actual quarterly region/style and style only segment exposures of the portfolio through history.

Average Style Map vs Callan Emerging Equity MF
Holdings for Five Years Ended December 31, 2022


## Average Style Exposure Matrix

 Holdings for Five Years Ended December 31, 2022DFA Emerging Markets Historical Region/Style Exposures


DFA Emerging Markets Historical Style Only Exposures


## Country Allocation

## DFA Emerging Markets VS MSCI Emerging Markets Index

## Country Allocation

The chart below contrasts the portfolio's country allocation with that of the index as of December 31, 2022. This chart is useful because large deviations in country allocation relative to the index are often good predictors of tracking error in the subsequent quarter. To the extent that the portfolio allocation is similar to the index, the portfolio should experience more "index-like" performance. In order to illustrate the performance effect on the portfolio and index of these country allocations, the individual index country returns are also shown.

Country Weights as of December 31, 2022


## DFA Emerging Markets

## Top 10 Portfolio Holdings Characteristics

as of December 31, 2022

## 10 Largest Holdings

| Stock | Sector | Ending Market Value | Percent of Portfolio | Qtrly Return | Market Capital | Price/ <br> Forecasted Earnings Ratio | Dividend Yield | Forecasted Growth in Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tencent Holdings Limited Shs Par Hkd | Communication Services | \$601,760 | 2.9\% | 32.08\% | 409.48 | 20.55 | 0.48\% | 10.10\% |
| Samsung Electronics Co Ltd Ord | Information Technology | \$589,555 | 2.9\% | 4.87\% | 261.07 | 14.84 | 2.61\% | (5.00)\% |
| Taiwan Semicond Manufac Co L Shs | Information Technology | \$538,883 | 2.6\% | 10.41\% | 378.38 | 12.17 | 2.45\% | 20.84\% |
| Taiwan Semiconductor Mfg Co Ltd Spon | Information Technology | \$233,629 | 1.1\% | 14.36\% | 378.38 | 12.17 | 2.45\% | 20.84\% |
| China Construction Bank Shs H | Financials | \$207,259 | 1.0\% | 26.74\% | 150.63 | 3.28 | 9.14\% | 5.16\% |
| Vale Sa Shs | Materials | \$188,073 | 0.9\% | 26.82\% | 80.45 | 6.89 | 6.44\% | (10.20)\% |
| Alibaba Group HIdg Ltd Sponsored Ads | Consumer Discretionary | \$171,054 | 0.8\% | 16.66\% | 233.22 | 10.33 | 0.00\% | 2.59\% |
| Ping An Insurance H | Financials | \$167,802 | 0.8\% | 36.12\% | 49.29 | 5.72 | 6.35\% | 9.23\% |
| Reliance Industries Ltd Shs Demateri | Energy | \$140,212 | 0.7\% | 5.34\% | 202.30 | 20.97 | 0.31\% | 18.90\% |
| Infosys Technologies | Information Technology | \$128,682 | 0.6\% | 6.06\% | 76.48 | 23.25 | 2.15\% | 12.40\% |

10 Best Performers

| Stock | Sector | Ending Market Value | Percent of Portfolio | Qtrly Return | Market Capital | Price/ <br> Forecasted <br> Earnings <br> Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dividend } \\ & \text { Yield } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Forecasted Growth in Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bayan Resources | Energy | \$2,638 | 0.0\% | 234.04\% | 44.97 | 28.12 | 2.09\% | 51.85\% |
| Koza Altin Isletmeleri | Materials | \$2,582 | 0.0\% | 206.26\% | 4.60 | 14.84 | 3.11\% | 47.34\% |
| Ege Endustri | Consumer Discretionary | \$1,935 | 0.0\% | 171.81\% | 1.04 | 28.29 | 0.87\% | 3.88\% |
| Global Yatirim Holding A S Shs | Financials | \$513 | 0.0\% | 167.34\% | 0.39 | 26.09 | 0.00\% | - |
| Konya Cimento | Materials | \$512 | 0.0\% | 162.89\% | 0.89 | 138.08 | 0.00\% | - |
| Gcl New Energy HIdgs Ltd Shs | Utilities | \$375 | 0.0\% | 155.77\% | 0.19 | (7.13) | 0.00\% | 2.15\% |
| Daou Data System Corp. | Financials | \$3,147 | 0.0\% | 149.24\% | 0.94 | 13.56 | 0.97\% | 23.39\% |
| China East Education Holdings | Consumer Discretionary | \$2,933 | 0.0\% | 146.92\% | 1.74 | 20.46 | 3.93\% | (8.27)\% |
| Tuniu Corp Sponsored Ads Cl | Consumer Discretionary | \$119 | 0.0\% | 146.12\% | 0.18 | (6.54) | 0.00\% | - |
| Hcity | Consumer Discretionary | \$857 | 0.0\% | 143.64\% | 0.18 | (86.00) | 0.00\% | (33.60)\% |

10 Worst Performers

| Stock | Sector | Ending Market Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Portfolio } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Qtrly Return | Market Capital | Price/ Forecasted Earnings Ratio | Dividend Yield | Forecasted Growth in Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eczacibasi Yatirim HIdg | Industrials | \$96 | 0.0\% | - | 0.99 | 46.08 | 0.87\% | 24.69\% |
| Cty.Ldge.Htls. | Consumer Discretionary | \$294 | 0.0\% | (99.33)\% | 0.18 | 37.50 | 0.00\% | - |
| China Tianbao Group Development | Industrials | \$18 | 0.0\% | (89.78)\% | 0.03 | (5.00) | 0.00\% | - |
| Gome Elctrcl Applins Hidgs L Shs | Consumer Discretionary | \$919 | 0.0\% | (88.71)\% | 0.50 | (0.74) | 0.00\% | (28.57)\% |
| Yincheng International Holding | Real Estate | \$14 | 0.0\% | (83.27)\% | 0.06 | 5.86 | 0.00\% | (23.86)\% |
| Pujiang International Group | Industrials | \$23 | 0.0\% | (77.65)\% | 0.06 | 5.92 | 0.00\% | 31.16\% |
| Steinhoff Intl Hidgs Ltd Shs | Consumer Discretionary | \$197 | 0.0\% | (69.39)\% | 0.13 | (0.26) | 0.00\% | - |
| Honworld Group | Consumer Staples | \$80 | 0.0\% | (68.80)\% | 0.07 | (2.09) | 8.03\% | - |
| Galaxy Scdr.Hdg. | Utilities | \$5 | 0.0\% | (68.34)\% | 0.01 | (2.33) | 0.00\% | - |
| Ace Hardware Indonesia Tbk P Shs | Consumer Discretionary | \$1,885 | 0.0\% | (59.40)\% | 0.55 | 15.00 | 4.15\% | (17.09)\% |

f

## Metropolitan West

## Period Ended December 31, 2022

## Investment Philosophy

Metropolitan West Asset Management (MWAM) attempts to add value by limiting duration, managing the yield curve, rotating among bond market sectors and using proprietary quantitative valuation techniques.

## Quarterly Summary and Highlights

- Metropolitan West's portfolio posted a $2.11 \%$ return for the quarter placing it in the 44 percentile of the Callan Core Plus Fixed Income group for the quarter and in the 62 percentile for the last year.
- Metropolitan West's portfolio outperformed the Bloomberg Aggregate Index by $0.24 \%$ for the quarter and underperformed the Bloomberg Aggregate Index for the year by $0.47 \%$.


## Quarterly Asset Growth

| Beginning Market Value | $\$ 80,328,963$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net New Investment | $\$ 0$ |
| Investment Gains/(Losses) | $\$ 1,698,747$ |
| Ending Market Value | $\$ 82,027,710$ |

Performance vs Callan Core Plus Fixed Income (Gross)


Relative Returns vs Bloomberg Aggregate Index


Callan Core Plus Fixed Income (Gross) Annualized Seven Year Risk vs Return


## Metropolitan West

## Return Analysis Summary

## Return Analysis

The graphs below analyze the manager's return on both a risk-adjusted and unadjusted basis. The first chart illustrates the manager's ranking over different periods versus the appropriate style group. The second chart shows the historical quarterly and cumulative manager returns versus the appropriate market benchmark. The last chart illustrates the manager's ranking relative to their style using various risk-adjusted return measures.

Performance vs Callan Core Plus Fixed Income (Gross)


Cumulative and Quarterly Relative Returns vs Bloomberg Aggregate Index


Risk Adjusted Return Measures vs Bloomberg Aggregate Index
Rankings Against Callan Core Plus Fixed Income (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


## Metropolitan West

## Risk Analysis Summary

## Risk Analysis

The graphs below analyze the risk or variation of a manager's return pattern. The first scatter chart illustrates the relationship, called Excess Return Ratio, between excess return and tracking error relative to the benchmark. The second chart shows tracking error patterns versus the benchmark over time. The last two charts show the ranking of the manager's risk statistics versus the peer group.

## Risk Analysis vs Callan Core Plus Fixed Income (Gross)

Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


Rolling 12 Quarter Tracking Error vs Bloomberg Aggregate Index


Risk Statistics Rankings vs Bloomberg Aggregate Index
Rankings Against Callan Core Plus Fixed Income (Gross)
Seven Years Ended December 31, 2022


## Metropolitan West

## Bond Characteristics Analysis Summary

## Portfolio Characteristics

This graph compares the manager's portfolio characteristics with the range of characteristics for the portfolios which make up the manager's style group. This analysis illustrates whether the manager's current holdings are consistent with other managers employing the same style.

## Fixed Income Portfolio Characteristics

Rankings Against Callan Core Plus Fixed Income as of December 31, 2022


## Sector Allocation and Quality Ratings

The first graph compares the manager's sector allocation with the average allocation across all the members of the manager's style. The second graph compares the manager's weighted average quality rating with the range of quality ratings for the style.



## Metropolitan West

## Portfolio Characteristics Summary <br> As of December 31, 2022

## Portfolio Structure Comparison

The charts below compare the structure of the portfolio to that of the index from the three perspectives that have the greatest influence on return. The first chart compares the two portfolios across sectors. The second chart compares the duration distribution. The last chart compares the distribution across quality ratings.




## Real Estate

## Period Ended December 31, 2022

## Quarterly Summary and Highlights

- Real Estate's portfolio posted a (4.44)\% return for the quarter placing it in the 3 percentile of the Callan Real Estate ODCE group for the quarter and in the 54 percentile for the last year.
- Real Estate's portfolio outperformed the NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr by $0.53 \%$ for the quarter and outperformed the NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr for the year by $0.50 \%$.


## Quarterly Asset Growth

| Beginning Market Value <br> Net New Investment | $\$ 39,188,208$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| \$0 |  |

## Performance vs Callan Real Estate ODCE (Gross)




Callan Real Estate ODCE (Gross) Annualized One and Three-Quarter Year Risk vs Return


## Real Estate

## Diversification Analysis as of December 31, 2022

## Diversification Analysis

The following charts provide information on the diversification of the portfolio with regards to both Geographic Region and Property Type. Similar information is provided on the relevant market index for comparison.

Diversification by Geographic Region as of December 31, 2022


Diversification by Property Type as of December 31, 2022


## Clarion Lion Fund

## Period Ended December 31, 2022

## Investment Philosophy

The Lion Properties Fund is as income oriented, core, diversified fund with a research driven strategy comprising three primary elements: Returns prior to $3 / 31 / 2021$ are linked to the fund $s$ history.

## Quarterly Summary and Highlights

- Clarion Lion Fund's portfolio posted a (5.12)\% return for the quarter placing it in the 26 percentile of the Callan Real Estate ODCE group for the quarter and in the 11 percentile for the last year.
- Clarion Lion Fund's portfolio underperformed the NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr by $0.16 \%$ for the quarter and outperformed the NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr for the year by $2.24 \%$.


## Quarterly Asset Growth

| Beginning Market Value | $\$ 20,542,986$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net New Investment <br> Investment Gains/(Losses) | $\$ 0$ |
| Ending Market Value | $\$ 19,436,528$ |

Performance vs Callan Real Estate ODCE (Gross)


Relative Returns vs NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr


## Cumulative Returns vs NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr



## Clarion Lion Fund

Diversification Analysis as of December 31, 2022

## Diversification Analysis

The following charts provide information on the diversification of the portfolio with regards to both Geographic Region and Property Type. Similar information is provided on the relevant market index for comparison.

Diversification by Geographic Region as of December 31, 2022


Diversification by Property Type as of December 31, 2022


## Morgan Stanley

## Period Ended December 31, 2022

## Investment Philosophy

The overall strategy of Prime Property Fund is to acquire and own well located, high quality, income-producing commercial real estate in markets with proven investor demand on resale. The Fund is diversified across property types and geographic regions and targets properties with high occupancy levels to provide a relatively stable income component. Returns prior to 6/30/2021 are linked to the fund s history.

## Quarterly Summary and Highlights

- Morgan Stanley's portfolio posted a (3.69)\% return for the quarter placing it in the 2 percentile of the Callan Real Estate ODCE group for the quarter and in the 75 percentile for the last year.
- Morgan Stanley's portfolio outperformed the NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr by $1.27 \%$ for the quarter and underperformed the NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr for the year by $1.33 \%$.


## Quarterly Asset Growth

Beginning Market Value
\$18,645,222
Net New Investment
Investment Gains/(Losses)
\$-688,305
Ending Market Value
\$17,956,916

Performance vs Callan Real Estate ODCE (Gross)


Relative Returns vs NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr


## Cumulative Returns vs NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr



## Morgan Stanley

Diversification Analysis as of December 31, 2022

## Diversification Analysis

The following charts provide information on the diversification of the portfolio with regards to both Geographic Region and
Property Type. Similar information is provided on the relevant market index for comparison.

Diversification by Geographic Region as of December 31, 2022


Diversification by Property Type as of December 31, 2022

!

## Risk/Reward Statistics

The risk statistics used in this report examine performance characteristics of a manager or a portfolio relative to a benchmark (market indicator) which assumes to represent overall movements in the asset class being considered. The main unit of analysis is the excess return, which is the portfolio return minus the return on a risk free asset ( 3 month T-Bill).

Alpha measures a portfolio's return in excess of the market return adjusted for risk. It is a measure of the manager's contribution to performance with reference to security selection. A positive alpha indicates that a portfolio was positively rewarded for the residual risk which was taken for that level of market exposure.

Beta measures the sensitivity of rates of portfolio returns to movements in the market index. A portfolio's beta measures the expected change in return per $1 \%$ change in the return on the market. If a beta of a portfolio is 1.5 , a 1 percent increase in the return on the market will result, on average, in a 1.5 percent increase in the return on the portfolio. The converse would also be true.

Downside Risk stems from the desire to differentiate between "good risk" (upside volatility) and "bad risk" (downside volatility). Whereas standard deviation punishes both upside and downside volatility, downside risk measures only the standard deviation of returns below the target. Returns above the target are assigned a deviation of zero. Both the frequency and magnitude of underperformance affect the amount of downside risk.

Excess Return Ratio is a measure of risk adjusted relative return. This ratio captures the amount of active management performance (value added relative to an index) per unit of active management risk (tracking error against the index.) It is calculated by dividing the manager's annualized cumulative excess return relative to the index by the standard deviation of the individual quarterly excess returns. The Excess Return Ratio can be interpreted as the manager's active risk/reward tradeoff for diverging from the index when the index is mandated to be the "riskless" market position.

Information Ratio measures the manager's market risk-adjusted excess return per unit of residual risk relative to a benchmark. It is computed by dividing alpha by the residual risk over a given time period. Assuming all other factors being equal, managers with lower residual risk achieve higher values in the information ratio. Managers with higher information ratios will add value relative to the benchmark more reliably and consistently.

R-Squared indicates the extent to which the variability of the portfolio returns are explained by market action. It can also be thought of as measuring the diversification relative to the appropriate benchmark. An r-squared value of .75 indicates that $75 \%$ of the fluctuation in a portfolio return is explained by market action. An r-squared of 1.0 indicates that a portfolio's returns are entirely related to the market and it is not influenced by other factors. An r-squared of zero indicates that no relationship exists between the portfolio's return and the market.

Relative Standard Deviation is a simple measure of a manager's risk (volatility) relative to a benchmark. It is calculated by dividing the manager's standard deviation of returns by the benchmark's standard deviation of returns. A relative standard deviation of 1.20 , for example, means the manager has exhibited $20 \%$ more risk than the benchmark over that time period. A ratio of .80 would imply $20 \%$ less risk. This ratio is especially useful when analyzing the risk of investment grade fixed-income products where actual historical durations are not available. By using this relative risk measure over rolling time periods one can illustrate the "implied" historical duration patterns of the portfolio versus the benchmark.

Residual Portfolio Risk is the unsystematic risk of a fund, the portion of the total risk unique to the fund (manager) itself and not related to the overall market. This reflects the "bets" which the manager places in that particular asset market. These bets may reflect emphasis in particular sectors, maturities (for bonds), or other issue specific factors which the manager considers a good investment opportunity. Diversification of the portfolio will reduce or eliminate the residual risk of that portfolio.

## Risk/Reward Statistics

Rising Declining Periods refer to the sub-asset class cycles vis-a-vis the broader asset class. This is determined by evaluating the cumulative relative sub-asset class index performance to that of the broader asset class index. For example, to determine the Growth Style cycle, the S\&P 500 Growth Index (sub-asset class) performance is compared to that of the S\&P 500 Index (broader asset class).

Sharpe Ratio is a commonly used measure of risk-adjusted return. It is calculated by subtracting the "risk-free" return (usually 3 Month Treasury Bill) from the portfolio return and dividing the resulting "excess return" by the portfolio's risk level (standard deviation). The result is a measure of return gained per unit of risk taken.

Sortino Ratio is a downside risk-adjusted measure of value-added. It measures excess return over a benchmark divided by downside risk. The natural appeal is that it identifies value-added per unit of truly bad risk. The danger of interpretation, however, lies in these two areas: (1) the statistical significance of the denominator, and (2) its reliance on the persistence of skewness in return distributions.

Standard Deviation is a statistical measure of portfolio risk. It reflects the average deviation of the observations from their sample mean. Standard deviation is used as an estimate of risk since it measures how wide the range of returns typically is. The wider the typical range of returns, the higher the standard deviation of returns, and the higher the portfolio risk. If returns are normally distributed (ie. has a bell shaped curve distribution) then approximately $2 / 3$ of the returns would occur within plus or minus one standard deviation from the sample mean.

Total Portfolio Risk is a measure of the volatility of the quarterly excess returns of an asset. Total risk is composed of two measures of risk: market (non-diversifiable or systematic) risk and residual (diversifiable or unsystematic) risk. The purpose of portfolio diversification is to reduce the residual risk of the portfolio.

Tracking Error is a statistical measure of a portfolio's risk relative to an index. It reflects the standard deviation of a portfolio's individual quarterly or monthly returns from the index's returns. Typically, the lower the Tracking Error, the more "index-like" the portfolio.

Treynor Ratio represents the portfolio's average excess return over a specified period divided by the beta relative to its benchmark over that same period. This measure reflects the reward over the risk-free rate relative to the systematic risk assumed.

Note: Alpha, Total Risk, and Residual Risk are annualized.

## Callan



## Education

4th Quarter 2022

## Quarterly Highlights

The Callan Institute provides research to update clients on the latest industry trends and carefully structured educational programs to enhance the knowledge of industry professionals. Visit www.callan.com/research-library to see all of our publications, and www.callan.com/blog to view our blog. For more information contact Barb Gerraty at 415-274-3093 / institute@callan.com.

## New Research from Callan's Experts

2022 ESG Survey | Callan's 10th annual survey assesses the status of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) investing in the U.S. institutional investment market.

Considering Currency: A Guide for Institutional Investors | This guide to currency trends over time provides institutional investors with multiple ways to benchmark and analyze their portfolios.

2022 Nuclear Decommissioning Funding Study | Julia Moriarty offers key insights into the status of nuclear decommissioning funding to make peer comparisons more accurate and relevant.

## Blog Highlights

What DC Plan Sponsors Should Know About Recent Litigation Trends | Callan reviewed lawsuits filed against DC plans between January 2019 and August 2022, to provide an analysis of trends in litigation centered on the fiduciary duties outlined in ERISA.

How Does Your Public DB Plan Measure Up? | Most public DB plans saw sharp losses for the fiscal year ended $6 / 30 / 22$. However, plan returns for fiscal year 2021 were the strongest in three decades.

Index Selection Within TDF Benchmarks Can Make a Big Difference | Most TDF providers build a custom benchmark for performance comparisons. While this approach is useful, it does not capture differences in glidepath design and asset allocation that are the major drivers of relative performance.

## Webinar Replays

Callan's 2023-2032 Capital Markets Assumptions | During this webinar, Jay Kloepfer, Kevin Machiz, and Adam Lozinski described our 2023-2032 Capital Markets Assumptions, discussed the process
and rationale behind these long-term assumptions, and explained the potential implications for strategic recommendations.

Corporate Pension Hibernation | Callan specialists explore why closed and frozen plans might wish to hibernate in the current market, thereby deferring the decision to fully terminate until the future.

Research Cafe: ESG Interview Series | During this interview, Tom Shingler of Callan discusses with Sara Rosner, director of environment research and engagement for AllianceBernstein's responsible investing team, carbon emissions and why they matter to investors.

## Quarterly Periodicals

Private Equity Update, 3Q22 | A high-level summary of private equity activity in the quarter through all the investment stages

Active vs. Passive Charts, 3Q22 | A comparison of active managers alongside relevant benchmarks over the long term

Market Pulse, 3Q22 | A quarterly market reference guide covering trends in the U.S. economy, developments for institutional investors, and the latest data on the capital markets

Capital Markets Review, 3Q22 | Analysis and a broad overview of the economy and public and private markets activity each quarter across a wide range of asset classes

Hedge Fund Update, 3Q22 | Commentary on developments for hedge funds and multi-asset class (MAC) strategies

Real Assets Update, 3Q22 | A summary of market activity for real assets and private real estate during the quarter

Private Credit Update, 3Q22 | A review of performance and fundraising activity for private credit during the quarter

## Events

A complete list of all upcoming events can be found on our website: callan.com/events-education.

Please mark your calendar and look forward to upcoming invitations:

## 2023 National Conference

April 2-4, 2023 - Scottsdale, AZ

## 2023 June Workshops

June 27, 2023 - New York
June 29, 2023 - Chicago

For more information about events, please contact Barb Gerraty: 415-274-3093 / gerraty@callan.com

## Education: By the Numbers

$$
50+\quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Unique pieces of research the } \\
& \text { Institute generates each year }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 525

Attendees (on average) of the Institute's annual National Conference

Total attendees of the "Callan
College" since 1994

## Education

Founded in 1994, the "Callan College" offers educational sessions for industry professionals involved in the investment decision-making process.

## Introduction to Investments

## March 1-2 - Chicago

May 23-25 - Virtual
This program familiarizes institutional investor trustees and staff and asset management advisers with basic investment theory, terminology, and practices. Our virtual session is held over three days with virtual modules of 2.5-3 hours, while the in-person session lasts one-and-a-half days. This course is designed for individuals with less than two years of experience with asset-management oversight and/or support responsibilities. Virtual tuition is $\$ 950$ per person and includes instruction and digital materials. In-person tuition is $\$ 2,350$ per person and includes instruction, all materials, breakfast and lunch on each day, and dinner on the first evening with the instructors.

Additional information including registration can be found at: callan.com/events-education

> "Research is the foundation of all we do at Callan, and sharing our best thinking with the investment community is our way of helping to foster dialogue to raise the bar across the industry."

Greg Allen, CEO and Chief Research Officer
!

## List of Callan's Investment Manager Clients

Confidential - For Callan Client Use Only

Callan takes its fiduciary and disclosure responsibilities to clients very seriously. We recognize that there are numerous potential conflicts of interest encountered in the investment consulting industry, and that it is our responsibility to manage those conflicts effectively and in the best interest of our clients. At Callan, we employ a robust process to identify, manage, monitor, and disclose potential conflicts on an ongoing basis.

The list below is an important component of our conflicts management and disclosure process. It identifies those investment managers that pay Callan fees for educational, consulting, software, database, or reporting products and services. We update the list quarterly because we believe that our fund sponsor clients should know the investment managers that do business with Callan, particularly those investment manager clients that the fund sponsor clients may be using or considering using. Please note that if an investment manager receives a product or service on a complimentary basis (e.g., attending an educational event), they are not included in the list below. Callan is committed to ensuring that we do not consider an investment manager's business relationship with Callan, or lack thereof, in performing evaluations for or making suggestions or recommendations to its other clients. Please refer to Callan's ADV Part 2A for a more detailed description of the services and products that Callan makes available to investment manager clients through our Institutional Consulting Group, Independent Adviser Group, and Fund Sponsor Consulting Group. Due to the complex corporate and organizational ownership structures of many investment management firms, parent and affiliate firm relationships are not indicated on our list.

Fund sponsor clients may request a copy of the most currently available list at any time. Fund sponsor clients may also request specific information regarding the fees paid to Callan by particular fund manager clients. Per company policy, information requests regarding fees are handled exclusively by Callan's Compliance department.

## Manager Name

abrdn (Aberdeen Standard Investments)
Acadian Asset Management LLC
Adams Street Partners, LLC
AEGON USA Investment Management Inc.
AllianceBernstein

## Allianz

Allspring Global Investments
American Century Investments
Amundi US, Inc.
Antares Capital LP
AQR Capital Management
Ares Management LLC
Ariel Investments, LLC
Aristotle Capital Management, LLC
Arrowmark Partners
ARS Investment Partners LLC
Atlanta Capital Management Co., LLC
AXA Investment Managers

## Manager Name

Baillie Gifford International, LLC

## Baird Advisors

Barings LLC
Baron Capital Management, Inc.
Barrow, Hanley, Mewhinney \& Strauss, LLC
Belle Haven Investments
BentallGreenOak
BlackRock
Blackstone Group (The)
BNY Mellon Asset Management

## Boston Partners

Brandes Investment Partners, L.P.
Brandywine Global Investment Management, LLC
Brookfield Asset Management Inc.
Brown Brothers Harriman \& Company
Capital Group
Carillon Tower Advisers
Carlyle Group

Manager Name
CastleArk Management, LLC
Chartwell Investment Partners
ClearBridge Investments, LLC
Clearlake Capital
Cohen \& Steers Capital Management, Inc.
Columbia Threadneedle Investments North America
Conestoga Capital Advisors
Credit Suisse Asset Management, LLC
Crescent Capital Group LP
D.E. Shaw Investment Management, LLC

DePrince, Race \& Zollo, Inc.
Diamond Hill Capital Management, Inc.
Dimensional Fund Advisors L.P.
Doubleline
Duff \& Phelps Investment Management Co.
DWS
EARNEST Partners, LLC
Epoch Investment Partners, Inc.
Fayez Sarofim \& Company
Federated Hermes, Inc.
Fidelity Institutional Asset Management

## Fiera Capital Corporation

First Hawaiian Bank Wealth Management Division
First Sentier Investors
Fisher Investments
Franklin Templeton
Fred Alger Management, LLC
GAM (USA) Inc.
GlobeFlex Capital, L.P.
GoldenTree Asset Management, LP
Goldman Sachs
Golub Capital
Guggenheim Investments
GW\&K Investment Management
Harbor Capital Advisors
HarbourVest Partners, LLC
Hardman Johnston Global Advisors LLC
Heitman LLC
HPS Investment Partners, LLC
Hotchkis \& Wiley Capital Management, LLC

Manager Name
Impax Asset Management LLC
Income Research + Management
Insight Investment
Intech Investment Management LLC
Intercontinental Real Estate Corporation
Invesco
J.P. Morgan

Janus
Jennison Associates LLC
J O Hambro Capital Management Limited
Jobs Peak Advisors
Johnson Asset Management

## KeyCorp

Kohlberg Kravis Roberts \& Co. (KKR)
Lazard Asset Management
LGIM America
Lighthouse Investment Partners, LLC
Lincoln National Corporation
Longview Partners
Loomis, Sayles \& Company, L.P.
Lord Abbett \& Company
LSV Asset Management
MacKay Shields LLC
Macquarie Asset Management
Man Group
Manning \& Napier Advisors, LLC
Manulife Investment Management
Marathon Asset Management, L.P.
McKinley Capital Management, LLC
Mellon
MetLife Investment Management
MFS Investment Management
MidFirst Bank
MLC Asset Management
Mondrian Investment Partners Limited
Monroe Capital LLC
Montag \& Caldwell, LLC
Morgan Stanley Investment Management
MUFG Union Bank, N.A.
Napier Park Global Capital

Manager Name
Natixis Investment Managers
Neuberger Berman
Newton Investment Management
Ninety One North America, Inc.
Northern Trust Asset Management
Nuveen
Oaktree Capital Management, L.P.
P/E Investments
Pacific Investment Management Company
Pantheon Ventures
Parametric Portfolio Associates LLC
Partners Group (USA) Inc.
Pathway Capital Management, LP
Peregrine Capital Management, LLC
PFM Asset Management LLC
PGIM Fixed Income
PGIM Quantitative Solutions LLC
Pictet Asset Management
PineBridge Investments
Polen Capital Management, LLC
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## Important Disclosures

Information contained in this document may include confidential, trade secret and/or proprietary information of Callan and the client. It is incumbent upon the user to maintain such information in strict confidence. Neither this document nor any specific information contained herein is to be used other than by the intended recipient for its intended purpose.

The content of this document is particular to the client and should not be relied upon by any other individual or entity. There can be no assurance that the performance of any account or investment will be comparable to the performance information presented in this document.

Certain information herein has been compiled by Callan from a variety of sources believed to be reliable but for which Callan has not necessarily verified for accuracy or completeness. Information contained herein may not be current. Callan has no obligation to bring current the information contained herein. This content of this document may consist of statements of opinion, which are made as of the date they are expressed and are not statements of fact. The opinions expressed herein may change based upon changes in economic, market, financial and political conditions and other factors. Callan has no obligation to bring current the opinions expressed herein.

The statements made herein may include forward-looking statement regarding future results. The forward-looking statement herein: (i) are best estimations consistent with the information available as of the date hereof and (ii) involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties. Actual results may vary, perhaps materially, from the future result projected in this document. Undue reliance should not be placed on forward-looking statements.

Callan disclaims any responsibility for reviewing the risks of individual securities or the compliance/non-compliance of individual security holdings with a client's investment policy guidelines.

This document should not be construed as legal or tax advice on any matter. You should consult with legal and tax advisers before applying any of this information to your particular situation.

Reference to, or inclusion in this document of, any product, service or entity should not necessarily be construed as recommendation, approval, or endorsement or such product, service or entity by Callan. This document is provided in connection with Callan's consulting services and should not be viewed as an advertisement of Callan, or of the strategies or products discussed or referenced herein.

The issues considered and risks highlighted herein are not comprehensive and other risks may exist that the user of this document may deem material regarding the enclosed information. Any decision you make on the basis of this document is sole responsibility of the client, as the intended recipient, and it is incumbent upon you to make an independent determination of the suitability and consequences of such a decision.

Callan undertakes no obligation to update the information contained herein except as specifically requested by the client.
Past performance is no guarantee of future results.


Note: The compliance breach is a carry forward from March 2022 and was caused by a restructuring of Intelsat, a global provider of satellite communications services (original holdings Intelsat Jackson CUSIPs 45824 TAY1 and 45824 TBA2 and current holdings CUSIPs L5217E120, L5137X109, L5137X117, 458ESCAB6 and 458ESCAC4); which are part of MetWest/TCW's portfolio holdings. As a result of the restructuring, there will be an equity line item in the portfolio for some period as MetWest/TCW seeks an opportunistic disposition to maximize the realized value. In time, execution on Intelsat's business plan should improve the liquidity and value of Intelsat common shares, leading to a full liquidation from the portfolio. Northern Trust's compliance monitoring settings were set to flag equity common stock, equity rights and other sundry assets as compliance breaches. The Intelsat investments were originally purchased as Corporate Bonds so this incident is not a violation of the investment policy. The current equity common stock, equity rights and other sundry assets will continue to be monitored until MetWest/TCW disposes of the securities

Type Filters Applied:All,Fail,Information Only,Warning Breach Status Filters Applied:All,Active,Passive

| Breach ID | Rule <br> Processing Frequency | Account / Consolidation Name | Rule Name | Rule Category | Result Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Valuation } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ | Run Date | Age | Link | Active <br> Passive Marker | Breach Cause | Breach Status | Workflow Status | Commentar y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SACR03.R1.199 | DAILY | SACRT METWEST | PA44271-SACRT SACR03 Permitted Investments | Permitted <br> Assets | Fail | 30-Sep-2022 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-Oct- } \\ & 2022 \end{aligned}$ | 131 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Linke } \\ d \end{gathered}$ | Passive | Corporate Action | On Watch | Under Investigation |  |
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## NORTHERN TRUST

## Compliance Results

## Breach Result Numerator: 65,086.63 Denominator: 86,203,009.5

| Account ID | Account Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| SACR03 | SACRT - METWEST |

## Total Market Value

65,086.63

## Securities Triggered

\% Results

Sponsor:SACRT
Rule Run Date:24-May-2022
Active/Passive:Passive

Compliance Breach Result:Fail - Permitted Assets
Rule Narrative:Flags Prohibited Investments only
Please refer to IMA Language for more details.
Breach Status:On Watch

Valuation Date:24-May-2022
Breach Id:SACR03.R1.106
Breach Cause:Corporate Action

Commentary:Incident Description - The compliance
breach was caused by a restructuring of Intelsat, a
global provider of satellite communications services
original holdings Intelsat Jackson CUSIPs 45824TAY and 45824TBA2 and current holdings CUSIPs
L5217E120, L5137X109, L5137X117, 458ESCAB6 and portfolio holdings. As a result of the restructuring, there portfolio holdings. As a result of the restructuring, there
will be an equity line item in the portfolio for some period will be an equity line item in the portfolio for some perio maximize the realized value. In time, execution on Intelsat's business plan should improve the liquidity and value of Intelsat common shares, leading to a ful liquidation from the portfolio. Northern Trust's
compliance monitoring settings were set to flag equity compliance breaches. The Intelsat investments were originally purchased as Corporate Bonds so this incident is not a violation of the investment policy. The current
equity common stock, equity rights and other sundry assets will continue to be monitored until MetWest/TCW disposes of the securities. by Lynda Volk from 25-MAY22 at 12:27;

| Asset Category/Name | Country of Risk | Security Identifier | Id Type | Shares/Par Value | Market Value Base | Security Weight \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common Stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common Stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTELSAT S.A. | Luxembourg | LU2445093128 | ISIN | 2,120.00 | 63,070.00 | 0.07 |
| Rights/Warrants |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rights |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTELSAT JACKSON HOLDINGS S A BEF+ RTS 12-05-2025 | United States | LU2445092583 | ISIN | 221.00 | 966.88 | 0.00 |
| INTELSAT JACKSON HOLDINGS S A RTS BEF+ 12-05-2025 | United States | LU2445091858 | ISIN | 221.00 | 1,049.75 | 0.00 |
| Other Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sundry Asset |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ESC CB144A INTELSAT JA D07/05/17 9.75\% JJ25 ESCROW | United States | 458ESCAC4 | CUSIP | 145,000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

All data is offered on the basis of the best available information, and is subject to the limitand constraints set forth in the Northern Trust Terms for Compliance Analyst Service.
We offer the Compliance Analyst service based on Northern Trust's definition of security classifications and prices, which are obtained through internal processes and vended information.
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Reference Date:24-May-2022
Rule Name:PA44271-SACRT SACR03 Permitted
enchmark
Commentary:Incident Description - The compliance
breach was caused by a restructuring of Intelsat, a
global provider of satellite communications services (original holdings Intelsat Jackson CUSIPs 4582
and 45824TBA2 and current holdings CUSIPs and 45824TBA2 and current holdings CUSIPs 5217E120, L5137X109, L5137X117, 458ESCAB6 and portfolio holdings. As a result of the restructuring, there will be an equity line item in the portfolio for some period as MetWest/TCW seeks an opportunistic disposition to
maximize the realized value. In time, execution on
Intelsat's business plan should improve the liquidity and value of Intelsat common shares, leading to a fu quidation from the portfolio. Northern Trust's
ompliance monitoring settings were set to flag equity compliance breaches. The Intelsat investments were originally purchased as Corporate Bonds so this incident is not a violation of the investment policy. The current equity common stock, equity rights and other sundry assets will continue to be monitored until MetWest/TCW disposes of the
22 at 12:27;

| Asset Category/Name |
| :---: |
| ESC CB144A INTELSAT JA D09/19/18 8.5\% AO24 | ESCROW

Compliance Breach Result:Fail - Permitted Assets Rule Narrative:Flags Prohibited Investments only. Please refer to IMA Language for more details.

Breach Status:On Watch

Valuation Date:24-May-2022 Breach Id:SACR03.R1.106

Breach Cause:Corporate Action

DATE: March 8, 2023
TO: Sacramento Regional Transit Retirement Boards- AEA, AFSCME \& MCEG
FROM: John Gobel - Manager, Pension and Retirement Services
SUBJ: Accept Actuarial Valuation and Approve Actuarially Determined Contribution Rates for Fiscal Year 2024 (Salaried). (Gobel)

## RECOMMENDATION

Adopt the attached Resolution(s)

## RESULT OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

Accept the actuarial valuation report (AVR) for the July 1, 2022 valuation date and approve the actuarially determined contribution (ADC) rates for Fiscal Year (FY) 2024.

## FISCAL IMPACT

The estimated fiscal impact to the Salaried Plan of the proposed ADC rates for FY 2024 is $\$ 11,058,968$, which is the annual employer contribution presented in the current AVR (as of July 1, 2022) and reflects a $\$ 550,096$ increase over the amount presented in the prior AVR (as of July 1, 2021). The actual fiscal impact will be determined by applying the discrete contribution rates recommended for Classic members and PEPRA members to the covered payroll for FY 2024.

## DISCUSSION

During the special Retirement Board meeting on February 15, 2023, Graham Schmidt of Cheiron presented the preliminary results of the annual actuarial valuation process for all three Retirement Plans, which are commonly referred to as the ATU Plan, the IBEW Plan, and the Salaried Plan. A recap of Mr. Schmidt's presentation to and discussion with the Retirement Boards is provided in the minutes of last month's meeting, which are submitted for approval at this Quarterly Retirement Board Meeting. Of note, Mr. Schmidt did not recommend any changes in the assumptions used to prepare the AVR for the July 1, 2022 valuation date.

As a reminder, the annual AVR measures the current and projected assets and liabilities of a defined benefit plan, and those measures are used to determine the plan's funded ratio. The plan's funded ratio and the normal costs associated with benefits prescribed
by the plan are used to establish the ADC and expressed as a percentage of covered payroll.

Within the AVR for the Salaried Plan, Cheiron offers an Executive Summary and reviews data points and developments for the July 1, 2022 valuation date. Some of these items are highlighted and referenced below:

- This year's [employer contribution] reflected an adjustment for the third year of the three-year phase in of the amortization payment for the increase in the Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) due to the assumptions adopted for the July 1, 2020 valuation. As of the July 1, 2022 valuation, the 2020 assumption changes are fully phased-in...
- The Plan's funded ratio, the ratio of actuarial assets over Actuarial Liability, increased from 65.6\% as of July 1, 2021 to $67.9 \%$ as of July 1, 2022.
- During the year ended June 30, 2022, the return on Plan assets was $-7.55 \%$ on a market value basis net of investment expenses, as compared to the $6.75 \%$ assumption. This resulted in a market value loss on investments of \$18,567,652. The Actuarial Value of Assets recognizes $20 \%$ of the annual difference between the expected and actual return on the Market Value of Assets (MVA).
- Since actuarial assets are above market assets, there are unrecognized investment losses (approximately $\$ 4.0$ million, primarily due to the FYE 2022 asset experience) that will be reflected in the smoothed value in future years.

By accepting the AVR, the Retirement Board is accepting the funded ratio determined by the actuary and adopting the contribution rates (for both the employer and employees, in the case of PEPRA members) for the next fiscal year. Per Section V, Table V-4 of the AVR for the July 1, 2022 valuation date, Cheiron is recommending the following new contribution rates for the Salaried Plan for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2023:

## Classic Members

| Employer Contribution Rate | 42.74\% |
| :--- | :---: |
| Member Contribution Rate | N/A |

## PEPRA Members

Employer Contribution Rate
30.43\%

Member Contribution Rate
6.50\% (unchanged from FY 2023)

## RESOLUTION NO. 2023-03-234

## SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT RETIREMENT BOARD RESOLUTION

Adopted by the Board of Directors for the Retirement Plan for the Sacramento Regional Transit District Employees who are Members of AEA on this date:

March 8, 2023
Accept Actuarial Valuation and Approve Actuarially Determined Contribution Rates for Fiscal Year 2024

## BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR THE RETIREMENT PLAN FOR THE SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES WHO ARE MEMBERS OF AEA AS FOLLOWS:

THAT the Retirement Board hereby accepts the Actuarial Valuation Report as of July 1, 2022 for the Sacramento Regional Transit District Retirement Plan for AFSCME, AEA, and Non-Represented Employees which is attached as Exhibit A.

THAT the Retirement Board hereby approves new Actuarially Determined Contribution Rates defined in the Actuarial Valuation Report for the Sacramento Regional Transit District Retirement Plan for AFSCME, AEA, and Non-Represented Employees, to be effective July 1, 2023, as follows:

Classic Members

| Employer Contribution Rate | $42.74 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Member Contribution Rate | N/A |

PEPRA Members
Employer Contribution Rate
30.43\%

Member Contribution Rate 6.50\%

## ATTEST:

Henry Li, Secretary
$B y:$

John Gobel, Assistant Secretary

## RESOLUTION NO. 2023-03-201

## SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT RETIREMENT BOARD RESOLUTION

Adopted by the Board of Directors for the Retirement Plan for the Sacramento Regional Transit District Employees who are Members of AFSCME on this date:

March 8, 2023
Accept Actuarial Valuation and Approve Actuarially Determined Contribution Rates for Fiscal Year 2024

## BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR THE RETIREMENT PLAN FOR THE SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES WHO ARE MEMBERS OF AFSCME AS FOLLOWS:

THAT the Retirement Board hereby accepts the Actuarial Valuation Report as of July 1, 2022 for the Retirement Plan for the Sacramento Regional Transit District Retirement Plan for AFSCME, AEA, and Non-Represented Employees, which is attached as Exhibit A.

THAT the Retirement Board hereby approves new Actuarially Determined Contribution Rates defined in the Actuarial Valuation Report for the Sacramento Regional Transit District Retirement Plan for AFSCME, AEA, and Non-Represented Employees, to be effective July 1, 2023, as follows:

## Classic Members

Employer Contribution Rate
Member Contribution Rate
PEPRA Members
Employer Contribution Rate
Member Contribution Rate
42.74\%

N/A
30.43\%
6.50\%

Peter Guimond, Chair

## ATTEST:

Henry Li, Secretary
$B y:$

John Gobel, Assistant Secretary

## RESOLUTION NO. 2023-03-238

## SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT RETIREMENT BOARD RESOLUTION

Adopted by the Board of Directors for the Retirement Plan for the Sacramento Regional Transit District Employees who are Members of MCEG on this date:

March 8, 2023
Accept Actuarial Valuation and Approve Actuarially Determined Contribution Rates for Fiscal Year 2024

## BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR THE RETIREMENT PLAN FOR THE SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES WHO ARE MEMBERS OF MCEG AS FOLLOWS:

THAT the Retirement Board hereby accepts the Actuarial Valuation Report as of July 1, 2022 for the Retirement Plan for the Sacramento Regional Transit District Retirement Plan for AFSCME, AEA, and Non-Represented Employees, which is attached as Exhibit A.

THAT the Retirement Board hereby approves new Actuarially Determined Contribution Rates defined in the Actuarial Valuation Report for the Sacramento Regional Transit District Retirement Plan for AFSCME, AEA, and Non-Represented Employees , to be effective July 1, 2023, as follows:

## Classic Members

Employer Contribution Rate 42.74\%
Member Contribution Rate
N/A
PEPRA Members
Employer Contribution Rate 30.43\%
Member Contribution Rate 6.50\%

> Sandra Bobek, Chair

## ATTEST:

Henry Li, Secretary
By:

John Gobel, Assistant Secretary
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Actuarial Valuation Report
as of July 1, 2022
Produced by Cheiron
March 2023

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section ..... Page
Letter of Transmittal .....  i
Foreword ..... ii
Section I Executive Summary ..... 1
Section II Disclosures Related to Risk ..... 11
Section III Assets ..... 21
Section IV Liabilities ..... 25
Section V Contributions ..... 28
Appendices
Appendix A Membership Information ..... 33
Appendix B Statement of Actuarial Assumptions and Methods. ..... 42
Appendix C Summary of Plan Provisions ..... 47
Appendix D Glossary ..... 52

March 1, 2023
Retirement Boards of
Sacramento Regional Transit District
2830 G Street
Sacramento, CA 95816
Dear Members of the Boards:
At your request, we have conducted an actuarial valuation of the Retirement Plan for Salaried Employees of the Sacramento Regional Transit District Employees (SacRT, the Fund, the Plan) as of July 1, 2022. This report contains information on the Plan's assets and liabilities. This report also discloses employer contribution levels. Your attention is called to the Foreword in which we refer to the general approach employed in the preparation of this report.

The purpose of this report is to present the results of the annual actuarial valuation of the Plan. This report is for the use of the Retirement Boards and the auditors in preparing financial reports in accordance with applicable law and accounting requirements.

This report was prepared solely for the Retirement Boards for the purposes described herein, and for the use by the plan auditor in completing an audit related to the matters herein. Other users of this report are not intended users as defined in the Actuarial Standards of Practice, and Cheiron assumes no duty or liability to any other user.

This report and its contents have been prepared in accordance with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principles and practices and our understanding of the Code of Professional Conduct and applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice set out by the Actuarial Standards Board as well as applicable laws and regulations. Furthermore, as credentialed actuaries, we meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the opinion contained in this report. This report does not address any contractual or legal issues. We are not attorneys and our firm does not provide any legal services or advice.

Sincerely,
Cheiron



Graham A. Schmidt, ASA, EA, FCA, MAAA
Consulting Actuary


Anne D. Harper, FSA, EA, MAAA Principal Consulting Actuary

## FOREWORD

Cheiron has performed the actuarial valuation of the Retirement Plan for Sacramento Regional Transit District Employees (SacRT, the Fund, the Plan) as of July 1, 2022. The valuation is organized as follows:

- In Section I, the Executive Summary, we describe the purpose of an actuarial valuation, summarize the key results found in this valuation, and disclose important trends.
- In Section II, Disclosures Related to Risk, we review the primary risks facing the District, and quantify these using various risk and maturity measures.
- The Main Body of the report presents details on the Plan's
- Section III - Assets
- Section IV - Liabilities
- Section V - Contributions
- In the Appendices, we conclude our report with detailed information describing plan membership (Appendix A), actuarial assumptions and methods employed in the valuation (Appendix B), a summary of pertinent plan provisions (Appendix C), and a glossary of key actuarial terms (Appendix D).

Future results may differ significantly from the results of the current valuation presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the assumptions; changes in assumptions; and, changes in plan provisions or applicable law.

In preparing our report, we relied on information (some oral and some written) supplied by the District's staff. This information includes, but is not limited to, plan provisions, employee data, and financial information. We performed an informal examination of the obvious characteristics of the data for reasonableness and consistency in accordance with Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 23.

## SECTION I - EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The primary purpose of the actuarial valuation and this report is to measure, describe, and identify the following as of the valuation date:

- The financial condition of the Plan,
- Past and expected trends in the financial progress of the Plan,
- Employer and member contribution rates for Plan Year 2023-2024, and
- An assessment and disclosure of key risks.

The information required under GASB Statements (Nos. 67 and 68) is included in a separate report, with the report for the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2022 provided to the Boards in September 2022.

In the balance of this Executive Summary, we present (A) the basis upon which this year's valuation was completed, (B) the key findings of this valuation including a summary of all key financial results, (C) changes in Plan cost, (D) an examination of the historical trends, and I the projected financial outlook for the Plan.

## A. Valuation Basis

This valuation determines the employer and PEPRA member contributions for the plan year.
The Plan's funding policy is for the District to contribute an amount equal to the sum of:

- The normal cost under the Entry Age Normal Cost Method, net of any contributions by the members,
- Amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Liability, and
- The Plan's expected administrative expenses.

This valuation was prepared based on the plan provisions shown in Appendix C. There have been no changes in plan provisions since the prior valuation.

A summary of the assumptions and methods used in the current valuation are shown in Appendix B. There have been no changes in assumptions or methods since the prior valuation.
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## SECTION I - EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## B. Key Findings of this Valuation

The key results of the July 1, 2022 actuarial valuation are as follows:

- The actuarially determined employer contribution rate decreased from 38.57\% of payroll last year to $37.32 \%$ of payroll for the current valuation. This year's rate reflected an adjustment for the third year of the three-year phase in of the amortization payment for the increase in the Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) due to the assumptions adopted for the July 1, 2020 valuation. As of the July 1, 2022 valuation, the 2020 assumption changes are fully phased-in, with the final portion of the phase-in increasing the rate by $0.83 \%$ from the prior year.
- The Plan's funded ratio, the ratio of actuarial assets over Actuarial Liability, increased from $65.6 \%$ as of July 1, 2021 to $67.9 \%$ as of July 1, 2022. As a point of comparison, a funding ratio of $62.4 \%$ or more is required just to fund the liabilities of the inactive members: retired, disabled, terminated with vested benefits, and their beneficiaries. This ratio is sometimes referred to as the Inactive Funded Ratio.
- The Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) is the excess of the Plan's Actuarial Liability over the Actuarial Value of Assets. The Plan experienced a decrease in the UAL from $\$ 60,673,194$ to $\$ 58,983,452$ as of July 1,2022 . This decrease in the UAL was primarily contributions exceeding the normal cost plus interest on the unfunded liability
- During the year ended June 30, 2022, the return on Plan assets was $-7.55 \%$ on a market value basis net of investment expenses, as compared to the $6.75 \%$ assumption. This resulted in a market value loss on investments of $\$ 18,567,652$. The Actuarial Value of Assets recognizes $20 \%$ of the annual difference between the expected and actual return on the Market Value of Assets (MVA). This method of smoothing the asset gains and losses returned $6.99 \%$ on the smoothed value of assets, an actuarial asset gain of \$277,153.
- The Actuarial Value of Assets is currently $103.34 \%$ of the market value. Since actuarial assets are above market assets, there are unrecognized investment losses (approximately $\$ 4.0$ million, primarily due to the FYE 2022 asset experience) that will be reflected in the smoothed value in future years
- During the year, $\$ 667,990$ of assets and $\$ 836,523$ of liabilities were transferred from ATU to the Salaried plan for active Salaried plan members with prior ATU service.
- The Plan experienced a liability loss of $\$ 945,804$ due primarily to lower mortality rates than expected among inactive participants. The Plan experienced a $\$ 15,221$ gain from expenses being less than expected, and a gain of $\$ 349,507$ from contributions being more than the actuarial cost. In addition, the Plan experienced a $\$ 174,128$ actuarial loss from the transfer of assets and liabilities from the ATU Plan (including interest at the assumed rate through the end of the year). Combining the losses on liability and ATU
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## SECTION I - EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

transfer with the gain on assets, contributions and expenses, the Plan experienced a total loss of $\$ 478,052$.

- There were 42 new hires and rehires since July 1, 2021 and the total active population increased by $8.76 \%$. Total projected payroll increased $9.01 \%$ from $\$ 27,758,461$ to $\$ 30,260,855$ for 2022-2023.
- The impact of PEPRA continued to lower the employer cost. As more PEPRA members are hired, the average normal cost rate declines, because PEPRA members have lower benefits than the non-PEPRA members and they contribute approximately $50 \%$ of the PEPRA Normal Cost. As of June 30, 2022, PEPRA members make up almost $50 \%$ of the active workforce.
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## SECTION I - EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Table I-1 summarizes the key results of the valuation with respect to membership, assets and liabilities, and contributions. The results are presented and compared for both the current and prior plan year.

| Valuation Date | Table I-1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | pal Plan Result July 1, 2021 |  | July 1, 2022 | \% Change |
| Participant Counts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Active Participants |  | 251 |  | 273 | 8.76\% |
| Participants Receiving a Benefit |  | 356 |  | 368 | 3.37\% |
| Terminated Vested Participants |  | 54 |  | 52 | -3.70\% |
| Transferred Participants |  | 2 |  | 1 | -50.00\% |
| Non-Vested Participants Due Refund |  | 4 |  | 9 | 125.00\% |
| Total |  | 667 |  | 703 | 5.40\% |
| Annual Pay of Active Members | \$ | 27,758,461 | \$ | 30,260,855 | 9.01\% |
| Assets and Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuarial Liability (AL) | \$ | 176,156,667 | \$ | 183,590,990 | 4.22\% |
| Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA) |  | 115,483,473 |  | 124,607,538 | 7.90\% |
| Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) | \$ | 60,673,194 | \$ | 58,983,452 | -2.78\% |
| Funded Ratio (AVA) |  | 65.6\% |  | 67.9\% | 2.32\% |
| Market Value of Assets (MVA) | \$ | 129,366,721 | \$ | 120,583,101 | -6.79\% |
| Funded Ratio (MVA) |  | 73.4\% |  | 65.7\% | -7.76\% |
| Inactive Funded Ratio |  | 63.3\% |  | 62.4\% | -0.92\% |
| Contributions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employer Contribution Payable Monthly | \$ | 10,722,528 | \$ | 11,058,968 | 3.14\% |
| Employer Contribution (after phase in) | \$ | 10,508,872 | \$ | 11,058,968 |  |
| Employer Contribution as a Percentage of |  | 39.34\% |  | 37.32\% | -2.02\% |
| Payroll <br> Employer Contribution as a Percentage of Payroll (after phase in) |  | 38.57\% |  | 37.32\% | -1.25\% |

## SECTION I - EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## C. Changes in Contributions

Table I- 2 summarizes the impact of actuarial experience on contributions.

| Table I-2 <br> Employer Contribution Reconciliation |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal | UAL <br> Cost | Admin <br> Amortization |
| Item | $38.57 \%$ | $15.06 \%$ | $22.51 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ |
| FYE 2023 Employer Contribution Rate | $0.83 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.83 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Change due to phase-in of assumption changes | $-0.07 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $-0.07 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Change due to asset gains | $-0.49 \%$ | $-0.68 \%$ | $0.18 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ |
| Change due to PEPRA | $0.26 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ | $0.26 \%$ | $-0.01 \%$ |
| Change due to demographic losses | $-1.59 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $-1.53 \%$ | $-0.06 \%$ |
| Change due to amortization payroll | $-0.09 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $-0.09 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Change due to contribution surplus | $\underline{-0.10 \%}$ | $\underline{-0.17 \%}$ | $\underline{0.07 \%}$ | $\underline{0.00 \%}$ |
| Change due to ATU Transfer | $-1.25 \%$ | $-0.84 \%$ | $-0.35 \%$ | $-0.06 \%$ |
| Total Change | $37.32 \%$ | $14.22 \%$ | $22.16 \%$ | $0.94 \%$ |
| FYE 2024 Employer Contribution Rate |  |  |  |  |

Employer contribution rates include Phase-In
An analysis of the cost changes from the prior valuation reveals the following:

- Asset experience produced a small investment gain on an actuarial basis.

The actuarial return on assets was $6.99 \%$, which is slightly more than the assumed rate of $6.75 \%$. This resulted in a decrease in the contribution rate by $0.07 \%$ of payroll.

The Market Value of Assets is less than the actuarial value; there are approximately $\$ 4.0$ million in net deferred asset losses.

- Demographic experience (including PEPRA new hires) resulted in a net decrease in cost.

The demographic experience of the Plan - rates of retirement, death, disability, and termination - was close to that predicted by the actuarial assumptions in aggregate, causing an actuarial loss that increased the contribution rate by $0.26 \%$ of payroll. In particular, there were losses caused by lower mortality rates than expected among retirees.

In addition, the employer portion of the normal cost for the new hires under the PEPRA benefit formula is lower than the normal cost for the non-PEPRA membership. The growth in the PEPRA membership resulted in a decrease in the average employer normal cost rate of $1.06 \%$ of payroll. This reduction was partially offset by a coding update to the actuarial valuation software to apply PEPRA compensation limits to employee contributions and the compensation used in the calculation of the contribution rates,
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which increased the contribution rate by $0.57 \%$. The net impact from PEPRA was a reduction in cost of $0.49 \%$ of pay.

The net impact on the contribution rate from changes in demographics was a decrease of $0.23 \%$ of payroll.

- Overall payroll growth was greater than expected.

The projected payroll grew by more than the assumed rate of $2.75 \%$, which decreased the contribution rate by $1.59 \%$ of pay, since it results in the Plan's Unfunded Actuarial Liability and administrative expenses being spread over a larger payroll base.

- Contributions were slightly greater than the actuarially determined cost.

Actual contributions were more than the total actuarially determined cost (including expenses), which resulted in a decrease in the contribution rate by $0.09 \%$.

- Salaried members with prior ATU service had the liabilities and assets associated with their ATU service transferred into the Salaried plan.

As a result of an arbitration agreement, the assets and liabilities associated with Salaried members with prior ATU service (but who did not become vested in the ATU plan) were transferred from the ATU plan into the Salaried plan. Since the liabilities $(\$ 836,523)$ were greater than the assets $(\$ 667,990)$ transferred, the employer contribution rate increased by $0.10 \%$ of payroll.

The total impact on employer Plan cost from all changes is a decrease of $1.25 \%$ of pay, after incorporating the remaining impact of the final phase-in of the 2020 assumption changes.

## SECTION I - EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## D. Historical Trends

Despite the fact that for most retirement plans the greatest attention is given to the current valuation results and in particular, the size of the current Unfunded Actuarial Liability and the employer contribution, it is important to remember that each valuation is merely a snapshot in the long-term progress of a pension fund. It is also important to judge a current year's valuation result relative to historical trends, as well as trends expected into the future.

## $\underline{\text { Assets and Liabilities }}$

The chart compares the Market Value of Assets (MVA) and Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA) to the Actuarial Liabilities. The percentage shown in the chart below the graph is the ratio of the Actuarial Value of Assets to the Actuarial Liability (the funded ratio). The funded ratio increased from $55.2 \%$ in 2013 to $67.9 \%$ in 2022, due to net gains on the AVA and contributions made to the Plan, despite decreases in the assumed rate of return from $7.75 \%$ to $6.75 \%$ over the same time period. In 2022 the funded ratio reached $67.9 \%$, due to the continuation of those contributions.
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## Contribution Trends

In the following chart, we present the Plan's historical actuarially determined contribution rates and employee contribution rates. Employer contribution rates remained relatively stable from 2013 to 2016, with an increase in 2017 due to the change in assumptions as well as a decrease in projected payroll. The contribution rate increased in 2020 primarily due to assumption changes proposed as part of the 2020 experience study. The contribution rate decreased in 2021 due to favorable investment experience and also decreased in 2022 due to greater than expected payroll growth and increasing PEPRA membership whose contributions offset the employer cost. The employer rates shown include the three-year phase-in of the impact of the assumption changes on the UAL payment.

The average employee contribution rate continues to increase as more PEPRA members enter and contribute to the plan.
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## E. Future Expected Financial Trends

The analysis of projected financial trends is perhaps the most important component of this valuation. In this section, we present our assessment of the implications of the July 1, 2022 valuation results in terms of benefit security (assets over liabilities) and contribution levels. All the projections in this section are based on the assumption that the Plan will exactly achieve the $6.75 \%$ assumption each year, which is clearly an impossibility. We have also assumed future salary increases of $2.75 \%$ per year.

Projection of Employer Contributions 6.75\% return each year


The graph shows that the District's contribution rate is expected to remain relatively level over the next few years as the current year asset losses continue to be recognized, offsetting the prior year gains, and as the employer-paid portion of the normal cost decreases as PEPRA membership increases. The employer contribution rate is expected to decline substantially in FYE 2034, once the largest layer of the unfunded liability (the UAL that existed as of June 30, 2019) is fully amortized.
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The dollar actuarial cost for the District is expected to be approximately $\$ 11.6$ million in 2023-2024, and increases to $\$ 13.4$ million in 2032-2033 as payroll grows and rates remain fairly level, then dropping significantly the following years, when the bulk of the unfunded liability amortization payment disappears. Note that the graph on the prior page does not forecast any actuarial gains or losses or changes to the assumptions or funding policy.

The following graph shows the projection of assets and liabilities assuming that assets will earn the $6.75 \%$ assumption each year during the projection period. The graph shows that the funded status is expected to increase steadily as the existing unfunded liability is fully amortized, assuming the actuarial assumptions are achieved. The Plan is expected to be fully funded in 2034, three years later than in the July 1, 2021 valuation. However, it is primarily the actual return on Plan assets that will determine the future funding status and contribution rate to the Plan.

Projection of Assets and Liabilities 6.75\% return each year
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 <br> <br> SECTION II - DISCLOSURES RELATED TO RISK}

Actuarial valuations are based on a set of assumptions about future economic and demographic experience. These assumptions represent a reasonable estimate of future experience, but actual future experience will undoubtedly be different and may be vary significantly.

Actuarial Standard of Practice (ASOP 51) requires actuaries to identify and assess risks that "may reasonably be anticipated to significantly affect the plan's future financial condition." This section of the report is intended to identify the primary risks to the Plan, provide some background information about those risks, and provide an assessment of those risks.

## Identification of Risks

The fundamental risk to a pension plan is that the contributions needed to pay the benefits become unaffordable. Even in the case that the Plan remains affordable, the contributions needed to support the Plan may differ significantly from expectations. While there are a number of factors that could lead to contribution amounts deviating from expectations, we believe the primary risks are:

- Investment risk,
- Assumption change risk,
- Longevity and other demographic risk, and
- Contribution risk.

Other risks that we have not identified may also turn out to be important.
Investment Risk is the potential for investment returns to be different than expected. Lower investment returns than anticipated will increase the Unfunded Actuarial Liability necessitating higher contributions in the future unless there are other gains that offset these investment losses. The potential volatility of future investment returns is determined by the Plan's asset allocation and the affordability of the investment risk is determined by the amount of assets invested relative to the size of the plan sponsor or other contribution base.

Assumption change risk is the potential for the environment to change such that future valuation assumptions are different than the current assumptions. For example, declines in interest rates over the last three decades (which have recently reversed) resulted in higher investment returns for fixed-income investments, but lower expected future returns necessitating either a change in investment policy, a reduction in discount rate, or some combination of the two. Assumption change risk is an extension of the other risks identified, but rather than capturing the risk as it is experienced, it captures the cost of recognizing a change in environment when the current assumption is no longer reasonable.

Longevity and other demographic risks are the potential for mortality or other demographic experience to be different than expected. Generally, longevity and other demographic risks emerge slowly over time and are often dwarfed by other changes, particularly those due to

## SECTION II - DISCLOSURES RELATED TO RISK

investment returns. However, for this plan there have been substantial liability losses over the last five years, driven by higher-than-expected pay increases for continuing active members.

Contribution risk is the potential for actual future contributions to deviate from expected future contributions. There are different sources of contribution risk ranging from the sponsor choosing to not make contributions in accordance with the funding policy to material changes in the contribution base (e.g., covered employees, covered payroll, sponsor revenue) that affect the amount of contributions the Plan can collect.

The chart below shows the components contributing to the Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) from June 30, 2012 through June 30, 2022. Over the last 10 years, the UAL has increased by approximately $\$ 14.5$ million. The assumption changes (purple bar) resulting in a total UAL increase of $\$ 11.9$ million is the largest source of UAL growth, followed by liability losses (\$11.0 million, gray bar). Net investment gain and losses have offset each other over the 10-year period resulting in a total UAL decrease of $\$ 3.2$ million.

Chart II-1


# RETIREMENT PLAN FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES: <br> SALARIED ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2022 

## SECTION II - DISCLOSURES RELATED TO RISK

Each year, the UAL is expected to increase for benefits earned in the current year (the normal cost), administrative expenses, and interest on the UAL. This expected increase is referred to as the tread water level. If contributions are greater than the tread water level, the UAL is expected to decrease. Conversely, if contributions are less than the tread water level, the UAL is expected to increase. The amortization policy (as well as the contribution-timing lag) can impact whether or not the contributions exceed the tread water level. Contributions above the "tread-water" level (red bar) have decreased the UAL by $\$ 5.6$ million. In addition, actuarial method and software changes (teal bar) have increased the UAL by $\$ 0.4$ million since June 30, 2012.

Chart II-2 below details the annual sources of the UAL change (colored bars) for each valuation year. The net UAL change for each year is represented by the blue diamonds.

## Chart II-2



The impact of all assumption changes is represented by the purple bars. In 2015 and 2020, there were experience studies performed. The experience study in 2015 resulted in a slight reduction in the liabilities. In 2020, significant increases were primarily due to salary merit and retirement rate changes and reductions in the discount rate. The assumed rate of return was also reduced in 2014 and 2017.

On the liability side (gray bars), the Plan has mostly experienced losses, increasing the UAL by approximately $\$ 11.0$ million over the 10 -year period resulting from participants retiring, terminating, becoming disabled and dying at rates different from the actuarial assumptions as well as unexpected changes in salaries. Most of this type of activity is normal in the course of Plan experience. However, the Plan has recently experienced some significant liability losses,
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which indicated the potential need to update some of the assumptions, which was done as part of the 2020 experience study.

The shortening of the amortization period has resulted in UAL reductions from contributions over the last several years, except in 2018, where the shortfall was due to the phase-in of the 2017 assumption change impact.

While the net investment gains and losses have not been the largest driver of UAL changes over the past 10 years, the year-to-year investment volatility can have a large impact on the UAL and is unpredictable. For example, the actuarial investment gain in 2021 was $\$ 3.5$ million compared to the $\$ 1.9$ million actuarial loss in the 2020 valuation.

Table II-1 below shows the same information as Chart II-2, but the annual source of the UAL change is shown numerically.

| Table II-1 <br> Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) Change by S |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Valuation Year | Assumption Changes | Method / <br> Software <br> Changes | Contributions | Investment Experience | Liability Experience | Total UAL Change |
| 2013 | 0 | 181,918 | 127,112 | 467,927 | 1,299,355 | 2,076,312 |
| 2014 | 933,160 | 0 | 5,520 | (2,704,686) | $(323,933)$ | $(2,089,939)$ |
| 2015 | $(757,047)$ | 0 | $(562,761)$ | $(1,662,717)$ | $(541,993)$ | $(3,524,518)$ |
| 2016 | 0 | 0 | $(614,979)$ | 917,324 | 1,775,915 | 2,078,260 |
| 2017 | 3,108,901 | 0 | $(229,830)$ | $(85,065)$ | 1,495,305 | 4,289,311 |
| 2018 | $(18,542)$ | 0 | 1,958,532 | 321,313 | 1,603,565 | 3,864,868 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | (1,060,592) | 1,451,131 | 2,611,134 | 3,001,672 |
| 2020 | 8,637,242 | 0 | $(1,661,284)$ | 1,894,074 | 1,867,525 | 10,737,558 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | $(1,025,135)$ | $(3,497,592)$ | 290,705 | (4,232,022) |
| 2022 | 0 | 191,914 | $(2,550,308)$ | $(277,153)$ | 945,804 | $(1,689,742)$ |
| Total | \$ 11,903,714 | \$ 373,832 | \$ (5,613,724) | \$ (3,175,444) | \$ 11,023,382 | \$ 14,511,760 |
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## Plan Maturity Measures

The future financial condition of a mature pension plan is more sensitive to each of the risks identified above than a less mature plan. Before assessing each of these risks, it is important to understand the maturity of the plan compared to other plans and how the maturity has changed over time.

Plan maturity can be measured in a variety of ways, but they all get at one basic dynamic - the larger the plan is compared to the contribution or revenue base that supports it; the more sensitive the plan will be to risk. The measures on the following pages have been selected as the most important in understanding the primary risks identified for the plan.

## Inactives per Active (Support Ratio)

One simple measure of plan maturity is the ratio of the number of inactive members (those receiving benefits or inactives - those entitled to a deferred benefit) to the number of active members. The Support Ratio is expected to increase gradually as a plan matures. The chart below shows the Support Ratio remaining fairly level from 2013 to 2016 as the number of active members increased and the number of retirees also increased. However, the Support Ratio increased rapidly from 2016 to 2017 as the active population declined while the retiree population grew. The Support Ratio has been relatively stable from 2017 to 2021, with a slight decline in 2022 when the active membership increased more than the inactive membership.


## Leverage Ratios

Leverage or volatility ratios measure the size of the plan compared to its revenue base more directly. The asset leverage ratio is simply the Market Value of Assets to active member payroll
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and indicates the sensitivity of the Plan to investment returns. The liability leverage ratio is the Plan's Actuarial Liability to active member payroll and indicates the sensitivity of the Plan to assumption changes or demographic experience.

The Plan assets are currently four times covered payroll. As the Plan becomes better funded, the asset leverage ratio will increase, and if it was $100 \%$ funded, the asset leverage ratio would be just over six and equal the Actuarial Liability (AL) leverage ratio. Although both of these ratios are lower than those of many other public plans, the increase in the asset leverage ratio expected to accompany an improvement in the Plan's funding still represents a substantial increase in the volatility of the contributions.

An asset leverage ratio of 4.0 means that if the Plan's assets lose $10 \%$ of their value (a $16.75 \%$ actuarial loss compared to the expected return of $6.75 \%$ ), the loss is about $67 \%$ of payroll ( 4.0 x $16.75 \%$ ). Based on the proposed amortization policy, the contribution rate would ultimately increase by approximately $4.8 \%$ of payroll if asset smoothing were not applied and the loss were amortized over 20 years. The same investment loss if the Plan were $100 \%$ funded would be around $102 \%$ of payroll and an ultimate contribution rate increase of about $7.4 \%$ of payroll, if amortized over 20 years.

The chart below shows the historical leverage ratios of the Plan. Both leverage ratios have increased since 2013. The large decrease in the Asset Leverage Ratio for 2022 is due to the less than favorable investment experience for FYE 2022.


## SECTION II - DISCLOSURES RELATED TO RISK

## Assessing Costs and Risks

## Sensitivity to Investment Returns

The chart below compares assets to the present value of all projected future benefits discounted at the current expected rate of return and at an investment return 100 basis points above and below the expected rate of return. The present value of future benefits is shown as a bar with the portion attributable to past service in dark blue (Actuarial Liability) and the portion attributable to future service in teal (Present Value of Future Normal Costs). The Market Value of Assets is shown by the gold line.

Present Value of Future Benefits versus Assets


If investments return $6.75 \%$ annually, the Plan would need approximately $\$ 224$ million in assets today to pay all projected benefits compared to current assets of $\$ 121$ million. If investment returns are only $5.75 \%$, the Plan would need approximately $\$ 257$ million in assets today, and if investment returns are $7.75 \%$, the Plan would need approximately $\$ 197$ million in assets today.
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## Stochastic Projections

Stochastic projections serve to show the range of probable outcomes of various measurements. The chart below and on the following page show the projected range of the employer contribution rate and of the funded ratio on an Actuarial Value of Assets basis. The range in both scenarios is driven by the volatility of investment returns (assumed to be based on a $12.4 \%$ standard deviation of annual returns, as provided by the Plan's investment consultant and described in the 2020 Experience Study Report). The stochastic projections of investment returns are based on an assumption that each future year's investment return is independent from all other years and is identically distributed according to a lognormal distribution. This assumption may result in an unrealistically wide range of compound investment returns over longer periods.

Stochastic Projection of Employer Contributions as a Percent of Pay


The stochastic projection of employer contributions, shown here as of the valuation date and payable the following fiscal year, shows the probable range of future contribution rates as a percent of pay. The baseline contribution rate (black line), which is based on the median of the simulations using an average return of $6.75 \%$, aligns closely with the projections discussed in subsection D of the Executive Summary of this report. In the most pessimistic scenario shown, the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile, the projected employer contribution rate is about $48 \%$ of pay for the 2031 valuation (FYE 2033). Conversely, the most optimistic scenario shown, the $5^{\text {th }}$ percentile, the projected employer contribution falls below $10 \%$ starting with the 2031 valuation (FYE 2032). We note that these projections set the employer contribution to not fall below the normal cost unless the funded ratio exceeds $120 \%$, as required under PEPRA.
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Stochastic Projection of Funded Ratio on an Actuarial Value of Assets Basis


The graph above shows the projection of the funded ratio based on the Actuarial Value of Assets. It is based on the Plan's layered amortization policy, where the UAL as of July 1, 2019 is paid off over 10 years, and all future gains or losses are amortized over a new closed 20-year period. While the baseline-funded ratio (black line) is projected to be nearly $100 \%$ at the end of the period shown here, there is a wide range of potential outcomes. Good investment returns have the likelihood of bringing the funded ratio well over $100 \%$.

Under both the current funding policy of the Plan, even in scenarios with unfavorable investment returns the Plan is projected to remain close to $60-65 \%$ funded on an Actuarial Value of Assets basis, as long as the actuarially determined contributions continue to be made.

## Contribution Risk

While investment returns are typically the dominant factor in volatility, contribution rates can also be sensitive to future salary increases and the hiring of new members. When member payroll growth stagnates or even declines, the dollar level of contributions made to the Plan also stagnates or declines since contributions are based on payroll levels, though this will generally only present a funding issue if there is an extended period of payroll reductions.

There is also a risk of the contribution rate increasing even higher when payroll decreases since the Plan's funding policy amortizes the UAL as a level percentage of pay. This means that the UAL payments increase at the assumed payroll growth rate of $2.75 \%$, so that the payment is expected to remain constant as a percentage of payroll. If payroll growth is less than the expected
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$2.75 \%$ or there is a decline in payroll, the UAL payments are spread over a smaller payroll base and the contribution rate as a percentage of pay increases, making the Plan less affordable for those sponsors with declining payroll bases.

For example, the UAL Amortization rate as of June 30, 2022 for the FYE 2024 is $22.16 \%$ after reflecting the phase in. If the projected payroll for FYE 2024 were $2.75 \%$ lower, all else being equal, the UAL Amortization rate would increase to $22.78 \%$.

## More Detailed Assessment

While a more detailed assessment is always valuable to enhance the understanding of the risks identified above, we believe the scenarios illustrated above cover the primary risks facing the Plan at this time. We would be happy to provide the Board with a more in-depth analysis at their request.
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Pension Plan assets play a key role in the financial operation of the Plan and in the decisions the Board may make with respect to future deployment of those assets. The level of assets, the allocation of assets among asset classes, and the methodology used to measure assets will likely impact benefit levels, employer contributions, and the ultimate security of participants' benefits.

In this section, we present detailed information on Plan assets including:

- Disclosure of Plan assets as of June 30, 2021 and June 30, 2022
- Statement of the changes in market values during the year
- Development of the Actuarial Value of Assets


## Disclosure

There are two types of asset values disclosed in the valuation, the Market Value of Assets and the Actuarial Value of Assets. The market value represents "snap-shot" or "cash-out" values, which provide the principal basis for measuring financial performance from one year to the next. Market values, however, can fluctuate widely with corresponding swings in the marketplace. As a result, market values are usually not as suitable for long-range planning as are the Actuarial Value of Assets, which reflect smoothing of annual investment returns.

Table III-1 discloses and compares each component of the market asset value as of June 30, 2021 and June 30, 2022.

| Table III-1 <br> Statement of Assets at Market Value <br> June 30. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investments |  | 2021 |  | 2022 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | \$ | 2,890,306 | \$ | 4,053,487 |
| Equity Securities |  | 97,425,791 |  | 79,141,858 |
| Fixed Income Securities |  | 26,114,152 |  | 30,436,954 |
| Real Estate |  | 7,264,978 |  | 12,685,497 |
| Total Investments | \$ | 133,695,227 | \$ | 126,317,796 |
| Receivables: |  |  |  |  |
| Securities Sold | \$ | 5,936,189 | \$ | 3,464,477 |
| Interest and Dividends |  | 206,769 |  | 205,687 |
| Other Receivable |  | 16,260 |  | 94,197 |
| Total Receivables | \$ | 6,159,218 | \$ | 3,764,361 |
| Payables |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts Payable | \$ | $(386,812)$ | \$ | $(140,577)$ |
| Benefits Payable |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Other Payable |  | $(10,100,912)$ |  | $(9,358,479)$ |
| Total Payables | \$ | $(10,487,724)$ | \$ | $(9,499,056)$ |
| Market Value of Assets | \$ | 129,366,721 | \$ | 120,583,101 |

## SECTION III - ASSETS

## Changes in Market Value

The components of asset change are:

- Contributions (employer and employee)
- Benefit payments
- Expenses (investment and administrative)
- Investment income (realized and unrealized)

Table III-2 shows the components of a change in the Market Value of Assets during 2021 and 2022.

| Table III-2Changes in Market Values |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2021 |  | 2022 |
| Contributions |  |  |  |  |
| Employer's Contribution | \$ | 9,807,539 | \$ | 10,993,228 |
| Members' Contributions |  | 466,141 |  | 705,053 |
| Total Contributions | \$ | 10,273,680 | \$ | 11,698,281 |
| Investment Income |  |  |  |  |
| Interest \& Dividends | \$ | 1,380,961 | \$ | 1,790,970 |
| Realized \& Unrealized Gain/(Loss) |  | 28,093,811 |  | $(10,960,741)$ |
| Other Investment Income |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Investment Expenses |  | $(498,128)$ |  | $(631,831)$ |
| Total Investment Income | \$ | 28,976,644 | \$ | $(9,801,602)$ |
| Disbursements |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit Payments | \$ | $(10,182,471)$ | \$ | $(11,086,271)$ |
| Administrative Expenses |  | $(253,303)$ |  | $(262,018)$ |
| Transfer from/(to) Union Plans |  | 0 |  | 667,990 |
| Total Disbursements | \$ | $(10,435,774)$ | \$ | $(10,680,299)$ |
| Net increase (Decrease) | \$ | 28,814,550 | \$ | $(8,783,620)$ |
| Net Assets Held in Trust for Benefits: |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning of Year | \$ | 100,552,171 | \$ | 129,366,721 |
| End of Year | \$ | 129,366,721 | \$ | 120,583,101 |
| Approximate Return |  | 28.84\% |  | -7.55\% |
| Administrative Expenses as a Percentage of Mean Assets |  | 0.20\% |  | 0.22\% |
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## Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA)

The Actuarial Value of Assets represents a "smoothed" value developed by the actuary to reduce the volatile results that could develop due to short-term fluctuations in the Market Value of Assets. For this Plan, the Actuarial Value of Assets is calculated on a modified market-related value. The Market Value of Assets is adjusted to recognize, over a five-year period, investment earnings which are greater than (or less than) the assumed investment return.

| Table III-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Development of Actuarial Value of Assets as of July 1, 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) | $(\mathrm{e})=(\mathrm{d})-(\mathrm{c})$ | (f) | $(\mathrm{g})=(\mathrm{e}) \times(\mathrm{f})$ |
|  | Total | Total | Expected | Actual | Additional | Not | Unrecognized |
| Year | Contributions | Disbursements | Return | Return | Earnings | Recognized | Earnings |
| 2017-2018 | 7,812,272 | $(5,387,976)$ | 6,223,723 | 6,073,483 | $(150,240)$ | 0\% | 0 |
| 2018-2019 | 8,697,108 | $(8,290,228)$ | 6,766,681 | 5,649,123 | $(1,117,558)$ | 20\% | $(223,512)$ |
| 2019-2020 | 9,519,564 | $(9,679,636)$ | 7,185,291 | 1,526,151 | $(5,659,140)$ | 40\% | $(2,263,656)$ |
| 2020-2021 | 10,273,680 | $(10,435,774)$ | 6,781,890 | 28,976,644 | 22,194,754 | 60\% | 13,316,852 |
| 2021-2022 | 11,698,281 | $(10,680,299)$ | 8,766,050 | $(9,801,602)$ | (18,567,652) | 80\% | $(14,854,121)$ |
| 1. Total Unreco | gnized Dollars |  |  |  |  |  | $(4,024,437)$ |
| 2. Market Valu | e of Assets as of | f June 30, 2022 |  |  |  |  | 120,583,101 |
| 3. Actuarial V | lue of Assets a | of June 30, 202 | [(2) - (1)] |  |  |  | 124,607,538 |
| 4. Ratio of Act $[(3) \div(2)]$ | uarial Value to | Market Value |  |  |  |  | 103.34\% |

## SECTION III - ASSETS

## Investment Performance

The following table calculates the investment related gain/loss for the plan year on both a market value and an actuarial value basis. The market value gain/loss is an appropriate measure for comparing the actual asset performance to the previous valuation's long-term $6.75 \%$ assumption.

\left.| Table III-4 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Asset Gain/(Loss) |  |  |$\right)$

## SECTION IV - LIABILITIES

In this section, we present detailed information on Plan liabilities including:

- Disclosure of Plan liabilities on July 1, 2021 and July 1, 2022
- Statement of changes in these liabilities during the year


## Disclosure

Several types of liabilities are calculated and presented in this report. Each type is distinguished by the people ultimately using the figures and the purpose for which they are using them. Note that these liabilities are not applicable for settlement purposes, including the purchase of annuities and the payment of lump sums.

- Present Value of Future Benefits: Used for measuring all future Plan obligations, represents the amount of money needed today to fully fund all benefits of the Plan both earned as of the valuation date and those to be earned in the future by current plan participants, under the current Plan provisions.
- Actuarial Liability: Used for funding calculations, the normal cost rate is equal to the total projected value of benefits at entry age, divided by present value of future salary at entry age. The dollar amount of the normal cost equal to the normal cost rate multiplied by each member's projected pay. The Actuarial Liability is the portion of the present value of future benefits not covered by future expected normal costs. This method is called Entry Age to Final Decrement (EAFD).
- Unfunded Actuarial Liability: The excess of the Actuarial Liability over the Actuarial Value of Assets.
Table IV-1 discloses each of these liabilities for the current and prior valuations.


## Table IV-1 Liabilities/Net (Surplus)/Unfunded July 1, 2021

| Present Value of Future Benefits |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active Participant Benefits | \$ | 102,458,018 \$ | 109,162,656 |
| Retiree and Inactive Benefits |  | 111,486,485 | 114,503,572 |
| Present Value of Future Benefits (PVB) | \$ | 213,944,503 \$ | 223,666,228 |
| Actuarial Liability |  |  |  |
| Present Value of Future Benefits (PVB) | \$ | 213,944,503 \$ | 223,666,228 |
| Present Value of Future Normal Costs (PVFNC) |  | 37,787,836 | 40,075,238 |
| Actuarial Liability (AL = PVB - PVFNC) | \$ | 176,156,667 \$ | 183,590,990 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA) |  | 115,483,473 | 124,607,538 |
| Net (Surplus)/Unfunded (AL - AVA) | \$ | 60,673,194 \$ | 58,983,452 |
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## SECTION IV - LIABILITIES

## Changes in Liabilities

Each of the Liabilities disclosed in the prior table are expected to change at each valuation. The components of that change, depending upon which liability is analyzed, can include:

- New hires since the last valuation
- Benefits accrued since the last valuation
- Plan amendments increasing benefits
- Passage of time which adds interest to the prior liability
- Benefits paid to retirees since the last valuation
- Participants retiring, terminating, or dying at rates different than expected
- A change in actuarial or investment assumptions
- A change in the actuarial funding method or software
- Transfers of liabilities from one plan to another

Unfunded liabilities will change because of all of the above, and also due to changes in Plan assets resulting from:

- Employer contributions different than expected
- Investment earnings different than expected
- A change in the method used to measure plan assets
- Transfer of assets from one plan to another

| Table IV-2  <br> Changes in Actuarial Liability  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2021 | $\$$ | $176,156,667$ |
| Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2022 | $\$$ | $183,590,990$ |
| Liability Increase (Decrease) |  | $7,434,323$ |
|  |  |  |
| Change due to: | $\$$ | 191,914 |
| Actuarial Methods / Software Changes |  | 0 |
| Plan Changes |  | 0 |
| Assumption Changes | 836,523 |  |
| Transfer In / (Out) from ATU | $4,835,524$ |  |
| Accrual of Benefits | $(11,086,271)$ |  |
| Actual Benefit Payments | $11,710,829$ |  |
| Interest | 945,804 |  |
| Actuarial (Gain)/Loss |  |  |

## SECTION IV - LIABILITIES

| Table IV-3 <br> Development of Actuarial Gain / (Loss) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Unfunded Actuarial Liability at Start of Year (not less than zero) | \$ | 60,673,194 |
| 2. Employer Normal Cost at Middle of Year |  | 4,835,524 |
| 3. Interest on 1. and 2. to End of Year |  | 4,255,975 |
| 4. Expected Contributions and Admin Expenses in Prior Year |  | 11,083,255 |
| 5. Interest on 4. to End of Year |  | 367,952 |
| 6. Change in Unfunded Actuarial Liability Due to Changes in Actuarial Methods |  | 191,914 |
| 7. Change in Unfunded Actuarial Liability Due to Changes in Assumptions |  | 0 |
| 8. Change in Unfunded Actuarial Liability Due to Changes in Plan Design |  | 0 |
| 9. Expected Unfunded Actuarial Liability at End of Year $[1 .+2 .+3 .-4 .-5 .+6 .+7 .+8$. | \$ | 58,505,400 |
| 10. Actual Unfunded Actuarial Liability at End of Year (not less than zero) |  | 58,983,452 |
| 11. Actuarial Gain / (Loss) [9. - 10.] | \$ | $(478,052)$ |
| Actuarial Gain / (Loss) From Liabilities more than expected |  | $(945,804)$ |
| Actuarial Gain / (Loss) From Actuarial Asset returns more than expected |  | 277,153 |
| Actuarial Gain / (Loss) From Expenses less than expected |  | 15,221 |
| Actuarial Gain / (Loss) From Contributions more than Actuarial Cost |  | 349,507 |
| Actuarial Gain / (Loss) From Transfer from ATU |  | $(174,128)$ |

# RETIREMENT PLAN FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES: <br> SALARIED ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2022 

## SECTION V - CONTRIBUTIONS

In the process of evaluating the financial condition of any pension plan, the actuary analyzes the assets and liabilities to determine what level (if any) of contributions is needed to properly maintain the funding status of the Plan. Typically, the actuarial process will use a funding technique that will result in a pattern of contributions that are both stable and predictable.

For this Plan, the actuarial funding method used to determine the normal cost and the Unfunded Actuarial Liability is the Entry Age to Final Decrement (EAFD) cost method.

The normal cost rate for each member is determined with the normal cost percentage equal to the total projected value of benefits at entry age, divided by present value of future salary at entry age. Normal cost contributions are assumed to be made throughout the year, or on average mid-year.

The Unfunded Actuarial Liability is the difference between the EAFD Actuarial Liability and the Actuarial Value of Assets. The UAL rate is based on a 10-year level percentage of payroll amortization of the remainder of the Unfunded Actuarial Liability as of July 1, 2019, again assuming mid-year payment to reflect the fact that employer contributions are made throughout the year. Effective July 1, 2020, changes in the UAL are amortized over new closed 20-year schedules, known as layered amortization. The payment for the UAL layer associated with the assumption changes adopted as part of the July 1, 2020 actuarial valuation was phased-in over a three-year period.

Beginning with the July 1, 2013 actuarial valuation, an amount equal to the expected administrative expenses for the Plan is added directly to the actuarial cost calculation. Previously, this cost was implicitly included in the calculation of the normal cost and unfunded liability payment, based on the use of a discount rate that was net of anticipated administrative expenses.

Members hired on or after January 1, 2015 contribute half of the normal cost of the Plan rounded to the nearest $0.25 \%$. Once established, contribution rate for New Members is adjusted to reflect a change in the normal cost rate, but only if the normal cost rate changed by more than $1 \%$ of payroll. For the Fiscal Year 2022-2023, the contribution rate for PEPRA members was $6.50 \%$ of payroll (based on $1 / 2$ of $13.08 \%$, rounded to the nearest quarter). The normal cost rate for the PEPRA members as of the July 1, 2022 valuation is $13.83 \%$, and since the increase is less than $1 \%$, the rate for the following fiscal year will not change. Table V-2 contains the details of this calculation.

The tables on the following pages present the employer contributions for the Plan for the current and prior valuations as well as details on the amortization of the UAL.

## SECTION V - CONTRIBUTIONS

| Table V-1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July 1, 2021 |  | July 1, 2022 |  |
| 1. Entry Age Normal Cost (Middle of Year) |  |  |  |  |
| a. Termination | \$ | 399,011 | \$ | 424,361 |
| b. Retirement |  | 4,073,623 |  | 4,313,018 |
| c. Disability |  | - |  | - |
| d. Death |  | 97,683 |  | 102,019 |
| e. Refunds |  | 37,369 |  | 49,391 |
| f. Total Normal Cost (a) + (b) + (c) + (d) + (e) | \$ | 4,607,686 | \$ | 4,888,789 |
| 2. Entry Age Actuarial Liability Active Members |  |  |  |  |
| a. Termination | \$ | 331,139 | \$ | 349,583 |
| b. Retirement |  | 63,418,002 |  | 67,853,568 |
| c. Disability |  | - |  | - |
| d. Death |  | 1,011,782 |  | 1,037,511 |
| e. Refunds |  | $(90,741)$ |  | $(153,244)$ |
| f. Total Active Liability: $(\mathrm{a})+(\mathrm{b})+(\mathrm{c})+(\mathrm{d})+(\mathrm{e})$ Inactive Members | \$ | 64,670,182 | \$ | 69,087,418 |
| g. Termination | \$ | 7,497,854 | \$ | 6,488,732 |
| h. Retirement |  | 98,062,876 |  | 101,818,425 |
| i. Disability |  | 584,816 |  | 912,338 |
| j. Death |  | 5,307,557 |  | 5,210,660 |
| k. Non-Vested Due Refund |  | 21,320 |  | 67,257 |
| 1. Transfer |  | 12,062 |  | 6,160 |
| m. Total Inactive Liability: $(\mathrm{g})+(\mathrm{h})+(\mathrm{i})+(\mathrm{j})+(\mathrm{k})+(\mathrm{l})$ | \$ | 111,486,485 | \$ | 114,503,572 |
| n. Total Entry Age Actuarial Liability: (2f) $+(2 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | \$ | 176,156,667 | \$ | 183,590,990 |
| 3. Actuarial Value of Assets | \$ | 115,483,473 | \$ | 124,607,538 |
| 4. Unfunded Actuarial Liability: (2n) - (3) | \$ | 60,673,194 | \$ | 58,983,452 |
| 5. Unfunded Actuarial Liability Amortization at Middle of Year as a Level Percentage of Payroll | \$ | 6,461,387 | \$ | 6,704,828 |
| 6. Expected Administrative Expenses | \$ | 276,750 | \$ | 283,669 |
| 7. Expected Member Contributions | \$ | $(623,295)$ | \$ | $(818,317)$ |
| 8. Employer Contribution Payable in Monthly Installments: $(1 \mathrm{f})+(5)+(6)+(7)$ | \$ | 10,722,528 | \$ | 11,058,968 |
| 9. Covered Payroll (Normal Cost) | \$ | 26,445,336 | \$ | 28,618,777 |
| 10. Covered Payroll (UAL Amort and Expenses) | \$ | 27,758,461 | \$ | 30,260,855 |
| 11. Employer Contribution as a Percent of Covered |  | $39.34 \%$ |  | 37.32\% |
| Payroll: [(1f) + (7)] / (9) + [(5) + (6)] / (10) <br> 12. Employer Net Phased-in Contribution as a Percent of Covered Payroll |  | 38.57\% |  | $37.32 \%^{1}$ |

[^12]
## RETIREMENT PLAN FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES:

 SALARIED ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2022SECTION V - CONTRIBUTIONS

Table V-2
Development of Amortization Payment

| Type of Base | Date <br> Established |  | Initial <br> Amount | $\qquad$ |  | 07/01/2022 <br> Outstanding <br> Balance | Remaining Amortization Years |  | Amortization Amount without Phase-In |  | Amortization Amount with Phase-In |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Remaining UAL as of 2019 | 07/01/2019 | \$ | 54,167,658 | 13 | \$ | 47,826,583 | 10 | \$ | 5,832,850 | \$ | 5,832,850 |
| 2020 Experience | 07/01/2020 |  | 3,804,295 | 20 |  | 3,738,333 | 18 |  | 291,125 |  | 291,125 |
| Assumption changes ${ }^{1}$ | 07/01/2020 |  | 8,637,242 | 20 |  | 9,119,250 | 18 |  | 710,167 |  | 710,167 |
| 7/1/2021 Experience | 07/01/2021 |  | $(2,388,330)$ | 20 |  | $(2,370,680)$ | 19 |  | $(177,876)$ |  | $(177,876)$ |
| 7/1/2022 Experience | 07/01/2022 |  | 669,966 | 20 |  | 669,966 | 20 |  | 48,562 |  | 48,562 |
| Total Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) |  |  |  |  | \$ | 58,983,452 |  | \$ | 6,704,828 | \$ | 6,704,828 |

${ }^{1}$ The 3 -year phase in is only applicable to the assumption changes effective July 1, 2020

## RETIREMENT PLAN FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES: SALARIED ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2022

## SECTION V - CONTRIBUTIONS

Table V-3 shows the allocation of the cost calculation between the groups.

| Table V-3 <br> Allocation of Liabilities, Assets, and Cost amoung Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AEA | AFSCME | MCEG | AFST | PEPRA | Total |
| Actuarial Liability |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Active | 6,013,865 | 27,215,527 | 25,344,544 | 4,934,570 | 5,578,911 | 69,087,418 |
| Inactive | 37,899,736 | 25,193,550 | 44,686,885 | 6,515,568 | 207,834 | 114,503,572 |
| Total Actuarial Liability | 43,913,601 | 52,409,077 | 70,031,429 | 11,450,138 | 5,786,745 | 183,590,990 |
| Market Value of Assets |  |  |  |  |  | 120,583,101 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets |  |  |  |  |  | 124,607,538 |
| Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) |  |  |  |  |  | 58,983,452 |
| UAL Amortization (Middle of Year) | 347,312 | 1,924,113 | 1,248,488 | 265,275 | 2,919,639 | 6,704,828 |
| Total Normal Cost (Middle of Year) | 277,697 | 1,654,209 | 1,010,651 | 205,119 | 1,741,113 | 4,888,789 |
| Expected Employee Contributions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(818,318)$ | $(818,318)$ |
| Administrative Expense | 14,694 | 81,406 | 52,821 | 11,223 | 123,524 | 283,669 |
| Employer Contribution Payable Monthly | 639,703 | 3,659,728 | 2,311,961 | 481,618 | 3,965,958 | 11,058,968 |
| Covered Payroll (Normal Cost) | 1,495,907 | 8,003,557 | 5,459,882 | 1,069,928 | 12,589,503 | 28,618,777 |
| Covered Payroll (UAL Amort and Admin) | 1,567,521 | 8,684,088 | 5,634,794 | 1,197,266 | 13,177,186 | 30,260,855 |
| Total Normal Cost as a \% of Payroll | 18.56\% | 20.67\% | 18.51\% | 19.17\% | 13.83\% | 17.08\% |
| Employee Contribution Rate | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | (6.50\%) | (2.86\%) |
| Employer Normal Cost as a \% of Payroll | 18.56\% | 20.67\% | 18.51\% | 19.17\% | 7.33\% | 14.22\% |
| UAL Amortization Rate | 22.16\% | 22.16\% | 22.16\% | 22.16\% | 22.16\% | 22.16\% |
| Administrative Expense Rate | 0.94\% | 0.94\% | 0.94\% | 0.94\% | 0.94\% | 0.94\% |
| Total Contribution as a \% of Payroll | 41.66\% | 43.77\% | 41.61\% | 42.27\% | 30.43\% | $37.32 \%^{1}$ |

[^13]
## RETIREMENT PLAN FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES: SALARIED ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2022

## SECTION V - CONTRIBUTIONS

Table V-4 shows the allocation of the cost calculation between PEPRA and Non-PEPRA members.

| Table V-4 <br> Salaried PEPRA/Non-PEPRA Summary <br> Non-PEPRA <br> PEPRA <br> Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Entry Age Normal Cost (Middle of Year) | \$ | 3,147,676 | \$ | 1,741,113 | \$ | 4,888,789 |
| 2. Covered Payroll (Normal Cost) | \$ | 16,029,274 | \$ | 12,589,503 | \$ | 28,618,777 |
| 3. Normal Cost as a Percent of Covered Payroll: (1) / (2) |  | 19.64\% |  | 13.83\% |  | 17.08\% |
| 4. Expected Employee Contributions as a Percent of Covered Payroll |  | 0.00\% |  | ( 6.50\%) |  | ( $2.86 \%$ ) |
| 5. Entry Age Actuarial Liability | \$ | 178,012,079 | \$ | 5,578,911 | \$ | 183,590,990 |
| 6. Actuarial Value of Assets |  |  |  |  | \$ | 124,607,538 |
| 7. Unfunded Actuarial Liability: (5) - (6) |  |  |  |  | \$ | 58,983,452 |
| 8. Unfunded Actuarial Liability Amortization at Middle of Year as a Level Percentage of Payroll | \$ | 3,785,189 | \$ | 2,919,639 | \$ | 6,704,828 |
| 9. Expected Administrative Expenses | \$ | 160,144 | \$ | 123,524 | \$ | 283,669 |
| 10. Expected Employee Contributions | \$ | 0 | \$ | $(818,317)$ | \$ | $(818,317)$ |
| 11. Employer Contribution Payable in Monthly Installments: $(1)+(8)+(9)+(10)$ | \$ | 7,093,010 | \$ | 3,965,958 | \$ | 11,058,968 |
| 12. Covered Payroll (UAL Amort and Expenses) | \$ | 17,083,669 | \$ | 13,177,186 | \$ | 30,260,855 |
| 13. Total Contribution as a Percent of Covered Payroll: $[(1)+(10)] /(2)+[(8)+(9)] /(12)$ |  | 42.74\% |  | 30.43\% |  | $37.32 \%^{1}$ |

[^14]
## APPENDIX A - MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

The data for this valuation was provided by the Sacramento Regional District Transit staff as of July 1, 2022.

| Active Participants | July 1, 2021 | July 1, 2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classic | 148 | 137 |
| PEPRA | 103 | 136 |
| Total Number | 251 | 273 |
| Number Vested | 162 | 160 |
| Average Age | 48.2 | 47.0 |
| Average Service | 10.9 | 10.3 |
| Average Pay | \$110,591 | \$110,846 |
| Retired |  |  |
| Number | 330 | 340 |
| Average Age | 68.4 | 68.7 |
| Average Annual Benefit | \$29,222 | \$29,677 |
| Beneficiaries |  |  |
| Number | 21 | 22 |
| Average Age | 68.5 | 69.5 |
| Average Annual Benefit | \$25,805 | \$24,720 |
| Disabled |  |  |
| Number | 5 | 6 |
| Average Age | 67.9 | 66.1 |
| Average Annual Benefit | \$15,464 | \$17,921 |
| Term Vested |  |  |
| Number | 54 | 52 |
| Average Age | 48.0 | 48.1 |
| Average Annual Benefit | \$15,538 | \$14,404 |
| Transferred |  |  |
| Number | 2 | 1 |
| Average Age | 51.8 | 61.6 |
| Average Annual Benefit | \$646 | \$422 |
| Terminated Due Refund of Employee Contributions |  |  |
| Number | 4 | 9 |
| Average Estimated Refund | \$5,330 | \$7,473 |

RETIREMENT PLAN FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES: SALARIED ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2022

APPENDIX A - MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

| Changes in Plan Membership: AEA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actives | Actives with Transfer Service ${ }^{1}$ | Non-Vested <br> Terms with Funds on Account | Vested <br> Terminations | Disabled | Retired | Beneficiaries ${ }^{2}$ | Total |
| July 1, 2021 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 4 | 180 | 10 | 243 |
| New Entrants | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Rehires | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Disabilities | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retirements | 0 | 0 | 0 | (2) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Vested Terminations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Died, With Beneficiary, QDRO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1) | 1 | 0 |
| Transfers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Died, No Beneficiary, \& Other Terminations | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (3) | 0 | (4) |
| Transfer Retirement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Beneficiary Deaths | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1) | (1) |
| Funds Transferred | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Refund of Contributions | (1) | 0 | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (2) |
| Data Corrections | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| July 1, 2022 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 5 | 179 | 10 | 245 |

${ }^{1}$ Transfers with service from another Non-Contract group will be excluded from the Actives with Transfer Service count.
${ }^{2}$ Beneficiary counts do not include DROs where benefits are paid over the member's lifetime.

RETIREMENT PLAN FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES: SALARIED ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2022

APPENDIX A - MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

| Changes in Plan Membership: AFSC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actives | Actives with Transfer Service ${ }^{1}$ | Non-Vested Terms with Funds on Account | Vested <br> Terminations | Disabled | Retired | Beneficiaries ${ }^{2}$ | Total |
| July 1, 2021 | 98 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 60 | 1 | 171 |
| New Entrants | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Rehires | 1 | 0 | 0 | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retirements | (4) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Vested Terminations | (1) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Died, With Beneficiary, QDRO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Transfers | 8 | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Died, No Beneficiary, \& Other Terminations | (1) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | (1) | 0 | (1) |
| Transfer Retirement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Beneficiary Deaths | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Funds Transferred | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Refund of Contributions | (1) | 0 | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (2) |
| Data Corrections | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| July 1, 2022 | 107 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 64 | 1 | 184 |

${ }^{1}$ Transfers with service from another Non-Contract group are excluded from the Actives with Transfer Service count.
${ }^{2}$ Beneficiary counts do not include DROs where benefits are paid over the member's lifetime.

RETIREMENT PLAN FOR SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEES: SALARIED ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2022

APPENDIX A - MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

| Changes in Plan Membership: AFST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actives | Actives with Transfer Service ${ }^{1}$ | Non-Vested <br> Terms with <br> Funds on <br> Account | Vested <br> Terminations | Disabled | Retired | Beneficiaries ${ }^{2}$ | Total |
| July 1, 2021 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 65 |
| New Entrants | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Rehires | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retirements | (3) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Vested Terminations | (1) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Died, With Beneficiary, QDRO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Transfers | (2) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (2) |
| Died, No Beneficiary, \& Other Terminations | (4) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Transfer Retirement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Beneficiary Deaths | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Funds Transferred | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Refund of Contributions | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1) |
| Data Corrections | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| July 1, 2022 | 40 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 75 |

${ }^{1}$ Transfers with service from another Non-Contract group are excluded from the Actives with Transfer Service count.
${ }^{2}$ Beneficiary counts do not include DROs where benefits are paid over the member's lifetime.
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| Changes in Plan Membership: MCEG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actives | Actives with Transfer Service ${ }^{1}$ | Non-Vested <br> Terms with <br> Funds on <br> Account | Vested <br> Terminations | Disabled | Retired | Beneficiaries ${ }^{2}$ | Total |
| July 1, 2021 | 86 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 71 | 9 | 188 |
| New Entrants | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Rehires | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1) | 0 | 0 |
| Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retirements | (3) | 0 | 0 | (3) | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Vested Terminations | (1) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Died, With Beneficiary, QDRO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1) | 1 | 0 |
| Transfers | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Died, No Beneficiary, \& Other Terminations | (3) | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Transfer Retirement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Beneficiary Deaths | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Funds Transferred | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Refund of Contributions | (4) | 0 | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (5) |
| Data Corrections | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| July 1, 2022 | 92 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 75 | 10 | 199 |

${ }^{1}$ Transfers with service from another Non-Contract group excluded from the Actives with Transfer Service count
${ }^{2}$ Beneficiary counts do not include DROs where benefits are paid over the member's lifetime.
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| Changes in Plan Membership: All Non-Contract |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actives | Actives with Transfer Service ${ }^{1}$ | Non-Vested Terms with Funds on Account | Vested <br> Terminations | Disabled | Retired | Beneficiaries ${ }^{2}$ | Total |
| July 1, 2021 | 251 | 2 | 4 | 54 | 5 | 330 | 21 | 667 |
| New Entrants | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| Rehires | 2 | 0 | 0 | (1) | 0 | (1) | 0 | 0 |
| Disabilities | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retirements | (10) | 0 | 0 | (5) | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Vested Terminations | (3) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Died, With Beneficiary, QDRO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (2) | 2 | 0 |
| Transfers | 10 | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Died, No Beneficiary, \& Other Terminations | (9) | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | (4) | 0 | (5) |
| Transfer Retirement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Beneficiary Deaths | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1) | (1) |
| Funds Transferred | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Refund of Contributions | (7) | 0 | (3) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (10) |
| Data Corrections | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| July 1, 2022 | 273 | 1 | 9 | 52 | 6 | 340 | 22 | 703 |

${ }^{1}$ Transfers with service from another Non-Contract group are excluded from the Actives with Transfer Service count.
${ }^{2}$ Beneficiary counts do not include DROs where benefits are paid over the member's lifetime.

## APPENDIX A - MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

Age / Service Distribution Of Non-Union Active Participants
As of July 1, 2022

| Age | Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 to 9 | 10 to 14 | 15 to 19 | 20 to 24 | 25 to 29 | 30 to 34 | 35 \& up |  |
| Under 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 to 24 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 25 to 29 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 30 to 34 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 35 to 39 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 40 to 44 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| 45 to 49 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| 50 to 54 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 14 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 53 |
| 55 to 59 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 60 to 64 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 20 |
| 65 to 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 70 \& up | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | 35 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 14 | 44 | 22 | 48 | 34 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 273 |

Average Age $=47.0$
Average Service $=10.3$
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## APPENDIX A - MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

Payroll Distribution Of Non-Union Active Participants

$$
\text { As of July 1, } 2022
$$

| Age | Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 to 9 | 10 to 14 | 15 to 19 | 20 to 24 | 25 to 29 | 30 to 34 | 35 \& up |  |
| Under 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 to 24 | 53,012 | 68,766 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56,951 |
| 25 to 29 | 71,187 | 0 | 55,990 | 117,530 | 83,627 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80,180 |
| 30 to 34 | 90,049 | 82,217 | 97,379 | 114,757 | 101,061 | 109,551 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100,310 |
| 35 to 39 | 77,357 | 92,309 | 0 | 93,939 | 73,986 | 104,234 | 101,705 | 129,281 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95,558 |
| 40 to 44 | 91,189 | 99,857 | 107,818 | 113,340 | 94,439 | 116,063 | 101,500 | 113,138 | 94,287 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105,821 |
| 45 to 49 | 79,867 | 113,300 | 97,018 | 93,316 | 102,014 | 110,537 | 101,161 | 135,235 | 132,416 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111,872 |
| 50 to 54 | 96,864 | 112,730 | 112,465 | 116,577 | 0 | 99,938 | 121,202 | 138,591 | 137,697 | 154,659 | 84,640 | 0 | 123,665 |
| 55 to 59 | 0 | 101,075 | 107,190 | 113,664 | 104,175 | 128,510 | 143,952 | 126,476 | 128,139 | 163,225 | 0 | 0 | 126,539 |
| 60 to 64 | 93,639 | 0 | 0 | 115,228 | 0 | 99,142 | 109,981 | 101,403 | 116,584 | 130,182 | 185,576 | 0 | 114,557 |
| 65 to 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58,483 | 121,501 | 0 | 130,732 | 183,015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123,433 |
| 70 \& up | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88,219 | 163,025 | 202,377 | 0 | 151,207 |
| Total | 81,288 | 98,009 | 103,451 | 109,863 | 90,048 | 108,390 | 113,359 | 126,869 | 126,808 | 153,004 | 157,531 | 0 | 110,846 |

## APPENDIX A - MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

Service Retired Participants and
Beneficiaries

| Age | Number | Average <br> Monthly <br> Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $35-39$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $40-44$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $45-49$ | 1 | $\$ 2,546$ |
| $50-54$ | 6 | $\$ 2,958$ |
| $55-59$ | 36 | $\$ 2,411$ |
| $60-64$ | 72 | $\$ 2,365$ |
| $65-69$ | 100 | $\$ 2,882$ |
| $70-74$ | 77 | $\$ 2,521$ |
| $75-79$ | 44 | $\$ 1,853$ |
| $80-84$ | 16 | $\$ 1,585$ |
| $85-89$ | 6 | $\$ 2,867$ |
| $90-94$ | 3 | $\$ 716$ |
| $95+$ | 1 | $\$ 193$ |
| Total | 362 | $\$ 2,448$ |

Terminated Vested Participants

| Age | Number | Average <br> Monthly <br> Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $25-29$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $30-34$ | 1 | $\$ 637$ |
| $35-39$ | 2 | $\$ 535$ |
| $40-44$ | 14 | $\$ 769$ |
| $45-49$ | 14 | $\$ 1,338$ |
| $50-54$ | 17 | $\$ 1,729$ |
| $55-59$ | 3 | $\$ 516$ |
| $60-64$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $65-69$ | 1 | $\$ 263$ |
| $70-74$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $75-79$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $80-84$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $85-89$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $90+$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Ages | 52 | $\$ 1,200$ |

Disabled Participants

| Age | Number | A verage <br> Monthly <br> Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $35-39$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $40-44$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $45-49$ | 1 | $\$ 579$ |
| $50-54$ | 1 | $\$ 2,517$ |
| $55-59$ | 1 | $\$ 1,739$ |
| $60-64$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $65-69$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $70-74$ | 1 | $\$ 2,561$ |
| $75-79$ | 1 | $\$ 978$ |
| $80-84$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $85-89$ | 1 | $\$ 586$ |
| $90-94$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $95+$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | 6 | $\$ 1,493$ |

Tranferred Participants

| Age | Number | Average <br> Monthly <br> Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $25-29$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $30-34$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $35-39$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $40-44$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $45-49$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $50-54$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $55-59$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $60-64$ | 1 | $\$ 35$ |
| $65-69$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $70-74$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $75-79$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $80-84$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $85-89$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| $90+$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Ages | 1 | $\$ 35$ |
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## APPENDIX B - STATEMENT OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

The assumptions and methods used in the actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2022 are:

## Actuarial Method

The normal cost (and resulting Actuarial Liability) is determined as a single result for each individual, with the normal cost percentage equal to the total projected value of benefits at entry age, divided by the present value of future salary at entry age.

The excess of the Actuarial Liability over Plan assets is the Unfunded Actuarial Liability. As of July 1, 2007, the amortization period was reset to a 30 -year period, decreasing two years with each valuation until a 20-year amortization period was achieved, at which point the amortization period was reduced by one year annually. The amortization period as of July 1, 2022 is 10 years for the UAL determined as of July 1, 2019 with 20-year layered amortization for UAL changes after 2019. The payment for the UAL layer associated with the assumption changes adopted as part of the July 1, 2020 actuarial valuation was fully phased-in over a three-year period.

The total Plan cost is the sum of the normal cost, the amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Liability, and the expected administrative expenses.

## Actuarial Value of Plan Assets

The actuarial value of Plan assets is calculated on a modified market-related value. The Market Value of Assets is adjusted to recognize, over a five-year period, investment earnings which are greater than (or less than) the assumed investment return on the Market Value of Assets.

## Modeling

Cheiron utilizes ProVal actuarial valuation software leased from Winklevoss Technologies (WinTech) to calculate liabilities and project benefit payments. We have relied on WinTech as the developer of ProVal. We have a basic understanding of ProVal and have used ProVal in accordance with its original intended purpose. We have not identified any material inconsistencies in assumptions or output of ProVal that would affect this valuation.

Deterministic and stochastic projections in this valuation report were developed using R-scan, a proprietary tool used to illustrate the impact of changes in assumptions, methods, plan provisions, or actual experience (particularly investment experience) on the future financial status of the Plan. R-scan uses standard roll-forward techniques that implicitly assume a stable active population. Because R-scan does not automatically capture how changes in one variable affect all other variables, some scenarios may not be consistent. We have relied on Cheiron colleagues who developed the tool, and we have used the tool in accordance with its purpose.

## APPENDIX B - STATEMENT OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

## Actuarial Assumptions

The actuarial assumptions were developed based on an experience study covering the period from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2020.

## 1. Rate of Return

The annual rate of return on all Plan assets is assumed to be $6.75 \%$ for the current valuation net of investment, but not administrative, expenses.

## 2. Cost of Living

The cost of living as measured by the Consumer Price Index (CPI) is assumed to increase at the rate of $2.50 \%$ per year.

## 3. Increases in Pensionable Payroll / Amortization Payments

Overall pensionable compensation (used in the calculation of amortization payments) is expected to grow by $2.75 \%$ per year. The PEPRA Compensation Limit is assumed to increase by $2.50 \%$ per year (in line with the increase in the CPI).

## 4. Plan Expenses

Administrative expenses are assumed to be $\$ 283,669$ for Fiscal Year 2022-23 and are added directly to the actuarial cost calculation. The expenses are assumed to increase with CPI in future years.

## 5. Increases in Pay

Assumed pay increases for active Participants consist of increases due to wage inflation and those due to longevity and promotion.

Based on an analysis of pay levels and service for the Salaried Plan Participants, we assume that pay increases due to longevity and promotion will occur in accordance with the following table:

| Pay Increases |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Base Increase | $2.75 \%$ |
| Longevity \& Promotion | $2.50 \%$ |
| Total (Compound) | $5.32 \%$ |

## APPENDIX B - STATEMENT OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

## 6. Family Composition

$85 \%$ of participants are assumed to be married. Males are assumed to be three years older than their spouses, and females are assumed to be three years younger than their spouses. This assumption is applied to active members, as well as retired members with a joint and survivor benefit where the data is missing the beneficiary date of birth.

## 7. Terminal Payments

Retirement benefits are assumed to be increased by $7 \%$ due to the application of payments for unused vacation and sick leave to Average Final Monthly Earnings.

No liability adjustment for retirement is used for members who joined the plan on or after January 1, 2015.

## 8. Bridging Service

The Plan has been modified to enable members who are rehired after a previous period of non-vested service to use this prior service for benefit and eligibility purposes. As the impact on the liabilities and contribution level of the Plan is expected to be minor and will depend on the number of members actually rehired (if any), no additional liability is currently being included for this provision.

## 9. Missed Pay Periods

A $0.57 \%$ load is applied to the normal cost for Salaried PEPRA members to adjust for the missed pay periods in which service is credited yet no contributions are made by the member.

## 10. Employment Status

No Plan Participants are assumed to transfer between the Salaried Plan and the ATU or IBEW Plans.

## APPENDIX B - STATEMENT OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

## 11. Rates of Termination

Rates of termination for all Participants from causes other than death, disability, and service retirement are based on the Participant's age, service, and sex.

Representative rates are shown in the following table:

| Termination Rates ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Years of |  |
| Service | Rate |
| $0-4$ | $10.00 \%$ |
| $5-9$ | $5.00 \%$ |
| $10-19$ | $3.00 \%$ |
| $20+$ | $1.50 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ No terminations are assumed after eligibility for normal retirement or after 25 years of service for nonPEPRA members. PEPRA members terminating with at least five years of service are expected to receive a deferred annuity benefit; those terminating with less than five years of service are expected to receive a refund of contributions (with interest).

## 12. Rates of Disability

No assumed incidence of disability.

## 13. Rates of Mortality for Active Healthy Lives

Rates of mortality for active Participants are given by the Retired Pensioners (RP) 2014 Male and Female Employee Mortality Tables projected with Scale MP-2020 published by the Society of Actuaries, with the base tables adjusted $130 \%$ for females.

## 14. Rates of Mortality for Disabled Retirees

Rates of mortality for all disabled Participants are given by Retired Pensioners (RP) 2014 Male and Female Disabled Retiree Mortality Tables projected with Scale MP-2020 published by the Society of Actuaries, with the base tables adjusted $130 \%$ for males and $115 \%$ for females.

## 15. Retired Member and Beneficiary Mortality

Rates of mortality for retired Participants and their beneficiaries are given by the Private Retirement (Pri) 2012 Bottom Quartile Tables for Healthy Annuitants Mortality Tables projected with Scale MP-2020 published by the Society of Actuaries, with the base tables adjusted $105 \%$ for females.

## APPENDIX B - STATEMENT OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

## 16. Rates of Retirement

Rates of service retirement among all Participants eligible to retire are given by the following table:

| Rates of Retirement $^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\mathbf{5 - 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 9}$ | $30+$ |
| Under 55 | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $10.00 \%$ | $15.00 \%$ |
| $55-59$ | $5.00 \%$ | $5.00 \%$ | $10.00 \%$ | $10.00 \%$ | $15.00 \%$ |
| 60 | $15.00 \%$ | $15.00 \%$ | $20.00 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ | $35.00 \%$ |
| $61-64$ | $8.25 \%$ | $15.00 \%$ | $20.00 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ | $35.00 \%$ |
| $65+$ | $25.00 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ | $20.00 \%$ | $35.00 \%$ | $35.00 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ The rate of service retirement among all Participants eligible to retire with 30 or more years of service is assumed to be $25.0 \%$ per year, and $100 \%$ per year for all Participants 70 or older. PEPRA members are assumed to begin retiring at age 52, with at least five years of service.

## 17. Since Last Valuation

None.
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## APPENDIX C - SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

## 1. Definitions

Average Final
Monthly
Earnings:

Compensation: A Participant's Compensation is the earnings paid in cash to the participant
A Participant's Average Final Monthly Earnings is the highest average consecutive 48 months' Compensation paid. Payments for accumulated vacation or sick leave not actually taken prior to retirement are included in computing Average Final Monthly Earnings if the last 48 months of compensation are used in the calculation, except for PEPRA members. during the applicable period of employment with the District.

PEPRA member's Compensation is computed using base salary, without overtime or other special compensation such as terminal payments. Pensionable compensation for PEPRA members is limited to the PEPRA Compensation Limit (for 2023, $\$ 146,042$ for those participating in Social Security; increased by the CPI-U in subsequent years).

Service: $\quad$ Service is computed from the date in which the Participant becomes a full or part-time employee and remains in continuous employment to the date employment ceases. Service includes time with the District or predecessor companies immediately prior to August 1, 1968 and subsequent to hire.
For AFSCME employees, service earned prior to January 1, 2015 is measured in completed quarters of a year and completed months for service earned on or after January 1, 2015. For MCEG and AEA employees, service is measured in completed months.

## 2. Participation

Eligibility: Any person employed by the District in a full or part-time position in an authorized job classification covered by one of the defined employee groups of (i) Non-union Management and Confidential Employees, (ii) Employee members of the Administrative Employee Association (AEA), or (iii) Employee members of American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME), is eligible to participate in the Plan.

Any member joining the Plan for the first time on or after January 1, 2015 is a New Member and will follow PEPRA provisions. Employees who transfer from and are eligible for reciprocity with another public employer will not be New Members if the service in the reciprocal system was under a pre-PEPRA plan.

## APPENDIX C - SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

## 3. Retirement Benefit

Eligibility: Prior to January 1, 2006, a Participant is eligible for normal service retirement upon attaining age 55 and completing nine or more years of service.

On and after January 1, 2006, a Participant is eligible for normal service retirement upon attaining age 55 and completing five or more years of service.

Effective January 1, 2000, employees with 25 years of credited service will be eligible for an early retirement option.

PEPRA members are eligible upon attaining age 52 and completing five or more years of service.

Benefit Amount: The normal service retirement benefit is the greater of the benefit accrued under the plan provisions in effect prior to February 1, 1994 or the Participant's benefit under the current plan provisions. Under the current plan provision, the member would receive a percentage of the Participant's Average Final Monthly Earnings multiplied by the Participant's service at retirement. For AFSCME members with at least five but less than nine years of service, a vesting schedule is applied unless the member has reached age 62.

For retirements and terminations prior to January 1, 2005, the percentage is equal to:

- $2.0 \%$, if the member retires prior to age 65 , and
- $2.5 \%$, if the member retires at age 65 or later.

For AEA and MCEG retirements and terminations on and after July 1, 2006 and prior to January 1, 2008, the percentage is equal to:

- $2.0 \%$, if the member retires at age 55 or with 25 years of service,
- $2.125 \%$, if the member retires at age 56 or with 26 years of service,
- $2.25 \%$, if the member retires between the ages of 57 and 64 or with 27 or more years of service, and
- $2.5 \%$, if the member retires at age 65 or later.

For retirements and terminations on and after January 1, 2008 (July 1, 2006 for AFSCME members), the percentage is equal to:

- $2.0 \%$, if the member retires at age 55 or with 25 years of service,
- $2.1 \%$, if the member retires at age 56 or with 26 years of service,
- $2.2 \%$, if the member retires at age 57 or with 27 years of service,
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## APPENDIX C - SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

- $2.3 \%$, if the member retires at age 58 or with 28 years of service,
- $2.4 \%$, if the member retires at age 59 or with 29 years of service, and
- $2.5 \%$, if the member retires at age 60 or later or with 30 or more years of service.

For PEPRA members, the benefit multiplier will be $1 \%$ at age 52, increasing by $0.1 \%$ for each year of age to $2.5 \%$ at 67 . In between exact ages, the multiplier will increase by $0.025 \%$ for each quarter year increase in age.

Form of Benefit: The benefit begins at retirement and continues for the Participant's life with no cost of living adjustments. A Participant may elect to receive reduced benefits in the form of a contingent annuity with $50 \%$ or $100 \%$ continuing to a beneficiary after death, or in the form of an increased benefit prior to receiving Social Security benefits, and a reduced benefit thereafter.

## 4. Disability Benefit

Eligibility: A Participant is eligible for a disability benefit if the Participant is unable to perform the duties of his or her job with the District, cannot be transferred to another job with the District, and has submitted satisfactory medical evidence of permanent disqualification from his or her job. Nine years of service is required to qualify for disability. Effective January 1, 2006, five years of service is needed to qualify for disability retirement for AEA and MCEG members.

Benefit Amount: For AEA and MCEG members, the disability benefit is equal to the Normal Retirement Benefit, using the Participant's Average Final Monthly Earnings and service accrued through the date of disability. For AFSCME members, the disability benefit is equal to $2 \%$ of the Participant's Average Final Monthly Earnings multiplied by service accrued through the date of disability. The disability benefit cannot exceed the Retirement Benefit the member would be entitled to on the basis of Average Final Monthly Earnings determined at the date of disability multiplied by the service the member would have attained had employment continued until age 62.

Form of Benefit: The benefit begins at disability and continues until recovery or for the Participant's life with no cost of living adjustments. A Participant may elect to receive reduced benefits in the form of a contingent annuity with $50 \%$ or $100 \%$ continuing to a beneficiary after death, or in the form of an increased benefit prior to receiving Social Security benefits, and a reduced benefit thereafter.
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## 5. Pre-Retirement Death Benefit

Eligibility: For deaths prior to January 1, 2006, a Participant's surviving spouse, Domestic Partner or minor dependent child is eligible for a pre-retirement death benefit if the Participant has completed nine years of service with the District.

For deaths on and after January 1, 2006, a Participant's surviving spouse, Domestic Partner, or minor dependent child is eligible for a pre-retirement death benefit if the Participant has completed five years of service with the District.

Benefit Amount: The pre-retirement death benefit is the actuarial equivalent of the Normal Retirement Benefit, as if the member retired on the day prior to his/her death. The amount payable to the spouse or Domestic Partner is equal to the Life benefit payable under Article V of the Plan document.

Form of Benefit: The death benefit begins when the Participant dies and continues for the life of the surviving spouse or Domestic Partner, or until the death, marriage, or attainment of 21 years of age of a dependent minor child. No optional form of benefit may be elected. No cost of living increases are payable.

## 6. Termination Benefit

Eligibility: A Participant is eligible for a termination benefit after earning five years of service. The Participant will be eligible to commence benefits at age 55 .

Benefit Amount: For AFSCME terminations, and AEA and MCEG terminations prior to January 1, 2006, the benefit payable to a vested terminated Participant is a percentage of the Normal Retirement Benefit earned on the date of termination, based on the age, service, and Average Final Monthly Earnings accrued by the Participant at that point. The percentage is based on the Participant's service with the District, as shown in the table below:

| Service | Vested Percentage |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $20 \%$ |
| 6 | $40 \%$ |
| 7 | $60 \%$ |
| 8 | $80 \%$ |
| 9 or more | $100 \%$ |

For AEA and MCEG terminations on and after January 1, 2006, a Participant is eligible after earning five years of service for the full Normal Retirement Benefit earned on the date of termination, based on the age,
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service, and Average Final Monthly Earnings accrued by the Participant at that point.

PEPRA members are eligible after earning five years of service for the full Normal Retirement Benefit earned on the date of termination, based on the service and Average Final Monthly Earnings accrued by the Participant at that point, and using the factor based on the age at which the benefit commences.

Form of Benefit: The termination benefit is payable for the life of the Participant only beginning at age 55. For PEPRA members the benefit can begin as early as age 52 . No cost of living increases are payable.

## 7. Reciprocity Benefit

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Eligibility: } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { A Participant who transfers from this Plan to the RT Union Plan, and who } \\
\text { is vested under this Plan, is eligible for a retirement benefit from this Plan. }
\end{array} \\
\text { Benefit Amount: }
\end{array} \begin{aligned}
& \text { The benefit payable to a vested transferred Participant is equal to the } \\
& \text { Normal Retirement Benefit based on service earned under this Plan to the } \\
& \text { date of transfer and based on Average Final Earnings computed under this } \\
& \text { Plan and the Union Plan together, as if the plans were a single plan. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 8. Funding

Members hired on or after January 1, 2015 contribute half of the normal cost of the Plan rounded to the nearest $0.25 \%$. Once established, contribution rate for New Members is adjusted to reflect a change in the normal cost rate, but only if the normal cost rate changed by more than $1 \%$ of payroll. For the Fiscal Year 2022-2023, the contribution rate for PEPRA members was $6.50 \%$ of payroll (based on $1 / 2$ of $13.08 \%$, rounded to the nearest quarter). The normal cost rate for the PEPRA members as of the July 1, 2022 valuation is $13.83 \%$, and since the increase is less than $1 \%$, the rate for the following fiscal year will not change.

The remaining cost of the Plan is paid by the District.

## 9. Changes in Plan Provisions

None.

## APPENDIX D - GLOSSARY

## 1. Actuarial Assumptions

Assumptions as to the occurrence of future events affecting pension costs such as mortality, withdrawal, disability, retirement, changes in compensation, and rates of investment return.

## 2. Actuarial Cost Method

A procedure for determining the actuarial present value of pension plan benefits and expenses and for developing an allocation of such value to each year of service, usually in the form of a normal cost and an Actuarial Liability.

## 3. Actuarial Gain (Loss)

The difference between actual experience and that expected based upon a set of actuarial Assumptions during the period between two actuarial valuation dates, as determined in accordance with a particular actuarial cost method.

## 4. Actuarial Liability

The portion of the actuarial present value of projected benefits that will not be paid by future normal costs. It represents the value of the past normal costs with interest to the valuation date.

## 5. Actuarial Present Value (Present Value)

The value as of a given date of a future amount or series of payments. The actuarial present value discounts the payments to the given date at the assumed investment return and includes the probability of the payment being made.

## 6. Actuarial Valuation

The determination, as of a specified date, of the normal cost, Actuarial Liability, Actuarial Value of Assets, and related actuarial present values for a pension plan.

## 7. Actuarial Value of Assets

The value of cash, investments, and other property belonging to a pension plan as used by the actuary for the purpose of an actuarial valuation. The purpose of an Actuarial Value of Assets is to smooth out fluctuations in market values.

## 8. Actuarially Equivalent

Of equal actuarial present value, determined as of a given date, with each value based on the same set of actuarial assumptions.
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## 9. Amortization Payment

The portion of the pension plan contribution, which is designed to pay interest and principal on the Unfunded Actuarial Liability in order to pay for that liability in a given number of years.

## 10. Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method

A method under which the actuarial present value of the projected benefits of each individual included in an actuarial valuation is allocated on a level basis over the earnings of the individual between entry age and assumed exit ages.

## 11. Funded Ratio

The ratio of the Actuarial Value of Assets to the Actuarial Liabilities.

## 12. Normal Cost

That portion of the actuarial present value of pension plan benefits and expenses that is allocated to a valuation year by the actuarial cost method.

## 13. Projected Benefits

Those pension plan benefit amounts which are expected to be paid in the future under a particular set of actuarial assumptions, taking into account such items as increases in future compensation and service credits.

## 14. Unfunded Actuarial Liability

The excess of the Actuarial Liability over the Actuarial Value of Assets. The Unfunded Actuarial Liability is not appropriate for assessing the sufficiency of plan assets to cover the estimated cost of settling the Plan's benefit obligation in the event of a plan termination or other similar action. However, it is an appropriate measure for assessing the need for or the amount of future contributions.

Classic Values, Innovative Advice


[^0]:    * Per the Statement of Investment Objectives and Policy Guidelines as of 6/10/2020.

[^1]:    *** The "Net Difference" amounts shown are the results of Callan and Northern Trust using different valuations for the

[^2]:    Notes to Schedule:
    -Beginning in FY2015, payroll amounts are based on actual pensionable compensation from the employer. In prior years, payroll amounts are projected payroll from the actuarial valuation reports
    -FY2015: amounts reported as changes of assumptions resulted from lowering the discount rate from $7.75 \%$ to $7.65 \%$
    -FY2016: amounts reported as changes of assumptions resulted from lowering the discount rate from $7.65 \%$ to $7.50 \%$ and updated demographic and economic assumptions that were adopted following an experience study
    -FY2017: the ATU and IBEW Plans were separated; combined disclosures are not available going forward. See schedules of the individual plans on pages 25 and 26.

    Information prior to 2014 is not available.

[^3]:    A complete description of the methods and assumptions used to determine contribution rates for the year ending June 30,2022 , can be found in the July 1,2020 actuarial valuation report. The financial reporting for the ATU and IBEW Plans' was split during FY2017, previous years information is not available.

[^4]:    Note:
    (R08) Amortization Method: Level Percentage of Payroll
    (R09) Remaining Amortization Period: 12
    (R12) Salary Increases: 2.75 plus merit

[^5]:    Source: AQR. Performance figures herein represent unaudited estimates of realized and unrealized gains and losses prepared by AQR. Gross performance does not reflect the deduction of investment advisory fees and other expenses, which would reduce an investor's actual return. Please see the Appendix for important risk and performance disclosures. Excess returns are calculated as portfolio returns minus the benchmark. *Benchmark: MSCI EAFE Small Cap Net Index USD End of Day. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. Please refer to the monthly statements provided by your custodian or administrator for actual returns. Attributions are subject to change without notice.

[^6]:    Sources: AQR, Compustat, Datastream, Bloomberg, Worldscope and IBES. Average P/E ratios of the stocks in the portfolios exclude individual stock price-to-earnings ratios that are
     top and bottom 1 percentile of the remaining. Average Sales/EV ratios of the portfolios exclude individual stocks that have sales-to-enterprise values that are negative and the top and top and bottom 1 percentile of the remaining. Average Sales/EV ratios of the portfolios exclude individual stocks that have sales-to-enterprise values that are negative and the top and bottom 1 percentile of the remain
    *ap Net Index USD End of Day.
    *12 Month Return of Holdings is representative of how stocks held in the account or benchmark would have performed over the previous 12 months in USD, gross of fees and weighted as of the date reported. This performance is not representative of the actual performance of the benchmark, account, or any other portfolio that AQR manages.

[^7]:    * Current Quarter Target = 32.0\% S\&P 500 Index, 25.0\% BImbg Aggregate, 14.0\% MSCI EAFE, 10.0\% NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr, $8.0 \%$ Russell 2000 Index, $6.0 \% \mathrm{MSCI}$ EM and $5.0 \%$ MSCI EAFE Small.
    ${ }^{* *}$ Domestic Equity Benchmark $=80.95 \%$ S\&P500 $+19.05 \%$ Russell 2000 until 6/30/2010, 80.95\% S\&P500 $+19.05 \%$ Russell 2500 until 6/30/2013, 81.08\% S\&P500 + 18.92\% Russell 2000 until 4/30/2015, and 80\% S\&P500 + 20\% Russell 2000 thereafter. *** International Benchmark = MSCI EAFE until 6/30/2013, 78.26\% MSCI EAFE $+21.74 \%$ MSCI EM until 4/30/2015, 76\% MSCI EAFE $+24 \%$ MSCI EM until $7 / 31 / 2016$, and $56 \%$ MSCI EAFE $+24 \%$ MSCI EM $+20 \%$ MSCI EAFE Small Cap thereafter.

[^8]:    * Current Quarter Target $=32.0 \%$ S\&P 500 Index, 25.0\% Blmbg Aggregate, 14.0\% MSCI EAFE, 10.0\% NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr, $8.0 \%$ Russell 2000 Index, $6.0 \%$ MSCI EM and $5.0 \%$ MSCI EAFE Small.

[^9]:    * Current Quarter Target $=32.0 \%$ S\&P 500 Index, $25.0 \%$ Blmbg Aggregate, $14.0 \%$ MSCI EAFE, $10.0 \%$ NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr, 8.0\% Russell 2000 Index, $6.0 \% \mathrm{MSCI}$ EM and 5.0\% MSCI EAFE Small.
    ** Domestic Equity Benchmark $=80.95 \%$ S\&P500 $+19.05 \%$ Russell 2000 until 6/30/2010, 80.95\% S\&P500 + 19.05\% Russell 2500 until 6/30/2013, $81.08 \%$ S\&P500 + 18.92\% Russell 2000 until 4/30/2015, and $80 \%$ S\&P500 $+20 \%$ Russell 2000 thereafter.
    *** International Benchmark = MSCI EAFE until 6/30/2013, 78.26\% MSCI EAFE + 21.74\% MSCI EM until 4/30/2015,
    $76 \%$ MSCI EAFE $+24 \%$ MSCI EM until $7 / 31 / 2016$, and $56 \%$ MSCI EAFE $+24 \%$ MSCI EM $+20 \%$ MSCI EAFE Small Cap thereafter.

[^10]:    * Current Quarter Target $=32.0 \%$ S\&P 500 Index, $25.0 \%$ Blmbg Aggregate, 14.0\% MSCI EAFE, 10.0\% NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr, 8.0\% Russell 2000 Index, $6.0 \%$ MSCI EM and 5.0\% MSCI EAFE Small. Returns are for annualized calendar years.
    ** Domestic Equity Benchmark = 80.95\% S\&P500 + 19.05\% Russell 2000 until 6/30/2010, 80.95\% S\&P500 + 19.05\% Russell 2500 until 6/30/2013, $81.08 \%$ S\&P500 $+18.92 \%$ Russell 2000 until 4/30/2015, and $80 \%$ S\&P500 $+20 \%$ Russell 2000 thereafter.
    *** International Benchmark = MSCI EAFE until 6/30/2013, 78.26\% MSCI EAFE + 21.74\% MSCI EM until 4/30/2015,
    $76 \%$ MSCI EAFE $+24 \%$ MSCI EM until $7 / 31 / 2016$, and $56 \%$ MSCI EAFE $+24 \%$ MSCI EM $+20 \%$ MSCI EAFE Small Cap thereafter.

[^11]:    * Current Quarter Target $=32.0 \%$ S\&P 500 Index, 25.0\% Blmbg Aggregate, 14.0\% MSCI EAFE, 10.0\% NCREIF NFI-ODCE Val Wt Gr, 8.0\% Russell 2000 Index, $6.0 \% \mathrm{MSCI}$ EM and 5.0\% MSCI EAFE Small.
    ** Domestic Equity Benchmark = 80.95\% S\&P500 + 19.05\% Russell 2000 until 6/30/2010, 80.95\% S\&P500 + 19.05\% Russell 2500 until 6/30/2013, $81.08 \%$ S\&P500 $+18.92 \%$ Russell 2000 until $4 / 30 / 2015$, and $80 \%$ S\&P500 $+20 \%$ Russell 2000 thereafter.
    ${ }_{* * *}$ International Benchmark $=$ MSCI EAFE until $6 / 30 / 2013,78.26 \%$ MSCI EAFE $+21.74 \%$ MSCI EM until $4 / 30 / 2015$,
    $76 \%$ MSCI EAFE $+24 \%$ MSCI EM until $7 / 31 / 2016$, and $56 \%$ MSCI EAFE $+24 \%$ MSCI EM $+20 \%$ MSCI EAFE Small Cap thereafter.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ The District will begin paying this percentage of payroll July 1, 2023.

[^13]:    The District will begin paying this percentage of payroll July 1, 2023

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ The District will begin paying this percentage of payroll July 1, 2023.

